

BF superconductors

Obergurgl, June 2010

Maria Cristina Diamantini

University of Perugia, Italy

coll. P. Sodano and C.A. Trugenberger

Topological Phases of Matter

- Liquid phases of electron fluids and spin systems that cannot be described by their pattern of symmetry breaking.

Topological Phases of Matter

- Liquid phases of electron fluids and spin systems that cannot be described by their pattern of symmetry breaking.
- Can break P and T symmetries

Topological Phases of Matter

- Liquid phases of electron fluids and spin systems that cannot be described by their pattern of symmetry breaking.
- Can break P and T symmetries
- Best known examples:
 - FQH fluids;
 - Kitaev's toric model.

Topological Phases of Matter

- Liquid phases of electron fluids and spin systems that cannot be described by their pattern of symmetry breaking.
- Can break P and T symmetries
- Best known examples:
 - FQH fluids;
 - Kitaev's toric model.
- Topological order: topological vacuum degeneracy.

Topological Phases of Matter

- Liquid phases of electron fluids and spin systems that cannot be described by their pattern of symmetry breaking.
- Can break P and T symmetries
- Best known examples:
 - FQH fluids;
 - Kitaev's toric model.
- Topological order: topological vacuum degeneracy.

- Quasiparticles with fractional charge and statistics (Abelian or non-Abelian).

- Quasiparticles with fractional charge and statistics (Abelian or non-Abelian).
- Effective field theory description in terms of topological field theories (e.g. CS).

- Quasiparticles with fractional charge and statistics (Abelian or non-Abelian).
- Effective field theory description in terms of topological field theories (e.g. CS).
- Long distance properties can be explained by an infinite-dimensional $W_{1+\infty}$ symmetry.

- Quasiparticles with fractional charge and statistics (Abelian or non-Abelian).
- Effective field theory description in terms of topological field theories (e.g. CS).
- Long distance properties can be explained by an infinite-dimensional $W_{1+\infty}$ symmetry.
- BF model as **fundamental** theory describing topological phases, can be PT invariant;
 - example: JJA.

- Quasiparticles with fractional charge and statistics (Abelian or non-Abelian).
- Effective field theory description in terms of topological field theories (e.g. CS).
- Long distance properties can be explained by an infinite-dimensional $W_{1+\infty}$ symmetry.
- BF model as **fundamental** theory describing topological phases, can be PT invariant;
 - example: JJA.

BF Theory in (d+1)-dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{d+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_p \wedge dB_{d-p} \quad (S_{BF})$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_p \wedge *dA_p + \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{2g^2} dB_{d-p} \wedge *dB_{d-p}$$

where k is a dimensionless parameter.

- Topological mass $m = \frac{k e g}{2\pi}$.

BF Theory in (d+1)-dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{d+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_p \wedge dB_{d-p} \quad (S_{BF})$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_p \wedge *dA_p + \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{2g^2} dB_{d-p} \wedge *dB_{d-p}$$

where k is a dimensionless parameter.

- Topological mass $m = \frac{k e g}{2\pi}$.
- Ground state degeneracy (Bergeron, Semenoff, Szabo): $k = k_1/k_2 \implies |k_1 k_2 I|^{N_p}$, $I =$ determinant of the intersection matrix; $N_p = 2$ for the torus.

BF Theory in (d+1)-dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{d+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_p \wedge dB_{d-p} \quad (S_{BF})$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_p \wedge *dA_p + \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{2g^2} dB_{d-p} \wedge *dB_{d-p}$$

where k is a dimensionless parameter.

- Topological mass $m = \frac{k e g}{2\pi}$.
- Ground state degeneracy (Bergeron, Semenoff, Szabo): $k = k_1/k_2 \implies |k_1 k_2 I|^{N_p}$, $I =$ determinant of the intersection matrix; $N_p = 2$ for the torus.
- Allows to generalize fractional statistics to extended objects (Szabo).

BF Theory in (d+1)-dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{d+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_p \wedge dB_{d-p} \quad (S_{BF})$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_p \wedge *dA_p + \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{2g^2} dB_{d-p} \wedge *dB_{d-p}$$

where k is a dimensionless parameter.

- Topological mass $m = \frac{k e g}{2\pi}$.
- Ground state degeneracy (Bergeron, Semenoff, Szabo): $k = k_1/k_2 \implies |k_1 k_2 I|^{N_p}$, $I =$ determinant of the intersection matrix; $N_p = 2$ for the torus.
- Allows to generalize fractional statistics to extended objects (Szabo).

(2+1) dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{2+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_1 \wedge dB_1$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_1 \wedge *dA_1 + \frac{-1}{2g^2} dB_1 \wedge *dB_1$$

- $S_{BF} \equiv S_{CS}$ with a mixed CS term.

(2+1) dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{2+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_1 \wedge dB_1$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_1 \wedge *dA_1 + \frac{-1}{2g^2} dB_1 \wedge *dB_1$$

- $S_{BF} \equiv S_{CS}$ with a mixed CS term.
- Application to superconductivity:
 - $j_1 = *dA_1$ = vortex fluctuations;
 - $J_1 = *dB_1$ = charge fluctuations;

(2+1) dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{2+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_1 \wedge dB_1$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_1 \wedge *dA_1 + \frac{-1}{2g^2} dB_1 \wedge *dB_1$$

- $S_{BF} \equiv S_{CS}$ with a mixed CS term.
- Application to superconductivity:
 - $j_1 = *dA_1$ = vortex fluctuations;
 - $J_1 = *dB_1$ = charge fluctuations;
- S_{TM} is PT invariant

(2+1) dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{2+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_1 \wedge dB_1$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_1 \wedge *dA_1 + \frac{-1}{2g^2} dB_1 \wedge *dB_1$$

- $S_{BF} \equiv S_{CS}$ with a mixed CS term.
- Application to superconductivity:
 - $j_1 = *dA_1$ = vortex fluctuations;
 - $J_1 = *dB_1$ = charge fluctuations;
- S_{TM} is PT invariant
- Duality $e \leftrightarrow g$, $A \leftrightarrow B$.

(2+1) dimensions

$$S_{TM} = \int_{M_{2+1}} \frac{k}{2\pi} A_1 \wedge dB_1$$

$$\frac{-1}{2e^2} dA_1 \wedge *dA_1 + \frac{-1}{2g^2} dB_1 \wedge *dB_1$$

- $S_{BF} \equiv S_{CS}$ with a mixed CS term.
- Application to superconductivity:
 - $j_1 = *dA_1$ = vortex fluctuations;
 - $J_1 = *dB_1$ = charge fluctuations;
- S_{TM} is PT invariant
- Duality $e \leftrightarrow g$, $A \leftrightarrow B$.

- JJA on a square lattice can be exactly mapped in the model described by S_{TM} with a **periodic CS (BF)** term

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} A_1 \wedge dB_1 + A_1 \wedge *Q_1 + B_1 \wedge *M_1.$$

- JJA on a square lattice can be exactly mapped in the model described by S_{TM} with a **periodic CS (BF)** term

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} A_1 \wedge dB_1 + A_1 \wedge *Q_1 + B_1 \wedge *M_1.$$

- At low energy we have a $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge theories; topological (euclidean) excitations:
 - Q_1 = closed electric loops;
 - M_1 = closed magnetic loops.

- JJA on a square lattice can be exactly mapped in the model described by S_{TM} with a **periodic CS (BF)** term

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} A_1 \wedge dB_1 + A_1 \wedge *Q_1 + B_1 \wedge *M_1.$$

- At low energy we have a $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge theories; topological (euclidean) excitations:
 - Q_1 = closed electric loops;
 - M_1 = closed magnetic loops.
- Degeneracy: $(k_1 k_2)^2$ if $k = k_1/k_2$.

- JJA on a square lattice can be exactly mapped in the model described by S_{TM} with a **periodic CS (BF)** term

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} A_1 \wedge dB_1 + A_1 \wedge *Q_1 + B_1 \wedge *M_1.$$

- At low energy we have a $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge theories; topological (euclidean) excitations:
 - Q_1 = closed electric loops;
 - M_1 = closed magnetic loops.
- Degeneracy: $(k_1 k_2)^2$ if $k = k_1/k_2$.

- JJA on a square lattice can be exactly mapped in the model described by S_{TM} with a **periodic CS (BF)** term

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} A_1 \wedge dB_1 + A_1 \wedge *Q_1 + B_1 \wedge *M_1.$$

- At low energy we have a $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge theories; topological (euclidean) excitations:
 - Q_1 = closed electric loops;
 - M_1 = closed magnetic loops.
- Degeneracy: $(k_1 k_2)^2$ if $k = k_1/k_2$.

Phases of BF model in (2+1) dimensions

- Analysis based on:
 - free energy arguments;
 - expectation value of Wilson and 't Hooft loops.

Phases of BF model in (2+1) dimensions

- Analysis based on:
 - free energy arguments;
 - expectation value of Wilson and 't Hooft loops.
- Electric condensation (low energy), formally:

$$Z_{EC} = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}Q \exp i \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} [(A_1 \wedge dB_1) + (A_1 \wedge *Q_1)] ;$$

Phases of BF model in (2+1) dimensions

- Analysis based on:
 - free energy arguments;
 - expectation value of Wilson and 't Hooft loops.
- Electric condensation (low energy), formally:

$$Z_{EC} = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}Q \exp i \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} [(A_1 \wedge dB_1) + (A_1 \wedge *Q_1)] ;$$

- M_1 diluted, small loops;

Phases of BF model in (2+1) dimensions

- Analysis based on:
 - free energy arguments;
 - expectation value of Wilson and 't Hooft loops.
- Electric condensation (low energy), formally:

$$Z_{EC} = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}Q \exp i \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{M_{2+1}} [(A_1 \wedge dB_1) + (A_1 \wedge *Q_1)] ;$$

- M_1 diluted, small loops;

- confinement of vortices , Meissner effect →
superconducting phase.

- confinement of vortices , Meissner effect → **superconducting phase**.
- Dual phase: magnetic condensation, confinement of charges → **insulating phase**.

- confinement of vortices , Meissner effect → **superconducting phase**.
- Dual phase: magnetic condensation, confinement of charges → **insulating phase**.
- **Metallic**, no condensation phase.

- confinement of vortices , Meissner effect → **superconducting phase**.
- Dual phase: magnetic condensation, confinement of charges → **insulating phase**.
- **Metallic**, no condensation phase.
- No phase in which both topological defects are dense.

- confinement of vortices , Meissner effect → **superconducting phase**.
- Dual phase: magnetic condensation, confinement of charges → **insulating phase**.
- **Metallic**, no condensation phase.
- No phase in which both topological defects are dense.
- Phase transition at $T = 0$ between the superconducting and insulating phase.

- confinement of vortices , Meissner effect → **superconducting phase**.
- Dual phase: magnetic condensation, confinement of charges → **insulating phase**.
- **Metallic**, no condensation phase.
- No phase in which both topological defects are dense.
- Phase transition at $T = 0$ between the superconducting and insulating phase.
- Perfect agreement with the phase diagram of JJA.

Ground State Degeneracy (Torus)

- Electric condensation:

$$Z_{EC} = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}Q \exp -S(A_1, B_1, Q_1) \longrightarrow$$
$$\longrightarrow \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}B^c \exp -S(A_1, B_1^c)$$

▪

Ground State Degeneracy (Torus)

- Electric condensation:

$$Z_{EC} = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}Q \exp -S(A_1, B_1, Q_1) \longrightarrow$$
$$\longrightarrow \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}B^c \exp -S(A_1, B_1^c)$$

•

- Define:

$$A_i^R = (A_i + B_i^c) ; A_i^L = (A_i - B_i^c)$$

•

- gauge transformations:

$$A_i^R \rightarrow A_i^R + d_i \lambda ; A_i^L \rightarrow A_i^L + d_i \chi$$

$$\lambda(x_i + P_i) = \lambda(x_i) + 2\pi n_i;$$

$$\chi(x_i + P_i) = \chi(x_i) - 2\pi n_i$$

$P_i (i = 1, 2)$ periods of the torus.

- gauge transformations:

$$A_i^R \rightarrow A_i^R + d_i \lambda ; A_i^L \rightarrow A_i^L + d_i \chi$$

$$\lambda(x_i + P_i) = \lambda(x_i) + 2\pi n_i;$$

$$\chi(x_i + P_i) = \chi(x_i) - 2\pi n_i$$

$P_i (i = 1, 2)$ periods of the torus.

- There is only one generators of large gauge transformations per homology cycle.

- The action of the generators of large gauge transformations on physical states gives (semi)periodic conditions solved by:
 - $(k_1 k_2)^2$ theta functions if both topological defects are dense (not allowed dynamically);
 - $(k_1 k_2)$ for electric or magnetic condensation phase;
 - no degeneracy in the metallic phase.

- The action of the generators of large gauge transformations on physical states gives (semi)periodic conditions solved by:
 - $(k_1 k_2)^2$ theta functions if both topological defects are dense (not allowed dynamically);
 - $(k_1 k_2)$ for electric or magnetic condensation phase;
 - no degeneracy in the metallic phase.
- Top. defects drive transition between phases with **different topological order**.

- The action of the generators of large gauge transformations on physical states gives (semi)periodic conditions solved by:
 - $(k_1 k_2)^2$ theta functions if both topological defects are dense (not allowed dynamically);
 - $(k_1 k_2)$ for electric or magnetic condensation phase;
 - no degeneracy in the metallic phase.
- Top. defects drive transition between phases with **different topological order**.

- The action of the generators of large gauge transformations on physical states gives (semi)periodic conditions solved by:
 - $(k_1 k_2)^2$ theta functions if both topological defects are dense (not allowed dynamically);
 - $(k_1 k_2)$ for electric or magnetic condensation phase;
 - no degeneracy in the metallic phase.
- Top. defects drive transition between phases with **different topological order**.

- The action of the generators of large gauge transformations on physical states gives (semi)periodic conditions solved by:
 - $(k_1 k_2)^2$ theta functions if both topological defects are dense (not allowed dynamically);
 - $(k_1 k_2)$ for electric or magnetic condensation phase;
 - no degeneracy in the metallic phase.
- Top. defects drive transition between phases with **different topological order**.

BF versus conventional superconductors

- BF: gap arises from topological mechanism.

BF versus conventional superconductors

- BF: gap arises from topological mechanism.
- BCS: gap arises from SSB $U(1) \rightarrow Z_N, Z_2$ for Cooper pairs:
 - N^2 ground state degeneracy; 4 for Z_2 .
 - residual Aharonov-Bohm interaction between charges and vortices (Bais et al.);
 - **effective** BF theory with $k = N$;
 - $k = 2$ for Cooper pairs and ground state degeneracy $k^2 = 4$ on the torus (Hansson et al.).

BF versus conventional superconductors

- BF: gap arises from topological mechanism.
- BCS: gap arises from SSB $U(1) \rightarrow Z_N, Z_2$ for Cooper pairs:
 - N^2 ground state degeneracy; 4 for Z_2 .
 - residual Aharonov-Bohm interaction between charges and vortices (Bais et al.);
 - **effective** BF theory with $k = N$;
 - $k = 2$ for Cooper pairs and ground state degeneracy $k^2 = 4$ on the torus (Hansson et al.).
- The superconducting phase for BF superconductors has degeneracy k and not k^2 .

BF versus conventional superconductors

- BF: gap arises from topological mechanism.
- BCS: gap arises from SSB $U(1) \rightarrow Z_N, Z_2$ for Cooper pairs:
 - N^2 ground state degeneracy; 4 for Z_2 .
 - residual Aharonov-Bohm interaction between charges and vortices (Bais et al.);
 - **effective** BF theory with $k = N$;
 - $k = 2$ for Cooper pairs and ground state degeneracy $k^2 = 4$ on the torus (Hansson et al.).
- The superconducting phase for BF superconductors has degeneracy k and not k^2 .

BF versus conventional superconductors

- BF: gap arises from topological mechanism.
- BCS: gap arises from SSB $U(1) \rightarrow Z_N, Z_2$ for Cooper pairs:
 - N^2 ground state degeneracy; 4 for Z_2 .
 - residual Aharonov-Bohm interaction between charges and vortices (Bais et al.);
 - **effective** BF theory with $k = N$;
 - $k = 2$ for Cooper pairs and ground state degeneracy $k^2 = 4$ on the torus (Hansson et al.).
- The superconducting phase for BF superconductors has degeneracy k and not k^2 .

BF versus conventional superconductors

- BF: gap arises from topological mechanism.
- BCS: gap arises from SSB $U(1) \rightarrow Z_N, Z_2$ for Cooper pairs:
 - N^2 ground state degeneracy; 4 for Z_2 .
 - residual Aharonov-Bohm interaction between charges and vortices (Bais et al.);
 - **effective** BF theory with $k = N$;
 - $k = 2$ for Cooper pairs and ground state degeneracy $k^2 = 4$ on the torus (Hansson et al.).
- The superconducting phase for BF superconductors has degeneracy k and not k^2 .

BF versus conventional superconductors

- BF: gap arises from topological mechanism.
- BCS: gap arises from SSB $U(1) \rightarrow Z_N, Z_2$ for Cooper pairs:
 - N^2 ground state degeneracy; 4 for Z_2 .
 - residual Aharonov-Bohm interaction between charges and vortices (Bais et al.);
 - **effective** BF theory with $k = N$;
 - $k = 2$ for Cooper pairs and ground state degeneracy $k^2 = 4$ on the torus (Hansson et al.).
- The superconducting phase for BF superconductors has degeneracy k and not k^2 .

Incompressibility

- Once the gap is opened the ground state has universal properties of an incompressible quantum fluids:
symmetry under area preserving diffeomorphisms
 $W_{1+\infty}$, Fairlie, Fletcher, Zachos trigonometric algebra
on the torus .

Incompressibility

- Once the gap is opened the ground state has universal properties of an incompressible quantum fluids:
symmetry under area preserving diffeomorphisms
 $W_{1+\infty}$, Fairlie, Fletcher, Zachos trigonometric algebra
on the torus .
- Incompressible fluids must fall into representation of this algebra \implies this **determines the ground state degeneracy**.

Incompressibility

- Once the gap is opened the ground state has universal properties of an incompressible quantum fluids:
symmetry under area preserving diffeomorphisms
 $W_{1+\infty}$, Fairlie, Fletcher, Zachos trigonometric algebra
on the torus .
- Incompressible fluids must fall into representation of this algebra \implies this **determines the ground state degeneracy**.
- $1 \leftrightarrow 1$ correspondences between generators of large gauge transformation of BF model and generators of FFZ algebra.

Incompressibility

- Once the gap is opened the ground state has universal properties of an incompressible quantum fluids:
symmetry under area preserving diffeomorphisms
 $W_{1+\infty}$, Fairlie, Fletcher, Zachos trigonometric algebra on the torus .
- Incompressible fluids must fall into representation of this algebra \implies this **determines the ground state degeneracy**.
- $1 \leftrightarrow 1$ correspondences between generators of large gauge transformation of BF model and generators of FFZ algebra.
- Gapless excitations on manifold with boundaries described by a (R)CFT .

Topological Entanglement Entropy

- BF and BCS superconductors have different ground state degeneracy \implies **different topological entanglement entropy**

Topological Entanglement Entropy

- BF and BCS superconductors have different ground state degeneracy \implies **different topological entanglement entropy**
- Topological field theory on a simply connected region A of linear size L:

$$S_A = \alpha L - \gamma$$

(Kitaev and Preskill, Levin and Wen);

Topological Entanglement Entropy

- BF and BCS superconductors have different ground state degeneracy \implies **different topological entanglement entropy**
- Topological field theory on a simply connected region A of linear size L:

$$S_A = \alpha L - \gamma$$

(Kiatev and Preskill, Levin and Wen);

- γ is universal and characterizes the topological state:

$$\gamma = \ln D = \ln \sqrt{\sum_i d_i^2}$$

Topological Entanglement Entropy

- BF and BCS superconductors have different ground state degeneracy \implies **different topological entanglement entropy**
- Topological field theory on a simply connected region A of linear size L:

$$S_A = \alpha L - \gamma$$

(Kiatev and Preskill, Levin and Wen);

- γ is universal and characterizes the topological state:

$$\gamma = \ln D = \ln \sqrt{\sum_i d_i^2}$$

- D is the total quantum dimensions and d_i is the quantum dimensions of the i quasiparticles.

- For Abelian theories $d_i = 1$ for all quasiparticles types.

- For Abelian theories $d_i = 1$ for all quasiparticles types.
- On the torus $\#$ of quasiparticles $\equiv \#$ of degenerate ground states:
 - $\gamma = \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BF superconductors;
 - $\gamma = 2 \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BCS superconductors.

- For Abelian theories $d_i = 1$ for all quasiparticles types.
- On the torus $\#$ of quasiparticles $\equiv \#$ of degenerate ground states:
 - $\gamma = \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BF superconductors;
 - $\gamma = 2 \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BCS superconductors.
- This result can be obtained computing the modular S matrix by expressing

$$D = \frac{1}{S_0^0}$$

with S_0^0 the largest eigenvalue of the modular matrix (Gukov et al. ; Fendley et al ; Dong et al.).

- For Abelian theories $d_i = 1$ for all quasiparticles types.
- On the torus $\#$ of quasiparticles \equiv $\#$ of degenerate ground states:
 - $\gamma = \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BF superconductors;
 - $\gamma = 2 \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BCS superconductors.
- This result can be obtained computing the modular S matrix by expressing

$$D = \frac{1}{S_0^0}$$

with S_0^0 the largest eigenvalue of the modular matrix (Gukov et al. ; Fendley et al ; Dong et al.).

- For Abelian theories $d_i = 1$ for all quasiparticles types.
- On the torus $\#$ of quasiparticles $\equiv \#$ of degenerate ground states:
 - $\gamma = \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BF superconductors;
 - $\gamma = 2 \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BCS superconductors.
- This result can be obtained computing the modular S matrix by expressing

$$D = \frac{1}{S_0^0}$$

with S_0^0 the largest eigenvalue of the modular matrix (Gukov et al. ; Fendley et al ; Dong et al.).

- For Abelian theories $d_i = 1$ for all quasiparticles types.
- On the torus $\#$ of quasiparticles $\equiv \#$ of degenerate ground states:
 - $\gamma = \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BF superconductors;
 - $\gamma = 2 \ln(k_1 k_2)$ for BCS superconductors.
- This result can be obtained computing the modular S matrix by expressing

$$D = \frac{1}{S_0^0}$$

with S_0^0 the largest eigenvalue of the modular matrix (Gukov et al. ; Fendley et al ; Dong et al.).

Conclusions

- BF theories are **fundamental** theories that describes topological phases of matter.

Conclusions

- BF theories are **fundamental** theories that describes topological phases of matter.
- Condensation (or lack of) of topological defects drives topological phase transitions between **phases with different topological order**.

Conclusions

- BF theories are **fundamental** theories that describes topological phases of matter.
- Condensation (or lack of) of topological defects drives topological phase transitions between **phases with different topological order**.
- BF superconductors can be **distinguished** from conventional (BCS) superconductors by their respective topological entanglement entropy.