# Vanishing Viscosity Solutions of Hyperbolic Systems with Boundary

Fabio Ancona, CIRAM Bologna

Stefano Bianchini, IAC(CNR) Roma

http://www.iac.cnr.it/

April 13, 2004

$$u_t + A(t, u)u_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \qquad t, x > 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $u_b(t)$  and initial data  $u_0(t)$ .

$$u_t + A(t, u)u_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \qquad t, x > 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $u_b(t)$  and initial data  $u_0(t)$ .

## **Assumptions:**

$$u_t + A(t, u)u_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \qquad t, x > 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $u_b(t)$  and initial data  $u_0(t)$ .

# **Assumptions:**

(1) the matrix A(t,0) is smooth and strictly hyperbolic,  $\inf_{t,u,v} \left\{ \lambda_{i+1}(t,u) - \lambda_i(t,v) \right\} \ge c > 0 \qquad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \qquad (2)$ 

$$u_t + A(t, u)u_x = \epsilon u_{xx}, \qquad t, x > 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $u_b(t)$  and initial data  $u_0(t)$ .

## **Assumptions:**

(1) the matrix A(t,0) is smooth and strictly hyperbolic,  $\inf_{t,u,v} \left\{ \lambda_{i+1}(t,u) - \lambda_i(t,v) \right\} \ge c > 0 \qquad i = 1, \dots, n-1; \qquad (2)$ 

(2) the map  $t \mapsto A(t, u)$  is of uniform bounded variation,

$$|||A||| \doteq \sup_{|u| \le \delta} \int_0^{+\infty} |A_t(s, u)| ds \le C < +\infty.$$
(3)

 $|u_b(t)|, |u_0(x)|, \text{Tot.Var.}(u_b), \text{Tot.Var.}(u_0) < \min\{K^{-1}, e^{-K||A||}\},\$ 

 $|u_b(t)|, |u_0(x)|, \text{Tot.Var.}(u_b), \text{Tot.Var.}(u_0) < \min\{K^{-1}, e^{-K||A||}\},\$ the solution  $u^{\epsilon}(t,x)$  of (1) exists for all  $t \ge 0$  and has total variation uniformly bounded, independently of  $\epsilon$ .

 $|u_b(t)|, |u_0(x)|, \text{Tot.Var.}(u_b), \text{Tot.Var.}(u_0) < \min\{K^{-1}, e^{-K||A||}\},\$ the solution  $u^{\epsilon}(t,x)$  of (1) exists for all  $t \ge 0$  and has total variation uniformly bounded, independently of  $\epsilon$ . If  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  are two different solution with matrices A, B, for  $t \ge s$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1}(t) - u_{2}(s)\|_{L^{1}} &\leq L \Big( |t - s| + \|u_{1,0} - u_{2,0}\|_{L^{1}} + \|u_{1,b} - u_{2,b}\|_{L^{1}(0,s)} \\ &+ Tot. Var.(u) \sup_{u} |A(u, \cdot) - B(u, \cdot)|_{L^{1}(0,s)} \Big), (4) \end{aligned}$$

 $|u_b(t)|, |u_0(x)|, \text{Tot.Var.}(u_b), \text{Tot.Var.}(u_0) < \min\{K^{-1}, e^{-K||A||}\},\$ the solution  $u^{\epsilon}(t,x)$  of (1) exists for all  $t \ge 0$  and has total variation uniformly bounded, independently of  $\epsilon$ . If  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  are two different solution with matrices A, B, for  $t \ge s$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{1}(t) - u_{2}(s)\|_{L^{1}} &\leq L \Big( |t - s| + \|u_{1,0} - u_{2,0}\|_{L^{1}} + \|u_{1,b} - u_{2,b}\|_{L^{1}(0,s)} \\ &+ \textit{Tot.Var.}(u) \sup_{u} |A(u, \cdot) - B(u, \cdot)|_{L^{1}(0,s)} \Big), (4) \end{aligned}$$

As  $\epsilon \to 0$ ,  $u^{\epsilon}(t)$  converges in  $L^1$  to a unique BV function u(t, x), "vanishing viscosity solution" to

 $u_t + A(t, u)u_x = 0,$   $u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(t, 0) = u_b(t),$  (5) and satisfying again (4).

$$u_t + A(u)u_x - \epsilon u_{xx} = 0, \qquad x \ge x_b(t),$$

$$y = x - x_b(t),$$
  $A(t, u) = A(u) - \frac{dx_b}{dt}I.$ 

$$u_t + A(u)u_x - \epsilon u_{xx} = 0, \qquad x \ge x_b(t),$$



$$u_t + A(u)u_x - \epsilon u_{xx} = 0, \qquad x \ge x_b(t),$$



$$u_t + A(u)u_x - \epsilon u_{xx} = 0, \qquad x \ge x_b(t),$$



• no assumptions on the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of A: it may happen that  $\exists \bar{k}$  such that  $\lambda_{\bar{k}}(t,0) \simeq 0$  (boundary characteristic);

• no assumptions on the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of A: it may happen that  $\exists \bar{k}$  such that  $\lambda_{\bar{k}}(t,0) \simeq 0$  (boundary characteristic);

• the boundary characteristic eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\bar{k}}(t,0)$  changes with time, i.e.  $\bar{k} = \bar{k}(t)$ ;

• no assumptions on the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of A: it may happen that  $\exists \bar{k}$  such that  $\lambda_{\bar{k}}(t,0) \simeq 0$  (boundary characteristic);

• the boundary characteristic eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\overline{k}}(t,0)$  changes with time, i.e.  $\overline{k}=\overline{k}(t);$ 

• one has to study the interaction of travelling waves of (1) with the (non characteristic part of) boundary profiles;

 $u_x =$ 

(6)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}}$$
(6)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

For simplicity we consider only  $||A|| \ll 1$  (small boundary oscillations):

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

For simplicity we consider only  $||A|| \ll 1$  (small boundary oscillations): only the *k*-th eigenvalue (*k* fixed) is boundary characteristic, and the decomposition can be simplified as

$$u_x = v_b \tilde{R}_b(t, u, v_b, v_k)$$

(7)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

$$u_x = v_b \tilde{R}_b(t, u, v_b, v_k)$$
 non char. boun. profile (7)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

$$u_{x} = v_{b}\tilde{R}_{b}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k}) \quad \text{non char. boun. profile} + v_{k}\hat{r}_{k}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k})$$
(7)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

$$u_{x} = v_{b}\tilde{R}_{b}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k})$$
 non char. boun. profile  
+ $v_{k}\hat{r}_{k}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k})$  boun. char. field (7)

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

$$u_{x} = v_{b}\tilde{R}_{b}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k}) \quad \text{non char. boun. profile} \\ + v_{k}\hat{r}_{k}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k}) \quad \text{boun. char. field} \quad (7) \\ + \sum_{i \neq k} v_{i}\tilde{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})$$

$$u_{x} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i,b} \vartheta_{i}(t) \tilde{R}_{i}(t, u, v_{j,b} + v_{j})}_{\text{non char. part boun. profile}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} \hat{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{b,j}, v_{i}, \sigma_{i})}_{\text{travelling profiles}}$$
(6)

$$u_{x} = v_{b}\tilde{R}_{b}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k}) \quad \text{non char. boun. profile} \\ + v_{k}\hat{r}_{k}(t, u, v_{b}, v_{k}) \quad \text{boun. char. field} \quad (7) \\ + \sum_{i \neq k} v_{i}\tilde{r}_{i}(t, u, v_{i}, \sigma_{i}) \quad \text{travelling profiles}$$

The equation for the boundary profile are

$$\begin{cases} u_x = p \\ p_x = A(\kappa, u)p \\ \kappa_x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

and we assume that the k-th eigenvalue of A(0,0) is 0.

The equation for the boundary profile are

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 u_x &= p \\
 p_x &= A(\kappa, u)p \\
 \kappa_x &= 0
\end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

and we assume that the k-th eigenvalue of A(0,0) is 0.

The parameter  $\kappa$  is added to the equation to keep into account that A depends on time.

The equation for the boundary profile are

$$\begin{cases} u_x = p \\ p_x = A(\kappa, u)p \\ \kappa_x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

and we assume that the k-th eigenvalue of A(0,0) is 0.

The parameter  $\kappa$  is added to the equation to keep into account that A depends on time.

Since  $\lambda_k(0,0)$  is characteristic, system (8) has

The equation for the boundary profile are

$$\begin{cases} u_x = p \\ p_x = A(\kappa, u)p \\ \kappa_x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

and we assume that the k-th eigenvalue of A(0,0) is 0.

The parameter  $\kappa$  is added to the equation to keep into account that A depends on time.

Since  $\lambda_k(0,0)$  is characteristic, system (8) has

• k-1 strictly negative eigenvalues;

The equation for the boundary profile are

$$\begin{cases} u_x = p \\ p_x = A(\kappa, u)p \\ \kappa_x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

and we assume that the k-th eigenvalue of A(0,0) is 0.

The parameter  $\kappa$  is added to the equation to keep into account that A depends on time.

Since  $\lambda_k(0,0)$  is characteristic, system (8) has

- k-1 strictly negative eigenvalues;
- n + 2 zero eigenvalues;

The equation for the boundary profile are

$$\begin{cases} u_x = p \\ p_x = A(\kappa, u)p \\ \kappa_x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

and we assume that the k-th eigenvalue of A(0,0) is 0.

The parameter  $\kappa$  is added to the equation to keep into account that A depends on time.

Since  $\lambda_k(0,0)$  is characteristic, system (8) has

- k-1 strictly negative eigenvalues;
- n + 2 zero eigenvalues;
- n-k strictly positive eigenvalues.

**Theorem.** (Hadamar-Perron theorem simplified version)
Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^r$  diffeomorphism, with  $r \ge 1$ , such that  $Df(0) = (Ax, By), \quad ||A|| \le \lambda, \ ||B^{-1}|| \le 1/\mu,$ for  $\lambda < \min\{1, \mu\}, \ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}.$ 

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^r$  diffeomorphism, with  $r \ge 1$ , such that

 $Df(0) = (Ax, By), \quad ||A|| \le \lambda, ||B^{-1}|| \le 1/\mu,$ 

for  $\lambda < \min\{1, \mu\}$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ .

Then there exists a  $C^r$  locally invariant manifold  $W^-$ , smoothly dependent on f in the  $C^r$  norm,

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^r$  diffeomorphism, with  $r \ge 1$ , such that

 $Df(0) = (Ax, By), \quad ||A|| \le \lambda, ||B^{-1}|| \le 1/\mu,$ 

for  $\lambda < \min\{1, \mu\}$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ .

Then there exists a  $C^r$  locally invariant manifold  $W^-$ , smoothly dependent on f in the  $C^r$  norm,

$$W^{-} = \Big\{ (x, \phi^{-}(x)), x \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, |x| \ll 1 \Big\}.$$

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^r$  diffeomorphism, with  $r \geq 1$ , such that

 $Df(0) = (Ax, By), \quad ||A|| \le \lambda, ||B^{-1}|| \le 1/\mu,$ 

for  $\lambda < \min\{1, \mu\}$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ .

Then there exists a  $C^r$  locally invariant manifold  $W^-$ , smoothly dependent on f in the  $C^r$  norm,

$$W^{-} = \left\{ (x, \phi^{-}(x)), x \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, |x| \ll 1 \right\}.$$

This manifold  $W^-$  is identified uniquely by trajectories converging to 0 with speed  $\simeq \lambda$ .



Center manifold and stable manifold near (u, p) = (0, 0):

11



Applying the Hadamar-Perron theorem to the point (u, 0)

12



$$p = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, v_{cs})v_{cs}, \quad R_{cs} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k};$$

$$p = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, v_{cs})v_{cs}, \quad R_{cs} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k};$$

on this manifold, the center manifold and the manifold C as

 $v_{cs}=r_k(\kappa,u,v_k)v_k, \qquad v_{cs}=R_s(\kappa,u,v_s)v_s,$  with  $r_k\in\mathbb{R}^k,\;R_s\in\mathbb{R}^{k\times(k-1)}.$ 

$$p = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, v_{cs})v_{cs}, \quad R_{cs} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k};$$

on this manifold, the center manifold and the manifold C as

$$v_{cs} = r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)v_k, \qquad v_{cs} = R_s(\kappa, u, v_s)v_s,$$

with  $r_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $R_s \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (k-1)}$ . Then the vectors  $\hat{r}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (k-1)}$  are given by

$$\hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)$$
(9)  
$$\tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) R_s(\kappa, u, v_s)$$
(10)

$$p = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, v_{cs})v_{cs}, \quad R_{cs} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k};$$

on this manifold, the center manifold and the manifold C as

$$v_{cs} = r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)v_k, \qquad v_{cs} = R_s(\kappa, u, v_s)v_s,$$

with  $r_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $R_s \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (k-1)}$ . Then the vectors  $\hat{r}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (k-1)}$  are given by

$$\hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)$$
(9)  
$$\tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) R_s(\kappa, u, v_s)$$
(10)

The dependence on  $\sigma$  can be added to  $\hat{r}_k$  by replacing  $A(\kappa, u)$  with  $A(\kappa, u) - \sigma I$ , with  $\sigma_x = 0$ .

$$p = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, v_{cs})v_{cs}, \quad R_{cs} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k};$$

on this manifold, the center manifold and the manifold C as

$$v_{cs} = r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)v_k, \qquad v_{cs} = R_s(\kappa, u, v_s)v_s,$$

with  $r_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $R_s \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (k-1)}$ . Then the vectors  $\hat{r}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (k-1)}$  are given by

$$\hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)$$
(9)  
$$\tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) R_s(\kappa, u, v_s)$$
(10)

The dependence on  $\sigma$  can be added to  $\hat{r}_k$  by replacing  $A(\kappa, u)$  with  $A(\kappa, u) - \sigma I$ , with  $\sigma_x = 0$ .

Moreover the center manifold of (8) is  $\{p = v_k \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, 0, v_k)\}$ ,

$$p = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, v_{cs})v_{cs}, \quad R_{cs} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k};$$

on this manifold, the center manifold and the manifold C as

$$v_{cs} = r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)v_k, \qquad v_{cs} = R_s(\kappa, u, v_s)v_s,$$

with  $r_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $R_s \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times (k-1)}$ . Then the vectors  $\hat{r}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (k-1)}$  are given by

$$\widehat{r}_k(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) r_k(\kappa, u, v_k)$$
(9)  

$$\widetilde{R}_k(\kappa, u, v_k, v_k) = R_k(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) R_k(\kappa, u, v_k)$$
(10)

$$R_b(\kappa, u, v_b, v_k) = R_{cs}(\kappa, u, R_s v_b + r_k v_k) R_s(\kappa, u, v_s) \quad (10)$$

The dependence on  $\sigma$  can be added to  $\hat{r}_k$  by replacing  $A(\kappa, u)$  with  $A(\kappa, u) - \sigma I$ , with  $\sigma_x = 0$ .

Moreover the center manifold of (8) is  $\{p = v_k \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, 0, v_k)\}$ , and the stable manifold is  $\{p = R_b(\kappa, u, v_b, 0)v_b\}$ .

By writing

$$u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k,$$

By writing

$$u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k,$$

the equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ v_{b,x} = & \hat{A}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b \\ v_{k,x} = & \hat{\lambda}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ \kappa_x = & 0 \end{cases}$$
(11)

By writing

$$u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k,$$

the equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ v_{b,x} = & \hat{A}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b \\ v_{k,x} = & \hat{\lambda}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ \kappa_x = & 0 \end{cases}$$
(11)

 $\widehat{A}_b(0,0,0) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}), \qquad \widehat{\lambda}_k(0,0,0) = \lambda_k.$ 

By writing

$$u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k,$$

the equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ v_{b,x} = & \hat{A}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b \\ v_{k,x} = & \hat{\lambda}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ \kappa_x = & 0 \end{cases}$$
(11)

 $\widehat{A}_b(0,0,0) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}), \qquad \widehat{\lambda}_k(0,0,0) = \lambda_k.$ 

Then:

By writing

$$u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k,$$

the equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ v_{b,x} = & \hat{A}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b \\ v_{k,x} = & \hat{\lambda}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ \kappa_x = & 0 \end{cases}$$
(11)

 $\widehat{A}_b(0,0,0) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}), \qquad \widehat{\lambda}_k(0,0,0) = \lambda_k.$ 

Then:

•  $v_b$  is exponentially decreasing (non characteristic part);

By writing

$$u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k,$$

the equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ v_{b,x} = & \hat{A}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b \\ v_{k,x} = & \hat{\lambda}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ \kappa_x = & 0 \end{cases}$$
(11)

 $\widehat{A}_b(0,0,0) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}), \qquad \widehat{\lambda}_k(0,0,0) = \lambda_k.$ 

Then:

- $v_b$  is exponentially decreasing (non characteristic part);
- the eigenvalue  $\hat{\lambda}_k$  determines the structure of boundary profile;

By writing

$$u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k,$$

the equation (8) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_x = \tilde{R}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b + \hat{r}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ v_{b,x} = & \hat{A}_b(\kappa, u, u_x)v_b \\ v_{k,x} = & \hat{\lambda}_k(\kappa, u, u_x)v_k \\ \kappa_x = & 0 \end{cases}$$
(11)

 $\widehat{A}_b(0,0,0) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}), \qquad \widehat{\lambda}_k(0,0,0) = \lambda_k.$ 

Then:

- $v_b$  is exponentially decreasing (non characteristic part);
- the eigenvalue  $\hat{\lambda}_k$  determines the structure of boundary profile;
- $\hat{r}_k$  is ok for k-th travelling profiles or bdry profile ( $\sigma_k = 0$ ).

## Equation for the components $v_b$ , $v_i$

By substituting into  $u_t + A(t, x)u_x - u_{xx} = 0$ 

$$\begin{cases} u_x = v_b \tilde{R}_b + v_k \hat{r}_k + \sum_{i \neq k} v_i \tilde{r}_i \\ u_t = w_b \tilde{R}_b + w_k \hat{r}_k + \sum_{i \neq k} w_i \tilde{r}_i \end{cases} \quad \sigma_i = \theta_i (w_i / v_i), \quad (12)$$

## Equation for the components $v_b$ , $v_i$

By substituting into  $u_t + A(t,x)u_x - u_{xx} = 0$ 

$$\begin{cases} u_x = v_b \tilde{R}_b + v_k \hat{r}_k + \sum_{i \neq k} v_i \tilde{r}_i \\ u_t = w_b \tilde{R}_b + w_k \hat{r}_k + \sum_{i \neq k} w_i \tilde{r}_i \end{cases} \quad \sigma_i = \theta_i (w_i / v_i), \quad (12)$$

after some computation one obtains (similarly for  $u_t$ )

$$(\hat{R}_{b} + (\hat{R}_{b,v_{b}} \cdot)v_{b} + \hat{r}_{k,v_{b}}v_{k}) \left[ v_{b,t} + (\hat{A}_{b}v_{b})_{x} - v_{b,xx} \right] + (\hat{R}_{b,v_{k}}v_{b} + \hat{r}_{k} + \hat{r}_{k,v_{k}}v_{k} + v_{k}\sigma_{k,v}\hat{r}_{k,\sigma}) \left[ v_{k,t} + (\hat{\lambda}_{k}v_{k})_{x} - v_{k,xx} \right] + \sum_{i \neq k} (\tilde{r}_{i} + v_{i}\tilde{r}_{i,v} + v_{i}\sigma_{i,v}\tilde{r}_{i,\sigma}) \left[ v_{i,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_{i}v_{i})_{x} - v_{i,xx} \right] = \phi(\kappa, u, v, v_{x}, w, w_{x}) + \mathcal{O}(1) \left( |v_{b}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_{i}| \right) \sup_{u} ||A_{t}||.$$
(13)

## Equation for the components $v_b$ , $v_i$

By substituting into  $u_t + A(t,x)u_x - u_{xx} = 0$ 

$$\begin{cases} u_x = v_b \tilde{R}_b + v_k \hat{r}_k + \sum_{i \neq k} v_i \tilde{r}_i \\ u_t = w_b \tilde{R}_b + w_k \hat{r}_k + \sum_{i \neq k} w_i \tilde{r}_i \end{cases} \quad \sigma_i = \theta_i (w_i / v_i), \quad (12)$$

after some computation one obtains (similarly for  $u_t$ )

$$(\hat{R}_{b} + (\hat{R}_{b,v_{b}} \cdot)v_{b} + \hat{r}_{k,v_{b}}v_{k}) \left[ v_{b,t} + (\hat{A}_{b}v_{b})_{x} - v_{b,xx} \right] + (\hat{R}_{b,v_{k}}v_{b} + \hat{r}_{k} + \hat{r}_{k,v_{k}}v_{k} + v_{k}\sigma_{k,v}\hat{r}_{k,\sigma}) \left[ v_{k,t} + (\hat{\lambda}_{k}v_{k})_{x} - v_{k,xx} \right] + \sum_{i \neq k} (\tilde{r}_{i} + v_{i}\tilde{r}_{i,v} + v_{i}\sigma_{i,v}\tilde{r}_{i,\sigma}) \left[ v_{i,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_{i}v_{i})_{x} - v_{i,xx} \right] = \phi(\kappa, u, v, v_{x}, w, w_{x}) + \mathcal{O}(1) \left( |v_{b}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_{i}| \right) \sup_{u} ||A_{t}||.$$
(13)

There are n + k - 1 variables in n equations.











 $v_b$ ,  $v_i$  determined by solving (13), not by the decomposition (12).

$$U_t - U_x = U_{xx},$$
  $u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(t, 0) = 0$ 

$$U_t - U_x = U_{xx},$$
  $u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(t, 0) = 0$ 

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_x = u_{xx} \\ u_{t=0} = u_0(x), \\ u_x|_{x=0} = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} u_{b,t} - u_{b,x} = u_{b,xx} \\ u|_{x=0} = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = -\int_0^t u_{xx}(s,0)ds \end{cases}$$

$$U_t - U_x = U_{xx},$$
  $u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(t, 0) = 0$ 



$$U_t - U_x = U_{xx},$$
  $u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(t, 0) = 0$ 



$$U_t - U_x = U_{xx},$$
  $u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(t, 0) = 0$ 



$$U_t - U_x = U_{xx},$$
  $u(0, x) = u_0(x), u(t, 0) = 0$ 


$$\begin{cases} v_{b,t} + (\hat{A}_b v_b)_x - v_{b,xx} = 0 \\ v_{k,t} + (\hat{\lambda}_k v_k)_x - v_{k,xx} = s_k(t,x) \\ v_{i,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_i v_i)_x - v_{i,xx} = s_i(t,x) \end{cases}$$
(14)

$$\begin{cases} v_{b,t} + (\hat{A}_b v_b)_x - v_{b,xx} = 0\\ v_{k,t} + (\hat{\lambda}_k v_k)_x - v_{k,xx} = s_k(t,x)\\ v_{i,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_i v_i)_x - v_{i,xx} = s_i(t,x) \end{cases}$$
(14)

• Interaction among  $i \neq k$  trav. waves and bdry profile

$$\begin{cases} v_{b,t} + (\hat{A}_b v_b)_x - v_{b,xx} = 0 \\ v_{k,t} + (\hat{\lambda}_k v_k)_x - v_{k,xx} = s_k(t,x) \\ v_{i,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_i v_i)_x - v_{i,xx} = s_i(t,x) \end{cases}$$
(14)

• Interaction among  $i \neq k$  trav. waves and bdry profile

Since  $\widehat{A}_b$  is strictly negative definite, one obtains that

 $|v_b(t,x)| \leq \text{Tot.Var.}(u)e^{-cx}, \quad c \text{ strict hyperbolicity.}$ 

$$\begin{cases} v_{b,t} + (\hat{A}_b v_b)_x - v_{b,xx} = 0 \\ v_{k,t} + (\hat{\lambda}_k v_k)_x - v_{k,xx} = s_k(t,x) \\ v_{i,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_i v_i)_x - v_{i,xx} = s_i(t,x) \end{cases}$$
(14)

• Interaction among  $i \neq k$  trav. waves and bdry profile

Since  $\widehat{A}_b$  is strictly negative definite, one obtains that

 $|v_b(t,x)| \leq \text{Tot.Var.}(u)e^{-cx}, \quad c \text{ strict hyperbolicity.}$ 

Since  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ ,  $i \neq k$ , then the following terms can be estimated

$$\sum_{i \neq k} |v_i v_b|, \qquad \sum_{i \neq k} |v_{i,x} v_b|,$$

$$\begin{cases} v_{b,t} + (\hat{A}_b v_b)_x - v_{b,xx} = 0 \\ v_{k,t} + (\hat{\lambda}_k v_k)_x - v_{k,xx} = s_k(t,x) \\ v_{i,t} + (\tilde{\lambda}_i v_i)_x - v_{i,xx} = s_i(t,x) \end{cases}$$
(14)

• Interaction among  $i \neq k$  trav. waves and bdry profile

Since  $\widehat{A}_b$  is strictly negative definite, one obtains that

 $|v_b(t,x)| \leq \text{Tot.Var.}(u)e^{-cx}, \quad c \text{ strict hyperbolicity.}$ 

Since  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ ,  $i \neq k$ , then the following terms can be estimated

$$\sum_{i \neq k} |v_i v_b|, \qquad \sum_{i \neq k} |v_{i,x} v_b|,$$

waves with speed  $\neq 0$  cross an integrable function of x.

Since for  $\sigma_k = 0$  we have an exact boundary profile (11),

Since for  $\sigma_k = 0$  we have an exact boundary profile (11), the basic interaction term is

$$v_b v_k (\sigma_b - \sigma_k) = v_b w_k,$$

Since for  $\sigma_k = 0$  we have an exact boundary profile (11), the basic interaction term is

$$v_b v_k (\sigma_b - \sigma_k) = v_b w_k,$$

with  $w_k$  is k-th component of  $u_t$ .

Since for  $\sigma_k = 0$  we have an exact boundary profile (11), the basic interaction term is

$$v_b v_k (\sigma_b - \sigma_k) = v_b w_k,$$

with  $w_k$  is k-th component of  $u_t$ . Due to  $\hat{\lambda}_k \simeq 0$  and the presence of boundary, it follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |e^{-dy} w_k(t,y)| dt \le C \cdot \text{Tot.Var.}(u), \quad d \simeq \|\widehat{\lambda}_k\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

Since for  $\sigma_k = 0$  we have an exact boundary profile (11), the basic interaction term is

$$v_b v_k (\sigma_b - \sigma_k) = v_b w_k,$$

with  $w_k$  is k-th component of  $u_t$ . Due to  $\hat{\lambda}_k \simeq 0$  and the presence of boundary, it follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |e^{-dy} w_k(t,y)| dt \le C \cdot \text{Tot.Var.}(u), \quad d \simeq \|\widehat{\lambda}_k\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

Hence

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+} |v_b w_k| dx dt \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{(d-c)x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |e^{-dy} w_k(t,y)| dt dx \le C.$$

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , one has to study

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , one has to study

$$u_t + A(\kappa, u)u_x = 0,$$
  $\begin{cases} u(0, x) = u_0 \\ u(t, 0) = u_b \end{cases}$  (15)

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , one has to study

$$u_t + A(\kappa, u)u_x = 0,$$
  $\begin{cases} u(0, x) = u_0 \\ u(t, 0) = u_b \end{cases}$  (15)

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , one has to study

$$u_t + A(\kappa, u)u_x = 0, \qquad \begin{cases} u(0, x) = u_0 \\ u(t, 0) = u_b \end{cases}$$
(15)

1

The solution u = u(x/t) will have the structure

• waves of the i > k families entering the domain;

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , one has to study

$$u_t + A(\kappa, u)u_x = 0,$$
  $\begin{cases} u(0, x) = u_0 \\ u(t, 0) = u_b \end{cases}$  (15)

- waves of the i > k families entering the domain;
- waves of the k-th family entering the domain;

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , one has to study

$$u_t + A(\kappa, u)u_x = 0, \qquad \begin{cases} u(0, x) = u_0 \\ u(t, 0) = u_b \end{cases}$$
(15)

- waves of the i > k families entering the domain;
- waves of the k-th family entering the domain;
- waves of the k-th family with speed 0;

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , one has to study

$$u_t + A(\kappa, u)u_x = 0, \qquad \begin{cases} u(0, x) = u_0 \\ u(t, 0) = u_b \end{cases}$$
(15)

- waves of the i > k families entering the domain;
- waves of the k-th family entering the domain;
- waves of the k-th family with speed 0;
- a characteristic boundary profile.

To characterize the unique limit of  $u^{\epsilon}$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , one has to study

$$u_t + A(\kappa, u)u_x = 0, \qquad \begin{cases} u(0, x) = u_0 \\ u(t, 0) = u_b \end{cases}$$
(15)

The solution u = u(x/t) will have the structure

- waves of the i > k families entering the domain;
- waves of the k-th family entering the domain;
- waves of the k-th family with speed 0;
- a characteristic boundary profile.

In u(x/t) one sees only the first two points, the last two are in the jump at x = 0.

Starting from  $u_0$ , we construct the map  $\Phi: (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ 

Starting from  $u_0$ , we construct the map  $\Phi$ :  $(s_1,\ldots,s_n)\mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ 



From  $u_0$  to  $u_1$ , waves of the i > k family,



From  $u_1$  to  $u_2$ , waves of the k-th family with  $\sigma_k \geq 0$ ,



From  $u_2$  to  $u_b$  there is a char. bdry profile,



By means of system (11), we decompose the bdry profile as



This solves

$$\begin{cases} u_{b,x} = \tilde{R}_b(u_b + u_k(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \\ p_{b,x} = \hat{A}_b(u_b + u_b(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \end{cases}$$
(16)

This solves

$$\begin{cases} u_{b,x} = \tilde{R}_b(u_b + u_k(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \\ p_{b,x} = \hat{A}_b(u_b + u_b(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \end{cases}$$
(16)

Since  $\hat{A}_b$  strictly negative, then

This solves

$$\begin{cases} u_{b,x} = \tilde{R}_b(u_b + u_k(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \\ p_{b,x} = \hat{A}_b(u_b + u_b(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \end{cases}$$
(16)

Since  $\hat{A}_b$  strictly negative, then

$$p_b(x) = \mathcal{O}(1)p_b(0)e^{-cx},$$

This solves

$$\begin{cases} u_{b,x} = \tilde{R}_b(u_b + u_k(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \\ p_{b,x} = \hat{A}_b(u_b + u_b(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \end{cases}$$
(16)

Since  $\hat{A}_b$  strictly negative, then

$$p_b(x) = \mathcal{O}(1)p_b(0)e^{-cx},$$
$$u_s(x) = u_s(0) + \int_0^x \tilde{R}_b(y; u_k, p_k)p_b(y; u_k, p_k)dy.$$

By contraction principle (small data), we can verify that

This solves

$$\begin{cases} u_{b,x} = \tilde{R}_b(u_b + u_k(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \\ p_{b,x} = \hat{A}_b(u_b + u_b(x), p_b, p_k(x))p_b \end{cases}$$
(16)

Since  $\hat{A}_b$  strictly negative, then

$$p_b(x) = \mathcal{O}(1)p_b(0)e^{-cx},$$
$$u_s(x) = u_s(0) + \int_0^x \tilde{R}_b(y; u_k, p_k)p_b(y; u_k, p_k)dy.$$

By contraction principle (small data), we can verify that

the manifold of solutions converging to 0 as  $x \to \infty$  is k-1 dimensional parameterized by  $(u_1(0), \ldots, u_{k-1}(0))$ , smoothly dependent on  $u_k$ ,  $p_k$ .

The characteristic part of bdry profile

The characteristic part of bdry profile The system for  $u_k$ ,  $p_k$  and  $\sigma_k$  is

$$\begin{cases} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \end{cases}$$

The characteristic part of bdry profile The system for  $u_k$ ,  $p_k$  and  $\sigma_k$  is

$$\begin{cases} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \end{cases}$$

The function  $\hat{f}_k = \int \hat{\lambda}_k d\tau$  is

The characteristic part of bdry profile The system for  $u_k$ ,  $p_k$  and  $\sigma_k$  is

$$\begin{cases} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \end{cases}$$

The function  $\widehat{f}_k = \int \widehat{\lambda}_k d\tau$  is


$$\begin{pmatrix} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s)$$

The concave hull for Riemann problem is



$$\begin{pmatrix} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s)$$

The boundary concave hull for Riemann problem is



$$\begin{pmatrix} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s)$$

With the exponentially decaying (in space) perturbation  $u_b$ ,  $p_b$ 



$$\begin{pmatrix} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0, s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0, s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s)$$

With the exponentially decaying (in space) perturbation  $u_b$ ,  $p_b$ the structure of  $\hat{f}_k$  remains essentially the same,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0, s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0, s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s)$$

With the exponentially decaying (in space) perturbation  $u_b$ ,  $p_b$ the structure of  $\hat{f}_k$  remains essentially the same, because the uniform exponentially decaying estimate on  $u_k$ ,  $p_k$ yields

$$\begin{cases} u_k(s) = u_1 + \int_0^s \hat{r}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \\ p_k(s) = b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \\ \sigma_k = \frac{d}{ds} b - \operatorname{conc}_{[0,s_k]} \left( \int_0^s \hat{\lambda}_k(u_b + u_k, p_b, p_k, \sigma_k) d\tau \right)(s) \end{cases}$$

With the exponentially decaying (in space) perturbation  $u_b$ ,  $p_b$ the structure of  $\hat{f}_k$  remains essentially the same, because the uniform exponentially decaying estimate on  $u_k$ ,  $p_k$ yields

$$ig| \widehat{f}_k(s; u_k = 0, p_k = 0) - \widehat{f}_k(s; u_k, p_k) ig| \le rac{1}{2} (b - conc \widehat{f}_k - \widehat{f}_k) (s; u_k = 0, p_k = 0).$$

Final Remark. By studying the unperturbed k-th field we recover the structure of the boundary profile, hence the bdry RP. *Final Remark.* By studying the unperturbed k-th field we recover the structure of the boundary profile, hence the bdry RP.

