

The Monge problem in Metric Spaces

F. Cavalletti - S. B.

September 28, 2011

Setting

- The Monge problem
- Construction of the transport set
- Disintegration on \mathcal{T} and ray map

Regularity of the disintegration

- Evolution of Borel sets and disintegration
- MCP and Ricci curvature
- Uniqueness and representation of optimal transference plans
- Current formulation

Bibliography

Outline

Setting

The Monge problem

Construction of the transport set

Disintegration on \mathcal{T} and ray map

Regularity of the disintegration

Bibliography

The Monge problem

Let (X, d) be a Polish space, $d_L : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ a Borel distance on X such that (X, d_L) is a geodesic space:

$$d_L(x, y) = \min_{\text{Lip}_{d_L}([0,1], X)} \{ \text{Lenght}(\gamma), \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y \}.$$

Given $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, find $T : X \rightarrow X$ Borel map such that $T_{\#}\mu = \nu$ and

$$\int d_L(x, T(x))\mu(dx) = \min \left\{ \int d_L\pi, \pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu) \right\},$$

where

$$\Pi(\mu, \nu) := \left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}(X \times X), (P_1)_{\#}\pi = \mu, (P_2)_{\#}\pi = \nu \right\}.$$

The fact that d_L is degenerate along geodesics (it is equivalent to the usual norm in \mathbb{R}) implies that optimal transference plans are not unique.

To avoid further degeneracies, we assume that (X, d_L) is not branching, i.e.

$$\forall r > 0 \left(d_L(x, y) = \frac{r}{2} \Rightarrow \#\{B_{d_L}(x, r) \cap B_{d_L}(y, r/2)\} = 1 \right).$$

To have a strong consistent disintegration of the transport problem along the geodesics, it is further assumed that if γ is a geodesic the $\gamma \in C(\mathbb{R}, (X, d))$ and

$$\forall t \exists r \left(\gamma(\mathbb{R}) \cap \bar{B}_d(\gamma(t), r) \in \mathcal{K}(X) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the family of compact sets of X .

The Wiener space

A prototype of these spaces is

$$X = \ell^2, \quad d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{\ell^2}, \quad d_L(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{h^1}.$$

The fact that $d \leq d_L$ and that geodesics of infinite length are straight lines implies that the conditions on the geodesics are automatically satisfied.

Note that the disintegration ℓ^2/h^1 is not strongly consistent: otherwise from the fact $d_L < +\infty$ one obtains the existence of a potential ϕ .

Remarks. The space (X, d) plays a support role, in order to use the standard measure theory.

The construction works for d_L -cyclically monotone sets, not necessarily optimal.

Transport rays

Let $\Gamma \subset X \times X$ be a d_L -cyclically monotone set, and define

$$\Gamma' := \left\{ (x, y) : \exists l \in \mathbb{N}_0, (w_i, z_i) \in \Gamma \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, l, z_l = y \right. \\ \left. w_{l+1} = w_0 = x, \sum_{i=0}^l d_L(w_{i+1}, z_i) - d_L(w_i, z_i) = 0 \right\},$$

$$G := \left\{ (x, y) : \exists (w, z) \in \Gamma', d_L(w, x) + d_L(x, y) + d_L(y, z) = d_L(w, z) \right\}.$$

Both sets are d_L -cyclically monotone (by the triangle inequality) and of Souslin class: Γ' concatenate points in Γ which belongs to the same geodesic and G takes all the points of each geodesic. The set G replaces the set $\{\phi(x) - \phi(y) = d_L(x, y)\}$ in the case a potential ϕ exists: clearly we cannot say that every optimal transport satisfies $\pi(G) = 1$.

For each $x \in X$, the set $G(x)$ is the set of geodesics used by the transference plan exiting from x , while $G^{-1}(x)$ are the geodesics arriving in x .

Define the Souslin sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_e &:= P_1(G^{-1} \setminus \{x = y\}) \cup P_1(G \setminus \{x = y\}), \\ \mathcal{T} &:= P_1(G^{-1} \setminus \{x = y\}) \cap P_1(G \setminus \{x = y\}).\end{aligned}$$

The first set is made of points $z \in X$ such that there exists $(x, y) \in G$ and z belongs to a geodesic connecting x to y .

The second set instead requires also that $z \neq x, y$.

The assumption that d_L is not branching implies

Lemma. *If $x \in \mathcal{T}$, then $R(x) := G(x) \cup G^{-1}(x)$ is a single geodesic.*

In particular R is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{T} , while G is a partial order relation on \mathcal{T}_e .

Define the multivalued *endpoint graphs* by:

$$a := \{(x, y) \in G^{-1} : G^{-1}(y) \setminus \{y\} = \emptyset\},$$

$$b := \{(x, y) \in G : G(y) \setminus \{y\} = \emptyset\}.$$

We call $P_2(a)$ the set of *initial points* and $P_2(b)$ the set of *final points*.

The following holds:

1. $a \cap b \cap \mathcal{T}_e \times X = \emptyset$;
2. $a(x)$, $b(x)$ are singleton or empty when $x \in \mathcal{T}$;
3. $a(\mathcal{T}) = a(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $b(\mathcal{T}) = b(\mathcal{T}_e)$;
4. $\mathcal{T}_e = \mathcal{T} \cup a(\mathcal{T}) \cup b(\mathcal{T})$, $\mathcal{T} \cap (a(\mathcal{T}) \cup b(\mathcal{T})) = \emptyset$.

In particular we can assume

$$\mu(b(\mathcal{T})) = \nu(a(\mathcal{T})) = 0.$$

By a countable partition, we reduce the disintegration problem to the following case: for x_i dense, $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathcal{T}' := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{T} \cap \bar{B}(x_i, 2^{-j}) : \begin{aligned} &L(G(x)), L(G^{-1}(x)) \geq 2^{2-k}, \\ &L(R(x) \cap \bar{B}(x_i, 2^{1-j})) \leq 2^{-k} \\ &\bar{B}(x_i, 2^{-j}) \cap R(x) \text{ is compact} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

The map

$$\mathcal{T}' \ni x \mapsto R(x) \cap B(x_i, 2^{-j})$$

is thus universally measurable and with compact sections: by Kuratowski-Ryll-Nardzewski selection principle, there exists a universally measurable selection $f : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow B(x_i, 2^{-j})$.

In particular, the disintegration

$$\mu_{\mathcal{T}} = \int \mu_y m(dy), \quad m := f_{\#} \mu_{\mathcal{T}}$$

satisfies $\mu_y(f^{-1}(y)) = 1$. i.e. it is strongly consistent.

Let $\mathcal{S} := f(\mathcal{T})$ be the corresponding cross section.

Define the *ray map* g by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} g &:= \left\{ (y, t, x) : y \in \mathcal{S}, t \in [0, +\infty), x \in G(y) \cap \{d_L(x, y) = t\} \right\} \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ (y, t, x) : y \in \mathcal{S}, t \in (-\infty, 0), x \in G^{-1}(y) \cap \{d_L(x, y) = -t\} \right\} \\ &= g^+ \cup g^-. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition. *The following holds.*

1. *The set g is the graph of a map with range \mathcal{T}_e .*
2. *$t \mapsto g(y, t)$ is d_L 1-Lipschitz G -order preserving.*
3. *$(t, y) \mapsto g(y, t)$ is bijective on \mathcal{T} , and its inverse is*

$$x \mapsto g^{-1}(x) = (f(y), \pm d_L(x, f(y))).$$

Outline

Setting

Regularity of the disintegration

Evolution of Borel sets and disintegration

MCP and Ricci curvature

Uniqueness and representation of optimal transference plans

Current formulation

Bibliography

For $A \subset \mathcal{T}_e$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define the t -evolution A_t of A by

$$A_t := g(g^{-1}(A) + (0, t)).$$

If A is Souslin, then A_t is Souslin, and $t \mapsto \mu(A_t)$ is Souslin.

Theorem. *Assume that for all Borel sets A such that $\mu(A) > 0$ the set $\{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \mu(A_t) > 0\}$ has cardinality $> \aleph_0$. Then μ is concentrated on \mathcal{T} and the conditional probabilities of the disintegration*

$$\mu = \int \mu_y m(dy), \quad m := f_{\#} \mu$$

are continuous.

The key argument is that one can reduce the problem to a single δ along each geodesic.

Under a stronger assumption we obtain the absolute continuity of the conditional probabilities.

Theorem. Assume that for every Borel set $A \subset \mathcal{T}_e$

$$\mu(A) > 0 \implies \int_0^{+\infty} \mu(A_t) dt > 0.$$

Then for m -a.e. $y \in \mathcal{S}$ the conditional probabilities μ_y are absolutely continuous w.r.t. $\mathcal{H}_{R(y)}^1$.

The Hausdorff measure is constructed by using the metric d_L .

Proof. The argument follows from the following contradiction: if C , $\mu(C) > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}^1(C) = 0$, then

$$0 < \int \mu(C_t) dt = \mu \times \mathcal{L}^1(\{x - t \in C\}) = \int \mathcal{L}^1(x - C) \mu(dx) = 0.$$

If $d_L = d$ and (X, d, η) satisfies $MCP(K, N)$, then we have
Proposition. *The η -measure of the end points $a(\mathcal{T}) \cup b(\mathcal{T})$ is 0 and the disintegration*

$$\eta = \int \eta_y m(dy), \quad m := f_{\#} \eta_{L\mathcal{T}}$$

satisfies

$$\eta_y = q(y) \mathcal{H}^1 \llcorner_{R(y)}, \quad q(y, t) \geq \left\{ \frac{s_K(d(g(y, t), \bar{x}))}{s_K(d(g(y, s), \bar{x}))} \right\}^{N-1} q(y, s),$$

where

$$s_K(t) := \begin{cases} (1/\sqrt{K}) \sin(\sqrt{K}t) & \text{if } K > 0, \\ t & \text{if } K = 0, \\ (1/\sqrt{-K}) \sinh(\sqrt{-K}t) & \text{if } K < 0. \end{cases}$$

If (X, d_L, η) has Ricci curvature $\geq R$, then we have

Theorem. *If the disintegration*

$$\eta = \int \eta_y m(dy), \quad m := f_{\#} \eta_{\mathcal{T}}$$

satisfies $\eta_y = q(y) \mathcal{H}^1_{\perp R(y)}$, then

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \log q(y, t) \leq -R.$$

The absolute continuity of the disintegration of η are invariant under Measure Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, if the Ricci curvature is bounded from below.

Using the fact that the space is non-branching, one can prove uniqueness of the transport set for the optimal transference plan.

Proposition. *If μ, ν are concentrated on \mathcal{T} and μ_y, ν_y are continuous, then G is a carriage for all optimal transference plans.*

More precisely, if

$$\mu = \int \mu_y m(dy), \quad \nu = \int \nu_y m(dy), \quad m := f_{\#} \mu = f_{\#} \nu,$$

then every optimal transference plan π can be represented as

$$\pi = \int \pi_y m(dy), \quad \pi_y \in \Pi(\mu_y, \nu_y).$$

In particular it is easy to solve the Monge problem, just piecing together the 1-d monotone rearrangements along each geodesic.

If $d \leq d_L$, $\mu \leq \eta$ and η has absolutely continuous disintegration, we can solve the equation

$$\partial U = \mu - \nu$$

in the sense of currents.

Define the flow \dot{g} as

$$\langle \dot{g}, (h, \omega) \rangle = \int_{S \times \mathbb{R}} h(g(y, t)) \partial_t \omega(g(y, t)) q(y, t) dt m(dy)$$

where h, ω are Lipschitz functions of (X, d) with h bounded, and

$$\eta = \int q \mathcal{H}_{R(y)}^1 m(dy).$$

If $t \mapsto q(y, t)$ is BV with m -integrable total variation, then \dot{g} is a normal current: this is the case of MCP and Ricci curvature bounds far from the end points.

Under the continuity of the disintegration of η , a solution to $\partial U = \mu - \nu$ is given by the current U defined as

$$\langle U, (h, \omega) \rangle = \int_S \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (F(y, t) - H(y, t)) h(g(y, t)) \partial_t \omega(g(y, t)) dt \right) m(dy),$$

where

$$H(y, t) := \mu_y(g(y, (-\infty, t))),$$





$$F(y, t) := \nu_y(g(y, (-\infty, t))).$$

Outline

Setting

Regularity of the disintegration

Bibliography

-  S. Bianchini and F. Cavalletti.
The Monge problem for distance cost in geodesic spaces.
-  S. Bianchini and F. Cavalletti.
The Monge problem in metric spaces with curvature bounds.
-  F. Cavalletti
A strategy for non-strictly convex distance transport cost and the obstacle problem.
-  F. Cavalletti
The Monge problem in Wiener space.