

TAU-STRUCTURE FOR THE DOUBLE RAMIFICATION HIERARCHIES

ALEXANDR BURYAK, BORIS DUBROVIN, JÉRÉMY GUÉRÉ, AND PAOLO ROSSI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we continue the study of the double ramification hierarchy of [Bur15]. After showing that the DR hierarchy satisfies tau-symmetry we define its partition function as the (logarithm of the) tau-structure of the string solution and show that it satisfies various properties (string, dilaton and divisor equations plus some important degree constraints). We then formulate a stronger version of the conjecture from [Bur15]: for any semisimple cohomological field theory, the Dubrovin-Zhang and double ramification hierarchies are related by a normal (i.e. preserving the tau-structure [DLYZ14]) Miura transformation which we completely identify in terms of the partition function of the CohFT. In fact, using only the partition functions, the conjecture can be formulated even in the non-semisimple case (where the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy is not defined). We then prove this conjecture for various CohFTs (trivial CohFT, Hodge class, Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{CP}^1 , 3-, 4- and 5-spin classes) and in genus 1 for any semisimple CohFT. Finally we prove that the higher genus part of the DR hierarchy is basically trivial for the Gromov-Witten theory of smooth varieties with non-positive first Chern class and their analogue in Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten quantum singularity theory [FJR13].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Acknowledgements	3
2. Double ramification hierarchy	3
2.1. Formal loop space	4
2.2. Definition of the double ramification hierarchy	5
3. Tau-symmetric hamiltonian hierarchies	6
3.1. Definition of a tau-structure	6
3.2. Sufficient condition for existence of a tau-structure	7
3.3. Tau-functions	8
3.4. Miura transformations	9
3.5. Normal coordinates of a tau-symmetric hierarchy	10
3.6. Normal Miura transformations	11
3.7. Uniqueness of a tau-structure in normal coordinates	11
4. Tau-structure and the partition function of the double ramification hierarchy	11
4.1. Tau-structure for the double ramification hierarchy	12
4.2. Partition function of the double ramification hierarchy	12
4.3. Genus 0 part	13
5. Geometric properties of the double ramification cycle	13
5.1. Divisibility property	13
5.2. Double ramification cycle and fundamental class	15
6. Properties of the double ramification correlators	15
6.1. One-point correlators	16
6.2. String equation	17
6.3. Dilaton equation	18
6.4. Divisor equation	19
6.5. Homogeneity condition	21
6.6. High degree vanishing	22
6.7. Low degree vanishing	26
7. Strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture and the reduced potential	27

7.1. Brief recall of the Dubrovin-Zhang theory	28
7.2. Double ramification hierarchy in the normal coordinates	29
7.3. Strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture	30
7.4. Examples	34
8. Double ramification hierarchy in genus 1	37
8.1. Genus 1 correction to the Hamiltonians	37
8.2. DR/DZ equivalence in genus 1	38
9. Generalized double ramification hierarchies	39
9.1. Partial cohomological field theories	39
9.2. Even part of a partial cohomological field theory	40
10. Examples and applications	40
10.1. $I_2(k-1)$ double ramification hierarchies and regularity at the origin	40
10.2. Manifolds with non-positive first Chern class	41
10.3. Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten theory and partial CohFTs	44
10.4. Singularities of low degree	51
References	55

1. INTRODUCTION

The double ramification (DR) hierarchy, introduced in [Bur15] by the first author and further studied in [BR15a, BG15], is an integrable system of evolutionary Hamiltonian PDEs associated to any given cohomological field theory (CohFT) on the moduli space of curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. In its construction, the geometry of the cycles $\lambda_g \cdot \text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is involved, where λ_g is the top Chern class of the Hodge bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and $\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is the double ramification cycle [Hai13], the push-forward to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of the virtual fundamental class of the moduli space of maps to \mathbb{P}^1 relative to 0 and ∞ , with ramification profile (orders of poles and zeros) given by $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy [DZ05] is another integrable system of tau-symmetric evolutionary PDEs associated to any semisimple CohFT. It is a central object in the generalization to any semisimple CohFT of the Witten-Kontsevich theorem [Wit91, Kon92]. This theorem, which is equivalent to the Givental-Teleman reconstruction of the full CohFT starting from genus 0 [Tel12], says that the partition function of the CohFT is (the logarithm of) the tau-function of the topological solution to the DZ hierarchy.

It is natural to ask what is the relation between the DR and DZ hierarchies. While it is trivial to see that they coincide in genus 0, in [Bur15] the first author, guided by the first computed examples, conjectured that the two hierarchies are related by a Miura transformation, i.e. a change of coordinates in the formal phase space on which the two hierarchies are defined. This conjecture was proved in a number of examples in [BR15a, BG15], where some of the properties of the DR hierarchy were also studied.

In this paper, with the aim of better understanding the DR/DZ equivalence, we prove that the DR hierarchy, as the DZ hierarchy, is *tau-symmetric*, which means that hamiltonian densities with a special symmetry property (a tau-structure) exist, such that, to each solution of the hierarchy of PDEs, one can associate a single function of times, called a *tau-function*, encoding the time evolution of all the above hamiltonian densities. We define the *partition function* of the DR hierarchy as the tau-function of a special solution (the string solution, the analogue of the topological solution in Dubrovin-Zhang's theory).

We then formulate a stronger version of the DR/DZ equivalence conjecture: the DR and DZ hierarchies are related by a Miura transformation preserving the tau-structures (a normal Miura transformation, see [DLYZ14]).

This makes the comparison between the DR and DZ hierarchies much more direct, as we can compare their respective partition functions (and the hierarchies themselves can be reconstructed uniquely from the partition functions). This comparison and some vanishing results for the DR partition function allow us to further predict the explicit form of the normal Miura transformation in terms of the DZ partition function. Indeed, there is a unique normal Miura transformation transforming the DZ partition function into a reduced partition function with the same vanishing properties as the DR partition function. So our conjecture becomes that this reduced DZ partition function and the DR partition function coincide.

One immediate application of the conjecture, when proved true, is to give a quantization of any Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy via the above equivalence to the DR hierarchy and the quantization construction of [BR15b], see also [BG15] for more examples. Another application, in case the conjecture holds for any CohFT, is to provide a form of the Witten-Kontsevich theorem in the non-semisimple case. There are, moreover, implications on the study of relations in the cohomology ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ which will be addressed in a future work.

In this paper we prove the *strong DR/DZ equivalence* conjecture for the trivial CohFT, the full Chern class of the Hodge bundle, the Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{CP}^1 and Witten's 3-, 4- and 5-spin classes. Furthermore, we prove it in genus 1 for any semisimple CohFT. We then remark that the DR hierarchy construction works also for generalized forms of CohFTs (satisfying weaker axioms), like the partial CohFTs of [LRZ15] or the even part of the Gromov-Witten theory of a target variety. We then study the higher genus deformations of the genus 0 DR/DZ hierarchies associated to 2-dimensional polynomial Frobenius manifolds which satisfy the recursion equations from [BR15a] and compare it with the ones associated to Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten quantum singularity theory. Finally we show how the DR hierarchy associated to the (even) Gromov-Witten theory of smooth varieties with non-positive first Chern class is basically trivial in positive genus and the same result holds for the analogous situation in Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten quantum singularity theory [FJR13].

1.1. Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Andrea Brini, Guido Carlet, Rahul Pandharipande, Sergey Shadrin and Dimitri Zvonkine for useful discussions. A. B. was supported by Grant ERC-2012-AdG-320368-MCSK in the group of R. Pandharipande at ETH Zurich and Grant RFFI-16-01-00409. B. D. was partially supported by PRIN 2010-11 Grant “Geometric and analytic theory of Hamiltonian systems in finite and infinite dimensions” of Italian Ministry of Universities and Researches. J. G. was supported by the Einstein foundation. P. R. was partially supported by a Chaire CNRS/Enseignement superieur 2012-2017 grant.

Part of the work was completed during the visits of B. D. and P. R. to the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik at ETH Zürich in 2014 and 2015.

2. DOUBLE RAMIFICATION HIERARCHY

In this section we briefly recall the main definitions from [Bur15] (see also [BR15a]). The double ramification hierarchy is a system of commuting Hamiltonians on an infinite dimensional phase space that can be heuristically thought of as the loop space of a fixed vector space. The entry datum for this construction is a cohomological field theory in the sense of Kontsevich and Manin [KM94]. Denote by $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ the system of linear maps defining the

cohomological field theory, V its underlying N -dimensional vector space, η its metric tensor and $e_1 \in V$ the unit of the cohomological field theory.

2.1. Formal loop space. The loop space of V will be defined somewhat formally by describing its ring of functions. Following [DZ05] (see also [Ros10]), let us consider formal variables u_i^α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, associated to a basis e_1, \dots, e_N of V . Always just at a heuristic level, the variable $u^\alpha := u_0^\alpha$ can be thought of as the component $u^\alpha(x)$ along e_α of a formal loop $u: S^1 \rightarrow V$, where x is the coordinate on S^1 , and the variables $u_x^\alpha := u_1^\alpha$, $u_{xx}^\alpha := u_2^\alpha, \dots$ as its x -derivatives. We then define the ring \mathcal{A}_N of *differential polynomials* as the ring of polynomials $f(u; u_x, u_{xx}, \dots)$ in the variables u_i^α , $i > 0$, with coefficients in the ring of formal power series in the variables $u^\alpha = u_0^\alpha$. We can differentiate a differential polynomial with respect to x by applying the operator $\partial_x := \sum_{i \geq 0} u_{i+1}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i^\alpha}$ (in general, we use the convention of sum over repeated Greek indices, but not over repeated Latin indices). In the following, when it does not give rise to confusion, we will often employ the lighter notation $f(u)$ for a differential polynomial $f(u; u_x, u_{xx}, \dots)$. Finally, we consider the quotient Λ_N of the ring of differential polynomials first by constants and then by the image of ∂_x , and we call its elements *local functionals*. A local functional, that is the equivalence class of a differential polynomial $f = f(u; u_x, u_{xx}, \dots)$, will be denoted by $\bar{f} = \int f dx$.

Differential polynomials and local functionals can also be described using another set of formal variables, corresponding heuristically to the Fourier components p_k^α , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, of the functions $u^\alpha = u^\alpha(x)$. Let us, hence, define a change of variables

$$(2.1) \quad u_j^\alpha = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (ik)^j p_k^\alpha e^{ikx},$$

which allows us to express a differential polynomial $f(u; u_x, u_{xx}, \dots)$ as a formal Fourier series in x where the coefficient of e^{ikx} is a power series in the variables p_j^α (where the sum of the subscripts in each monomial in p_j^α equals k). Moreover, the local functional \bar{f} corresponds to the constant term of the Fourier series of f .

Let us describe a natural class of Poisson brackets on the space of local functionals. Given an $N \times N$ matrix $K = (K^{\mu\nu})$ of differential operators of the form $K^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{j \geq 0} K_j^{\mu\nu} \partial_x^j$, where the coefficients $K_j^{\mu\nu}$ are differential polynomials and the sum is finite, we define

$$\{\bar{f}, \bar{g}\}_K := \int \left(\frac{\delta \bar{f}}{\delta u^\mu} K^{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}}{\delta u^\nu} \right) dx,$$

where we have used the variational derivative $\frac{\delta \bar{f}}{\delta u^\mu} := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-\partial_x)^i \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_i^\mu}$. Imposing that such bracket satisfies the anti-symmetry and the Jacobi identity will translate, of course, into conditions for the coefficients $K_j^{\mu\nu}$. An operator that satisfies such conditions will be called hamiltonian. A standard example of a hamiltonian operator is given by $\eta \partial_x$. The corresponding Poisson bracket also has a nice expression in terms of the variables p_k^α :

$$(2.2) \quad \{p_k^\alpha, p_j^\beta\}_{\eta \partial_x} = ik \eta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{k+j,0}.$$

Finally, we will need to consider extensions $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}_N$ of the spaces of differential polynomials and local functionals. First, let us introduce a grading $\deg u_i^\alpha = i$ and a new variable ε with $\deg \varepsilon = -1$. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[k]}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[k]}$ are defined, respectively, as the subspaces of degree k of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N := \mathcal{A}_N \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]]$ and of $\widehat{\Lambda}_N := \Lambda_N \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]]$. Their elements will still be called differential polynomials and local functionals. We can also define Poisson brackets as above, starting from a hamiltonian operator $K = (K^{\mu\nu})$, $K^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (K_j^{[i]})^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^i \partial_x^j$, where $(K_j^{[i]})^{\mu\nu} \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and $\deg(K_j^{[i]})^{\mu\nu} = i - j + 1$. The corresponding Poisson bracket will then have degree 1. In the sequel only such hamiltonian operators will be considered.

A hamiltonian system of PDEs is a system of the form

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial \tau_i} = K^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{h}_i}{\delta u^\mu}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, N, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\bar{h}_i \in \widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[0]}$ are local functionals with the compatibility condition $\{\bar{h}_i, \bar{h}_j\}_K = 0$, for $i, j \geq 1$. The local functionals \bar{h}_i are called the *Hamiltonians* of the system (2.3).

2.2. Definition of the double ramification hierarchy. Consider an arbitrary cohomological field theory $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$. We denote by ψ_i the first Chern class of the line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ formed by the cotangent lines at the i -th marked point. Denote by \mathbb{E} the rank g Hodge vector bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ whose fibers are the spaces of holomorphic one-forms. Let $\lambda_j := c_j(\mathbb{E}) \in H^{2j}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$. The Hamiltonians of the double ramification hierarchy are defined as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{g}_{\alpha,d} := \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0 \\ n \geq 2}} \frac{(-\varepsilon^2)^g}{n!} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum a_i = 0}} \left(\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}} \text{DR}_g(0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \lambda_g \psi_1^d c_{g,n+1}(e_\alpha \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i},$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$ and $d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Here $\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in H^{2g}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ is the double ramification cycle. The restriction $\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)|_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}}$ can be defined as the Poincaré dual to the locus of pointed smooth curves $[C, p_1, \dots, p_n]$ satisfying $\mathcal{O}_C(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, and we refer the reader, for example, to [Bur15] for the definition of the double ramification cycle on the whole moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. We will often consider the Poincaré dual to the double ramification cycle $\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. It is an element of $H_{2(2g-3+n)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ and, abusing our notations a little bit, it will also be denoted by $\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. In particular, the integral in (2.4) will often be written in the following way:

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\text{DR}_g(0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g \psi_1^d c_{g,n+1}(e_\alpha \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}).$$

The expression on the right-hand side of (2.4) can be uniquely written as a local functional from $\widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[0]}$ using the change of variables (2.1). Concretely it can be done in the following way. The integral (2.5) is a polynomial in a_1, \dots, a_n homogeneous of degree $2g$. It follows from Hain's formula [Hai13], the result of [MW13] and the fact that λ_g vanishes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{ct}}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{ct}}$ is the moduli space of stable curves of compact type. Thus, the integral (2.5) can be written as a polynomial

$$P_{\alpha,d,g;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{\substack{b_1, \dots, b_n \geq 0 \\ b_1 + \dots + b_n = 2g}} P_{\alpha,d,g;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n}^{b_1, \dots, b_n} a_1^{b_1} \dots a_n^{b_n}.$$

Then we have

$$\bar{g}_{\alpha,d} = \int \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0 \\ n \geq 2}} \frac{\varepsilon^{2g}}{n!} \sum_{\substack{b_1, \dots, b_n \geq 0 \\ b_1 + \dots + b_n = 2g}} P_{\alpha,d,g;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n}^{b_1, \dots, b_n} u_{b_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots u_{b_n}^{\alpha_n} dx.$$

Note that the integral (2.5) is defined only when $a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0$. Therefore the polynomial $P_{\alpha,d,g;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n}$ is actually not unique. However, the resulting local functional $\bar{g}_{\alpha,d} \in \widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[0]}$ doesn't depend on this ambiguity (see [Bur15]). In fact, in [BR15a], a special choice of differential polynomial densities $g_{\alpha,d} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]}$ for $\bar{g}_{\alpha,d} = \int g_{\alpha,d} dx$ is selected. They are defined in terms of p -variables as

$$g_{\alpha,d} := \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0, n \geq 1 \\ 2g-1+n > 0}} \frac{(-\varepsilon^2)^g}{n!} \sum_{\substack{a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum a_i = 0}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g \psi_1^d c_{g,n+1}(e_\alpha \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i} e^{-ia_0 x},$$

and converted univocally to differential polynomials using again the change of variables (2.1).

The fact that the local functionals $\bar{g}_{\alpha,d}$ mutually commute with respect to the standard bracket $\eta\partial_x$ was proved in [Bur15]. The system of local functionals $\bar{g}_{\alpha,d}$, for $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$, $d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the corresponding system of hamiltonian PDEs with respect to the standard Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{\eta\partial_x}$,

$$\frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial t_q^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^\mu},$$

is called the *double ramification hierarchy*.

3. TAU-SYMMETRIC HAMILTONIAN HIERARCHIES

In this section, following [DZ05] (see also [DLYZ14]), we review basic notions and facts in the theory of tau-symmetric hamiltonian hierarchies. We also find a simple sufficient condition for a hamiltonian hierarchy to have a tau-structure.

3.1. Definition of a tau-structure. Consider the hamiltonian system defined by a hamiltonian operator $K = (K^{\alpha\beta})_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N}$ and a family of pairwise commuting local functionals $\bar{h}_{\beta,q} \in \widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[0]}$, parameterized by two indices $1 \leq \beta \leq N$ and $q \geq 0$, $\{\bar{h}_{\beta,q}, \bar{h}_{\gamma,p}\}_K = 0$:

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial t_q^\beta} = K^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^\mu}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N, \quad q \geq 0.$$

A hamiltonian system of this form is called a hamiltonian hierarchy. Let us assume that the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations:

$$K^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{1,0}}{\delta u^\mu} = u_x^\alpha.$$

Consider the ε -expansion $K = \sum_{i \geq 0} \varepsilon^i K^{[i]}$. The leading term $K^{[0]}$ is also a hamiltonian operator and we have

$$(K^{[0]})^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}(u) \partial_x + b_\gamma^{\alpha\beta}(u) u_x^\gamma,$$

where $g^{\alpha\beta}(u)$ and $b_\gamma^{\alpha\beta}(u)$ are formal power series in u^1, \dots, u^N . A *tau-structure* for the hierarchy (3.1) is a collection of differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]}$, $1 \leq \beta \leq N$, $q \geq -1$, such that the following conditions hold:

(1) The local functionals $\bar{h}_{\beta,-1} := \int h_{\beta,-1} dx$ are Casimirs of the hamiltonian operator K ,

$$(3.2) \quad K^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\beta,-1}}{\delta u^\mu} = 0.$$

(2) The N Casimirs $\bar{h}_{\beta,-1}$ are linearly independent.

(3) We have

$$(3.3) \quad \det(g^{\alpha\beta})|_{u^*=0} \neq 0.$$

(4) For $q \geq 0$, the differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q}$ are densities for the Hamiltonians $\bar{h}_{\beta,q}$,

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{h}_{\beta,q} = \int h_{\beta,q} dx.$$

(5) Tau-symmetry:

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_q^\beta} = \frac{\partial h_{\beta,q-1}}{\partial t_p^\alpha}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N, \quad p, q \geq 0.$$

Recall that the bracket $\{f, \bar{h}\}_K$ of a differential polynomial $f \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N$ and a local functional $\bar{h} \in \widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[0]}$ is defined by

$$(3.6) \quad \{f, \bar{h}\}_K := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_n^\gamma} \partial_x^n \left(K^{\gamma\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{h}}{\delta u^\mu} \right).$$

Therefore, condition (3.5) can be equivalently written in the following way:

$$\{h_{\alpha,p-1}, \bar{h}_{\beta,q}\}_K = \{h_{\beta,q-1}, \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}\}_K.$$

Existence of a tau-structure imposes non-trivial constraints on a hamiltonian hierarchy. If a tau-structure exists, it is not unique. We will see it in Section 3.6. A hamiltonian hierarchy (3.1) with a fixed tau-structure will be called *tau-symmetric*.

3.2. Sufficient condition for existence of a tau-structure. Consider a hamiltonian hierarchy (3.1). In the same way, as in the previous section, we assume that the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations. Suppose that $K = \eta \partial_x$, where $\eta = (\eta^{\alpha\beta})_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N}$ is a symmetric non-degenerate complex matrix.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that*

$$\frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\partial u^1} = \begin{cases} \bar{h}_{\beta,q-1}, & \text{if } q \geq 1, \\ \int \theta_{\beta\mu} u^\mu dx, & \text{if } q = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta = (\theta_{\beta\mu})$ is a non-degenerate complex matrix. Then the differential polynomials

$$h_{\beta,q} := \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\beta,q+1}}{\delta u^1}, \quad q \geq -1,$$

define a tau-structure for the hierarchy (3.1).

Proof. We have $\bar{h}_{\beta,-1} = \int \theta_{\beta\mu} u^\mu dx$. Clearly, these local functionals are Casimirs for the operator $\eta \partial_x$ and are linearly independent. Condition (3.3) is obvious. Condition (3.4) is also clear, since for $q \geq 0$ we have

$$\int h_{\beta,q} dx = \int \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\beta,q+1}}{\delta u^1} dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} \bar{h}_{\beta,q+1} = \bar{h}_{\beta,q}.$$

Let us check the tau-symmetry condition (3.5). We have the commutativity $\{\bar{h}_{\alpha,p}, \bar{h}_{\beta,q}\}_{\eta \partial_x} = 0$. Let us apply the variational derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta u^1}$ to this equation. It is much easier to do it in the p -variables (2.1). By (2.2), we have $\{\bar{h}_{\alpha,p}, \bar{h}_{\beta,q}\}_{\eta \partial_x} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} i n \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\partial p_n^\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\partial p_{-n}^\nu}$. For the variational

derivative we have $\frac{\delta \bar{h}}{\delta u^\gamma} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-inx} \frac{\partial \bar{h}}{\partial p_n^\gamma}$ for any $\bar{h} \in \widehat{\Lambda}_N^{[0]}$. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{\delta}{\delta u^1} \{ \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}, \bar{h}_{\beta,q} \}_{\eta \partial_x} = \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-inx} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n^1} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\partial p_m^\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\partial p_{-m}^\nu} \right) = \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_m^\mu} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-inx} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\partial p_n^1} \right) \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\partial p_{-m}^\nu} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\partial p_m^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{-m}^\nu} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-inx} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\partial p_n^1} \right) = \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_m^\mu} \left(\frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\delta u^1} \right) \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\partial p_{-m}^\nu} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\partial p_m^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{-m}^\nu} \left(\frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^1} \right) = \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial p_m^\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\beta,q}}{\partial p_{-m}^\nu} - \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} im\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial h_{\beta,q-1}}{\partial p_m^\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\partial p_{-m}^\nu} = \\
&= \{ h_{\alpha,p-1}, \bar{h}_{\beta,q} \}_{\eta \partial_x} - \{ h_{\beta,q-1}, \bar{h}_{\alpha,p} \}_{\eta \partial_x} = \\
&= \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_q^\beta} - \frac{\partial h_{\beta,q-1}}{\partial t_p^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. \square

3.3. Tau-functions. In this section we define a certain function associated to any solution of a tau-symmetric hamiltonian hierarchy. This function is called the tau-function.

Consider a hamiltonian hierarchy (3.1). We again assume that the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations. Suppose that differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q}$, $1 \leq \beta \leq N$, $q \geq -1$, define a tau-structure for our hierarchy. From conditions (3.2) and (3.4) it follows that for any $p, q \geq 0$ we have

$$(3.7) \quad \int \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_q^\beta} dx = 0.$$

The differential polynomial $\frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_q^\beta}$ belongs to $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[1]}$, therefore it doesn't have a constant term.

Thus, equation (3.7) implies that there exists a unique differential polynomial $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]}$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \partial_x \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_q^\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}|_{u_*^* = 0} = 0.$$

The differential polynomial $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}$ is called the *two-point function* of the given tau-structure of the hierarchy. From condition (3.5) it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q} = \Omega_{\beta,q;\alpha,p}$$

and, moreover, it implies that the differential polynomial

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}}{\partial t_r^\gamma}$$

is symmetric with respect to all permutations of the pairs (α, p) , (β, q) , (γ, r) . Since the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations, equation (3.8) implies that $\partial_x \Omega_{\alpha,p;1,0} = \partial_x h_{\alpha,p-1}$, $p \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$(3.11) \quad \Omega_{\alpha,p;1,0} - h_{\alpha,p-1} = C, \quad p \geq 0,$$

where C is a constant.

Consider an arbitrary solution

$$u^\alpha = u^\alpha(x, t_*^*; \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, t_*^*, \varepsilon]], \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

of our hierarchy (3.1). In order to avoid convergence issues, we assume that $u^\alpha(x, t_*^*; \varepsilon)|_{x=t_*^*=\varepsilon=0} = 0$. Then equation (3.9) and the symmetry of (3.10) imply that there exists a function $P \in \varepsilon^{-2}\mathbb{C}[[t_*^*, \varepsilon]]$ such that

$$(\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}(u(x, t; \varepsilon); u_x(x, t; \varepsilon), \dots))|_{x=0} = \varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t_p^\alpha \partial t_q^\beta}, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N \text{ and } p, q \geq 0.$$

The exponent $\tau := e^P$ is called the *tau-function* of the solution $u^\alpha = u^\alpha(x, t; \varepsilon)$ with respect to the given tau-structure of our hierarchy. Since $P \in \varepsilon^{-2}\mathbb{C}[[t_*^*, \varepsilon]]$, the exponent e^P can't be defined in the usual sense. It can be considered as a generator of a rank 1 module over the ring $\mathbb{C}[[t_*^*]][\varepsilon^{-1}, \varepsilon]$. Then the derivatives $\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t_p^\alpha} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial t_p^\alpha} \tau$ are correctly defined and are elements of the same space (see e. g. the discussion in [Get99, Section 1.3]). These subtleties are not so important for us, because we will mostly work with the function $P = \log \tau$. Clearly, the tau-function $\tau(t; \varepsilon)$ is determined uniquely up to a transformation of the form

$$(3.12) \quad \tau(t; \varepsilon) \mapsto e^{\varepsilon^{-2}(a(\varepsilon) + \sum_{r \geq 0} b_{\gamma,r}(\varepsilon) t_r^2)} \tau(t; \varepsilon),$$

where $a(\varepsilon), b_{\gamma,r}(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]]$.

3.4. Miura transformations. Here we want to discuss changes of variables in the theory of hamiltonian systems and introduce appropriate notations.

First of all, let us modify our notations a little bit. Recall that by \mathcal{A}_N we denoted the ring of differential polynomials in the variables u^1, \dots, u^N . Since we are going to consider rings of differential polynomials in different variables, we want to see the variables in the notation. So for the rest of the paper we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}$ the ring of differential polynomials in variables u^1, \dots, u^N . The same notation is adopted for the extension $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}$ and for the spaces of local functionals $\Lambda_{u^1, \dots, u^N}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}$.

Consider changes of variables of the form

$$(3.13) \quad u^\alpha \mapsto \widetilde{u}^\alpha(u) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \varepsilon^k f_k^\alpha(u), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} f_k^\alpha &\in \mathcal{A}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}, \quad \deg f_k^\alpha = k, \\ f_0^\alpha|_{u^*=0} &= 0, \quad \det \left(\frac{\partial f_0^\alpha}{\partial u^\beta} \right) \Big|_{u^*=0} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

They are called *Miura transformations*. These transformations form a group that is called the *Miura group*. We say that the Miura transformation is close to identity if $f_0^\alpha = u^\alpha$.

Any differential polynomial $f(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}$ can be rewritten as a differential polynomial in the new variables \widetilde{u}^α . The resulting differential polynomial is denoted by $f(\widetilde{u})$. The last equation in line (3.14) guarantees that, if $f(u) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[d]}$, then $f(\widetilde{u}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{u}^1, \dots, \widetilde{u}^N}^{[d]}$. In other words, a Miura transformation defines an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[d]} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\widetilde{u}^1, \dots, \widetilde{u}^N}^{[d]}$. In the same way any Miura transformation identifies the spaces of local functionals $\widehat{\Lambda}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[d]}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\widetilde{u}^1, \dots, \widetilde{u}^N}^{[d]}$. For any local functional $\overline{h}[u] \in \widehat{\Lambda}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[d]}$ the image of it under the isomorphism $\widehat{\Lambda}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[d]} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \widehat{\Lambda}_{\widetilde{u}^1, \dots, \widetilde{u}^N}^{[d]}$ is denoted by $\overline{h}[\widetilde{u}] \in \widehat{\Lambda}_{\widetilde{u}^1, \dots, \widetilde{u}^N}^{[d]}$.

Let us describe the action of Miura transformations on hamiltonian systems. Consider a hamiltonian system (2.3) and a Miura transformation (3.13). Then in the new variables \widetilde{u}^α , the system (2.3) looks as follows:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}^\alpha}{\partial \tau_i} &= K_u^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \overline{h}_i[\widetilde{u}]}{\delta \widetilde{u}^\mu}, \quad \text{where} \\ K_u^{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{p, q \geq 0} \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}^\alpha(u)}{\partial u_p^\mu} \partial_x^p \circ K^{\mu\nu} \circ (-\partial_x)^q \circ \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}^\beta(u)}{\partial u_q^\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that we have a tau-symmetric hamiltonian hierarchy (3.1) with a tau-structure given by differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q}$. Then the differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q}(\tilde{u})$ define a tau-structure for the hierarchy in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α . Moreover, if $u^\alpha = u^\alpha(x, t_*^*; \varepsilon)$ is a solution of our hierarchy (3.1) and $\tau(t_*^*; \varepsilon)$ is its tau-functions, then $\tilde{u}^\alpha = \tilde{u}^\alpha(u(x, t; \varepsilon); u_x(x, t; \varepsilon), \dots)$ is a solution of the hierarchy in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α and $\tau(t; \varepsilon)$ is its tau-function.

Now we would like to formulate a simple technical lemma about the behavior of the constant term of a hamiltonian operator under Miura transformations. The statement of this lemma was already noticed in [BPS12b] (see Lemma 20 there). Let K be a hamiltonian operator. Consider the expansion $K = \sum_{i \geq 0} K_i \partial_x^i$, where K_i are matrices of differential polynomials.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $K_0 = 0$ and a Miura transformation $u^\alpha \mapsto \tilde{u}^\alpha(u)$ has the form $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u) = u^\alpha + \partial_x r^\alpha$, where $r^\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[-1]}$. Then $(K_{\tilde{u}})_0 = 0$.*

Proof. We compute

$$(K_{\tilde{u}})_0^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{p,q \geq 0} \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^\alpha(u)}{\partial u_p^\mu} \partial_x^p \left(K_s^{\mu\nu} \partial_x^s (-\partial_x)^q \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^\beta(u)}{\partial u_q^\nu} \right) = \sum_{p,q \geq 0} \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^\alpha(u)}{\partial u_p^\mu} \partial_x^p \left(K_s^{\mu\nu} \partial_x^s \frac{\delta \tilde{u}^\beta(u)}{\delta u^\nu} \right).$$

Since $\frac{\delta \tilde{u}^\beta(u)}{\delta u^\nu} = \delta_\nu^\beta$ and $K_0^{\mu\nu} = 0$, the last expression is equal to zero. The lemma is proved. \square

3.5. Normal coordinates of a tau-symmetric hierarchy. Consider a hamiltonian hierarchy (3.1), where the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations. Suppose that differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q}$, $q \geq -1$, define a tau-structure for our hierarchy. Note that if we add some constants to $h_{\beta,q}$, then the resulting differential polynomials also define a tau-structure for the hierarchy. Let us assume that $h_{\beta,-1}|_{u_*^*=0} = 0$. Recall that

$$(3.16) \quad (K^{[0]})^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}(u) \partial_x + b_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}(u) u_x^\gamma,$$

where $\det(g^{\alpha\beta})|_{u_*^*=0} \neq 0$. Then the matrix $(g^{\alpha\beta})$ is symmetric, the inverse matrix $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ defines a flat metric and the functions $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(u) := -g_{\alpha\mu}(u) b_{\beta}^{\mu\gamma}(u)$ are the coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to this metric (see [DN83]). The space of Casimirs of the operator (3.16) is N -dimensional and is spanned by the local functionals $\int v^\alpha(u) dx$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N$, where $v^\alpha(u^1, \dots, u^N)$ are flat coordinates for the metric $(g_{\alpha\beta})$. Since the local functionals $\bar{h}_{\alpha,-1}$ are linearly independent, the differential polynomials $h_{\alpha,-1}$ have the form

$$h_{\alpha,-1} = v_\alpha(u) + O(\varepsilon),$$

where $v_\alpha(u)$ are flat coordinates for the metric $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ and $v_\alpha(0) = 0$. Therefore, the functions $h_{\alpha,-1}$ define a Miura transformation

$$u^\alpha \mapsto \tilde{u}_\alpha(u) = h_{\alpha,-1}.$$

The dependent variables $\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_N$ are called the *normal coordinates* with respect to the given tau-structure. The hamiltonian operator in the normal coordinates $\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_N$ has the form

$$(K_{\tilde{u}})_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_x + O(\varepsilon)$$

with a constant symmetric invertible matrix $(\eta_{\alpha\beta})$. The variables

$$\tilde{u}^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\mu} \tilde{u}_\mu,$$

where $(\eta^{\alpha\beta}) := (\eta_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$, are also called the normal coordinates.

Suppose that the coordinates u^α are already normal for the given tau-structure. It means that the hamiltonian operator $K = (K^{\alpha\beta})$ has the form $K^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x + O(\varepsilon)$ for some constant symmetric non-degenerate matrix η and that $h_{\alpha,-1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} u^\mu$. Then the equations of the hierarchy can be written in the following way using the two-point functions:

$$\frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial t_q^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \Omega_{\mu,0;\beta,q}.$$

3.6. Normal Miura transformations. Consider a hamiltonian hierarchy (3.1), where the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations. Suppose that differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q}$, $q \geq -1$, define a tau-structure for the hierarchy. Consider a differential polynomial $\mathcal{F} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[-2]}$. Define differential polynomials $\tilde{h}_{\beta,q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]}$, $q \geq -1$, by

$$\tilde{h}_{\beta,q} := h_{\beta,q} + \partial_x \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t_{q+1}^\beta} = h_{\beta,q} + \partial_x \{\mathcal{F}, \bar{h}_{\beta,q+1}\}_K,$$

where the bracket $\{\mathcal{F}, \bar{h}_{\beta,q+1}\}_K$ was defined by equation (3.6). It is easy to see that the differential polynomials $\tilde{h}_{\alpha,p}$ define another tau-structure for our hierarchy.

Let $u^\alpha(x, t_*^*; \varepsilon)$ be a solution of our hierarchy (3.1). Let $\tau(t_*^*; \varepsilon)$ be the tau-function of this solution with respect to the previous tau-structure. Then it is easy to see that the function

$$\tilde{\tau}(t; \varepsilon) = e^{\varepsilon^{-2} \mathcal{F}(u(x,t;\varepsilon); u_x(x,t;\varepsilon), \dots)} \Big|_{x=0} \tau(t; \varepsilon)$$

is the tau-function of this solution with respect to the new tau-structure.

Suppose now that the coordinates u^α are normal for our hierarchy. Therefore, the hamiltonian operator $K = (K^{\alpha\beta})$ has the form $K^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x + O(\varepsilon)$, where $\eta = (\eta^{\alpha\beta})$ is a constant symmetric non-degenerate matrix, and $h_{\alpha,-1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} u^\mu$. Consider the Miura transformation

$$u^\alpha \mapsto \tilde{u}^\alpha(u) = u^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t_0^\mu} = u^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \{\mathcal{F}, \bar{h}_{\mu,0}\}_K$$

and the hierarchy (3.1) in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α . The differential polynomials $\tilde{h}_{\alpha,p}(\tilde{u})$ define a tau-structure for this hierarchy. Clearly the coordinates \tilde{u}^α are normal for this tau-structure. As a result, we have constructed the transformation that transforms an arbitrary tau-symmetric hamiltonian hierarchy written in normal coordinates to another tau-symmetric hamiltonian hierarchy also written in normal coordinates. These transformations form a group and are called *normal Miura transformations*.

3.7. Uniqueness of a tau-structure in normal coordinates. Consider a hamiltonian hierarchy (3.1), where the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations, and with a tau-structure given by differential polynomials $h_{\beta,q}$, $q \geq -1$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the coordinates u^α are normal and that $h_{\beta,q}|_{u_*^*=0} = 0$. Then the tau-symmetric densities $h_{\beta,q}$ are uniquely determined by the Hamiltonians $\bar{h}_{\alpha,p}$ and the hamiltonian operator K .*

Proof. Since the coordinates u^α are normal, we have $K^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x + O(\varepsilon)$ and $u^\alpha = \eta^{\alpha\mu} h_{\mu,-1}$. The tau-symmetry (3.5) implies that $\frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_0^\alpha} = \frac{\partial h_{1,-1}}{\partial t_p^\alpha}$ for $p \geq 0$. Since the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations, we have $\frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}}{\partial t_0^\alpha} = \partial_x h_{\alpha,p-1}$. On the other hand, $\frac{\partial h_{1,-1}}{\partial t_p^\alpha} = \eta_{1,\mu} \frac{\partial u^\mu}{\partial t_p^\alpha} = \eta_{1,\mu} K^{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\delta u^\nu}$. Therefore we get

$$(3.17) \quad \partial_x h_{\alpha,p-1} = \eta_{1,\mu} K^{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta \bar{h}_{\alpha,p}}{\delta u^\nu}.$$

Since $h_{\alpha,p-1}|_{u_*^*=0} = 0$, equation (3.17) uniquely determines the differential polynomials $h_{\alpha,p-1}$. \square

4. TAU-STRUCTURE AND THE PARTITION FUNCTION OF THE DOUBLE RAMIFICATION HIERARCHY

In this section we define a tau-structure for the double ramification hierarchy and construct a specific tau-function. We call this tau-function the partition function of the double ramification hierarchy and consider it as an analogue of the partition function of the cohomological field theory.

4.1. Tau-structure for the double ramification hierarchy. Consider an arbitrary cohomological field theory $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ and the associated double ramification hierarchy. Define differential polynomials $h_{\alpha,p}^{\text{DR}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]}$, $p \geq -1$, by

$$h_{\alpha,p}^{\text{DR}} := \frac{\delta \overline{g}_{\alpha,p+1}}{\delta u^1}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The differential polynomials $h_{\alpha,p}^{\text{DR}}$, $p \geq -1$, define a tau-structure for the double ramification hierarchy.*

Proof. By [Bur15, Lemma 4.3], the Hamiltonian $\overline{g}_{1,0}$ generates the spatial translations. Lemma 4.6 from [Bur15] says that

$$\frac{\partial \overline{g}_{\alpha,d}}{\partial u^1} = \begin{cases} \overline{g}_{\alpha,d-1}, & \text{if } d \geq 1, \\ \int \eta_{\alpha\mu} u^\mu dx, & \text{if } d = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the proposition follows from Proposition 3.1. \square

4.2. Partition function of the double ramification hierarchy. Let $(u^{\text{str}})^\alpha(x, t_*^*; \varepsilon)$ be the string solution of the double ramification hierarchy (see [Bur15]). Recall that it is defined as a unique solution that satisfies the initial condition

$$(4.1) \quad (u^{\text{str}})^\alpha \Big|_{t_*^*=0} = \delta^{\alpha,1} x.$$

We want to define the partition function of the double ramification hierarchy as the tau-function of the string solution with respect to the tau-structure constructed in the previous section. However, there is an ambiguity described by equation (3.12). Our idea is to fix this ambiguity in such a way that the resulting partition function will satisfy the string and the dilaton equations. Let us describe the construction in details.

Denote by $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}$ the two-point functions of the tau-structure constructed in the previous section. It is easy to see that $h_{\alpha,p}^{\text{DR}} \Big|_{u_*^*=0} = 0$, therefore equation (3.11) implies that

$$(4.2) \quad \Omega_{\alpha,p;1,0}^{\text{DR}} = h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}} = \frac{\delta \overline{g}_{\alpha,p}}{\delta u^1}, \quad p \geq 0.$$

Introduce a power series $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_*^*, \varepsilon]]$ by

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} := \left(\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = (u^{\text{str}})_n^\gamma} \right) \Big|_{x=0},$$

where $(u^{\text{str}})_n^\gamma := \partial_x^n (u^{\text{str}})^\gamma$. Consider $g, n \geq 0$ such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$. Let $d_1, \dots, d_n \geq 0$ and $1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq N$. We define the double ramification correlator $\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}$ by

$$\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} := \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \left(\frac{\partial^{n-2} \Omega_{\alpha_1, d_1; \alpha_2, d_2}^{\text{DR,str}}}{\partial t_{d_3}^{\alpha_3} \dots \partial t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}} \right) \Big|_{t_*^*=0}, \quad \text{if } n \geq 2;$$

$$(4.3) \quad \langle \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} := \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \Omega_{\alpha, d+1; 1, 0}^{\text{DR,str}} \Big|_{t_*^*=0}, \quad \text{if } g \geq 1;$$

$$(4.4) \quad \langle \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} := \frac{1}{2g-2} \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \Omega_{1, 2; 1, 0}^{\text{DR,str}} \Big|_{t_*^*=0}, \quad \text{if } g \geq 2.$$

Define the potential of the double ramification hierarchy by

$$F^{\text{DR}}(t_*^*; \varepsilon) := \sum_{g \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2g} F_g^{\text{DR}}(t_*^*), \quad \text{where}$$

$$F_g^{\text{DR}}(t_*^*) := \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ 2g-2+n > 0}} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_n \geq 0} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}} \prod_{i=1}^n t_{d_i}^{\alpha_i}.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} = \frac{\partial^2 F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_p^\alpha \partial t_q^\beta}.$$

The partition function of the double ramification hierarchy is defined by

$$\tau^{\text{DR}} := e^{\varepsilon^{-2} F^{\text{DR}}}.$$

It is clear that the partition function τ^{DR} is the tau-function of the string solution $(u^{\text{str}})^\alpha$.

4.3. Genus 0 part. Recall that the correlators of the cohomological field theory are defined by

$$\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_g := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} c_{g,n}(\otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{d_i}, \quad 2g - 2 + n > 0.$$

It is convenient to have a separate notation for the three-point correlators in genus 0:

$$\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \langle \tau_0(e_\alpha) \tau_0(e_\beta) \tau_0(e_\gamma) \rangle_0, \quad \theta_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\mu} \theta_{\mu\beta\gamma}.$$

The potential $F(t_*^*; \varepsilon)$ of the cohomological field theory is

$$F(t_*^*; \varepsilon) := \sum_{g \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2g} F_g(t_*^*), \quad \text{where}$$

$$F_g(t_*^*) := \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ 2g-2+n > 0}} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_n \geq 0} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g \prod_{i=1}^n t_{d_i}^{\alpha_i}.$$

The partition function of the cohomological field theory is defined by

$$\tau := e^{\varepsilon^{-2} F}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *We have $F_0^{\text{DR}} = F_0$.*

Proof. In [Bur15] it was noticed that the double ramification hierarchy in genus 0 coincides with the principal hierarchy associated to the genus 0 part of the cohomological field theory (see e.g. [BPS12b]). Both hierarchies are written in normal coordinates, therefore, by Lemma 3.3, their tau-structures also coincide. The function $e^{\varepsilon^{-2} F_0}$ is the tau-function of the topological solution $(v^{\text{top}})^\alpha = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial t_0^\mu \partial t_0^\alpha} \Big|_{t_0^\dagger \rightarrow t_0^\dagger + x}$ of the principal hierarchy. This solution satisfies the same initial condition (4.1), as the string solution $(u^{\text{str}})^\alpha$ of the double ramification hierarchy. Therefore, $(v^{\text{top}})^\alpha = (u^{\text{str}})^\alpha \Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$. Both F_0 and F_0^{DR} start with cubic terms in t_n^γ , thus, from (3.12) we conclude that $F_0^{\text{DR}} = F_0$. \square

5. GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF THE DOUBLE RAMIFICATION CYCLE

In this section we prove geometric properties of the double ramification cycles that will be important in the study of the double ramification correlators.

5.1. Divisibility property. Consider the moduli space of stable curves of compact type $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{ct}}$. Let b_1, \dots, b_n be integers satisfying $b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n = 0$. Hain's formula [Hai13] together with the result of [MW13] imply that

(5.1)

$$\text{DR}_g(b_1, \dots, b_n) \Big|_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\text{ct}}} = \frac{1}{g!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{b_j^2 \psi_j^\dagger}{2} - \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |J| \geq 2}} \left(\sum_{i,j \in J, i < j} b_i b_j \right) \delta_0^J - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{h=1}^{g-1} b_J^2 \delta_h^J \right)^g,$$

where ψ_j^\dagger denotes the ψ -class that is pulled back from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$, the integer b_J is the sum $\sum_{j \in J} b_j$ and the class δ_h^J represents the divisor whose generic point is a nodal curve made of one smooth component of genus h with the marked points labeled by the list J and of another smooth

component of genus $g - h$ with the remaining marked points, joined at a separating node. Formula (5.1) implies that the class

$$\mathrm{DR}_g \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, a_1, \dots, a_n \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}^{\mathrm{ct}}} \in H^{2g}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}^{\mathrm{ct}}, \mathbb{Q})$$

is a polynomial in variables a_1, \dots, a_n , homogeneous of degree $2g$. Let $\pi: \mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}^{\mathrm{ct}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{ct}}$ be the forgetful map that forgets the last marked point.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $g, n \geq 1$. Then the polynomial class*

$$\pi_* \left(\mathrm{DR}_g \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \right) \right) \Big|_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{ct}}} \in H^{2g-2}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{ct}}, \mathbb{Q})$$

is divisible by a_n^2 .

Proof. During the proof we work in the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{ct}}$. We have (see e.g. [Bur15])

$$\mathrm{DR}_g \left(- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0 \right) = \pi^* \left(\mathrm{DR}_g \left(- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \right) \right).$$

Therefore, $\pi_* \left(\mathrm{DR}_g \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0 \right) \right) = 0$. Hence, it remains to prove that

$$\pi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} \mathrm{DR}_g \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \right) \right) \Big|_{a_n=0} = 0.$$

Let $a_0 := -(a_1 + \dots + a_n)$ and

(5.2)

$$T(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{a_i^2 \psi_i^\dagger}{2} - \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{0,1,\dots,n\} \\ |J| \geq 2}} \left(\sum_{i,j \in J, i < j} a_i a_j \right) \delta_0^J - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{J \subset \{0,1,\dots,n\}} \sum_{h=1}^{g-1} a_J^2 \delta_h^J \in H^2(\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}^{\mathrm{ct}}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Here we index marked points on a curve from $\mathcal{M}_{g,n+1}^{\mathrm{ct}}$ by $0, 1, \dots, n$. Equation (5.1) says that $\mathrm{DR}_g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{g!} T(a_1, \dots, a_n)^g$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} \mathrm{DR}_g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{(g-1)!} T(a_1, \dots, a_n)^{g-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} T(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

From equation (5.2) it is easy to see that $T(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0) = \pi^*(T(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}))$. Thus,

$$\pi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} \mathrm{DR}_g(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \right) \Big|_{a_n=0} = \frac{T(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})^{g-1}}{(g-1)!} \pi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} T(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right) \Big|_{a_n=0}.$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that $\pi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} T(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right) \Big|_{a_n=0} = 0$. Note that $\pi_*(\psi_i^\dagger) = 0$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, and that $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} (a_n^2 \psi_n^\dagger) \Big|_{a_n=0} = 0$. We also have

$$\pi_*(\delta_0^J) = \begin{cases} [\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{ct}}], & \text{if } |J| = 2 \text{ and } n \in J; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi_*(\delta_h^J) = 0, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq h \leq g-1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} T(a_1, \dots, a_n) \right) \Big|_{a_n=0} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} \left(- \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i a_n \right) \right) \Big|_{a_n=0} [\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{ct}}] = \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n} a_n^2 \right) \Big|_{a_n=0} [\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\mathrm{ct}}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Consider an arbitrary cohomological field theory $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\mathrm{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $g, n \geq 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i - b, a_1, \dots, a_n, b)} \lambda_g \psi_2^d c_{g, n+2}(\otimes_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{\alpha_i} \otimes e_1) &= \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i - b, a_1 + b, a_2, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g \psi_2^{d-1} c_{g, n+1}(\otimes_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{\alpha_i}) + O(b^2), & \text{if } d \geq 1; \\ O(b^2), & \text{if } d = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote the string a_1, \dots, a_n by A and the tensor product $\otimes_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{\alpha_i}$ by $e_{\bar{\alpha}}$. Let $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+1}$ be the forgetful map that forgets the last marked point. If $d = 0$, then

$$\int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i - b, A, b)} \lambda_g c_{g, n+2}(e_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes e_1) = \int_{\pi_* \text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i - b, A, b)} \lambda_g c_{g, n+1}(e_{\bar{\alpha}}) \stackrel{\text{by Prop. 5.1}}{=} O(b^2).$$

If $d \geq 1$, then $\psi_2^d = \pi^*(\psi_2^d) + \delta_0^{\{2, n+2\}} \cdot \pi^*(\psi_2^{d-1})$. We compute

$$\int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i - b, A, b)} \lambda_g \pi^*(\psi_2^d) c_{g, n+2}(e_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes e_1) = \int_{\pi_* \text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i - b, A, b)} \lambda_g \psi_2^d c_{g, n+1}(e_{\bar{\alpha}}) \stackrel{\text{by Prop. 5.1}}{=} O(b^2).$$

We have the formula (see [BSSZ15])

$$\delta_0^{\{2, n+2\}} \cdot \text{DR}_g\left(-\sum a_i - b, A, b\right) = \text{DR}_0(a_1, b, -a_1 - b) \boxtimes \text{DR}_g\left(-\sum a_i - b, A', a_1 + b\right),$$

where A' is the string a_2, \dots, a_n and the notation \boxtimes is explained in [BSSZ15, Section 2.1]. Thus,

$$\int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i - b, A, b)} \lambda_g \delta_0^{\{2, n+2\}} \pi^*(\psi_2^{d-1}) c_{g, n+2}(e_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes e_1) = \int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i - b, a_1 + b, A')} \lambda_g \psi_2^{d-1} c_{g, n+1}(e_{\bar{\alpha}}).$$

The corollary is proved. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $g, n, m \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \sum_{j=1}^m b_j, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m)} \lambda_g \psi_2^d c_{g, n+m+1}(\otimes_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{\alpha_i} \otimes e_1^m) &= \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i - \sum b_j, a_1 + \sum b_j, a_2, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g \psi_2^{d-m} c_{g, n+1}(\otimes_{i=1}^{n+1} e_{\alpha_i}) + O(b_1^2) + \dots + O(b_m^2), & \text{if } d \geq m; \\ O(b_1^2) + \dots + O(b_m^2), & \text{if } d < m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The corollary immediately follows from Corollary 5.2. \square

5.2. Double ramification cycle and fundamental class. Let $g, n \geq 0$ be such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$. Denote by $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ the forgetful map, that forgets the last g marked points. The following statement was proved in [BSSZ15] (see Example 3.7 there).

Lemma 5.4 ([BSSZ15]). *We have $\pi_*(\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_{n+g})) = g! a_{n+1}^2 \dots a_{n+g}^2 [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}]$.*

6. PROPERTIES OF THE DOUBLE RAMIFICATION CORRELATORS

In this section we study properties of the double ramification correlators. In Section 6.1 we derive an explicit formula for the one-point double ramification correlators. In Sections 6.2 and 6.3 we prove the string and the dilaton equations for the potential F^{DR} . In Section 6.4 we consider the cohomological field theory associated to the Gromov-Witten theory of a smooth projective variety and derive the divisor equation for F^{DR} . In Section 6.5 we consider a homogeneous cohomological field theory and prove a homogeneity condition for the potential F^{DR} . In Section 6.6 we prove a certain high degree vanishing of the double ramification correlators. All properties from Sections 6.2-6.6 are analogous to the properties of the usual potential F of a cohomological field theory, though the proofs are very different. However, in Section 6.7 we derive a certain low degree vanishing of the double ramification correlators that doesn't have an analogue for the usual correlators of a cohomological field theory.

In all parts of this section we consider an arbitrary cohomological field theory $c_{g, n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}, \mathbb{C})$, unless otherwise specified.

6.1. One-point correlators. In this section we prove an explicit formula for the one-point double ramification correlators $\langle \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}$.

Proposition 6.1. 1) Let $g \geq 1$, then we have

$$\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = \begin{cases} \text{Coef}_{a^{2g}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(a, -a)} \lambda_g \psi_1^{d-2g} c_{g,2}(e_\alpha \otimes e_1) \right), & \text{if } d \geq 2g; \\ 0, & \text{if } d < 2g. \end{cases}$$

2) We have

$$\langle \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = \begin{cases} \text{Coef}_{a^{2g}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(a, -a)} \lambda_g \psi_1^{d+1-2g} c_{g,2}(e_\alpha \otimes e_1) \right), & \text{if } d \geq 2g - 1 \text{ and } g \geq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } d < 2g - 1 \text{ and } g \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\langle \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = 0, \quad g \geq 2.$$

Proof. Obviously, part 2 follows from part 1 and the definitions (4.3) and (4.4). Let us prove part 1. Note that

$$(u^{\text{str}})_n^\gamma \Big|_{\substack{x=0 \\ t^*=0}} = \delta_{n,1} \delta^{\gamma,1}.$$

Then we compute

$$\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \Omega_{\alpha, d; 1, 0}^{\text{DR, str}} \Big|_{t^*=0} = \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \Omega_{\alpha, d; 1, 0}^{\text{DR}} \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \delta^{\gamma,1} \delta_{n,1}} \stackrel{\text{by (4.2)}}{=} \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\alpha, d}}{\delta u^1} \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \delta^{\gamma,1} \delta_{n,1}}.$$

Let us now formulate the following simple lemma.

Lemma 6.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_N$ be a differential polynomial of degree d . Consider the decomposition

$$f \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} (ia)^n p_a^\gamma e^{iax}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(a_1, \dots, a_k) p_{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots p_{a_k}^{\alpha_k} e^{ix \sum a_j},$$

where $P_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ are polynomials of degree d . Then we have

$$f \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \delta^{\gamma,1} \delta_{n,1}} = (-i)^d \text{Coef}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_d} P_{1, \dots, 1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d).$$

Proof. Clearly, it is sufficient to check the lemma when f is a monomial $u_{d_1}^{\beta_1} \dots u_{d_k}^{\beta_k}$. In this case the proof consists of a simple direct computation. \square

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\alpha, d}}{\delta u^1} \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} (ia)^n p_a^\gamma e^{iax}} = \\ & = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^g}{n!} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(0, -\sum a_i, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g \psi_1^d c_{g, n+2}(e_\alpha \otimes e_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}^{\alpha_i} e^{ix \sum a_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 6.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\alpha, d}}{\delta u^1} \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \delta^{\gamma,1} \delta_{n,1}} = \frac{1}{(2g)!} \text{Coef}_{a_1 \dots a_{2g}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(0, -\sum a_i, a_1, \dots, a_{2g})} \lambda_g \psi_1^d c_{g, 2g+2}(e_\alpha \otimes e_1^{2g+1}) \right) = \\ & \stackrel{\text{by Cor. 5.3}}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2g)!} \text{Coef}_{a_1 \dots a_{2g}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(\sum a_i, -\sum a_i)} \lambda_g \psi_1^{d-2g} c_{g,2}(e_\alpha \otimes e_1) \right), & \text{if } d \geq 2g; \\ 0, & \text{if } d < 2g. \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} \text{Coef}_{a^{2g}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(a, -a)} \lambda_g \psi_1^{d-2g} c_{g,2}(e_\alpha \otimes e_1) \right), & \text{if } d \geq 2g; \\ 0, & \text{if } d < 2g. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. \square

6.2. String equation. Let us prove the string equation for the potential F^{DR} .

Proposition 6.3. *We have*

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_0^1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1}^\alpha \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_n^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta.$$

Proof. It is convenient to use the following conventions:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{\alpha,-1} &:= \int \eta_{\alpha\mu} u^\mu dx, \\ h_{\alpha,-2}^{\text{DR}} &:= \eta_{\alpha,1}, \\ \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} &:= 0, \quad \text{if } p \text{ or } q \text{ is negative.} \end{aligned}$$

In [Bur15, Lemma 4.6] it was proved that

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\alpha,d+1}}{\partial u^1} = \bar{g}_{\alpha,d}, \quad d \geq -1.$$

Taking the variational derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta u^1}$ of both sides we get

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,d}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^1} = h_{\alpha,d-1}^{\text{DR}}, \quad d \geq -1.$$

We divide the proof of the proposition into three steps.

Step 1. Let us prove that

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^1} = \Omega_{\alpha,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} + \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q-1}^{\text{DR}} + \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad p, q \geq 0.$$

We compute

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_x \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^\gamma} \partial_x^{n+1} \eta^{\gamma\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^\mu} \right) \stackrel{\text{by (6.2) and (6.3)}}{=} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-2}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^\gamma} \partial_x^{n+1} \eta^{\gamma\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^\mu} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^\gamma} \partial_x^{n+1} \eta^{\gamma\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\beta,q-1}}{\delta u^\mu} = \\ &= \partial_x \Omega_{\alpha,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} + \partial_x \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q-1}^{\text{DR}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^1} - \Omega_{\alpha,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} - \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q-1}^{\text{DR}} = C$, where C is a constant. Since $\frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^1} \Big|_{u_*^* = 0} = \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$, we get $C = \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$. Therefore, equation (6.4) is proved.

Step 2. Let us prove that

$$(6.6) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_0^1} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^\gamma} \right) \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} = \Omega_{\alpha,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} + \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q-1}^{\text{DR,str}} + \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \eta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Let $O := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0^1} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^\gamma}$. We have $O \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^\gamma} \Big|_{u_r^\mu = (u^{\text{str}})_r^\mu} O(u^{\text{str}})_n^\gamma \right) \Big|_{x=0}$.

Recall that $O(u^{\text{str}})^\gamma = \delta^{\gamma,1}$ (see [Bur15, Lemma 4.7]). Therefore,

$$O \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} = \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^1} \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = (u^{\text{str}})_n^\gamma} \Big|_{x=0} \stackrel{\text{by (6.4)}}{=} \Omega_{\alpha,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} + \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q-1}^{\text{DR,str}} + \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \eta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Thus, equation (6.6) is proved.

Step 3. Let us finally prove the proposition. Equation (6.1) is equivalent to the following system of equations for the double ramification correlators:

$$(6.7) \quad \left\langle \tau_0(e_1) \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ d_i > 0}} \left\langle \tau_{d_i-1}(e_{\alpha_i}) \prod_{j \neq i} \tau_{d_j}(e_{\alpha_j}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}}, \quad \text{if } 2g - 2 + n > 0,$$

$$(6.8) \quad \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_p(e_\alpha) \tau_q(e_\beta) \rangle_0^{\text{DR}} = \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \eta_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(6.9) \quad \langle \tau_0(e_1) \rangle_1^{\text{DR}} = 0.$$

Equation (6.7) for $n \geq 2$ follows from equation (6.6). For $n = 1$ equation (6.7) is equivalent to the equation

$$\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = \begin{cases} \langle \tau_{d-1}(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}, & \text{if } g \geq 1 \text{ and } d \geq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } g \geq 1 \text{ and } d = 0; \end{cases}$$

that follows from definition (4.3) and Proposition 6.1. Equation (6.7) for $n = 0$ together with equation (6.9) say that $\langle \tau_0(e_1) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = 0$ for $g \geq 1$. This again follows from Proposition 6.1. Equation (6.8) follows from Lemma 4.2. The proposition is proved. \square

Note that the string equation (6.1) for F^{DR} is almost the same as the usual string equation for the potential F :

$$(6.10) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_0^1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1}^\alpha \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_n^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta + \varepsilon^2 \langle \tau_0(e_1) \rangle_1.$$

6.3. Dilaton equation. Here we prove the dilaton equation for F^{DR} .

Proposition 6.4. *We have*

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_1^1} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial \varepsilon} + \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n^\alpha \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_n^\alpha} - 2F^{\text{DR}} + \varepsilon^2 \frac{N}{24}.$$

Proof. Let us prove that

$$(6.12) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^1} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^\gamma} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} = 0.$$

Let $O := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^1} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^\gamma} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}$. Recall that $(O - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x})(u^{\text{str}})^\alpha = 0$ ([BG15]). Therefore, $(O - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x})(u^{\text{str}})_n^\alpha = n(u^{\text{str}})_n^\alpha$. From this equation we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} O \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^\gamma} \Big|_{u_m^\rho = (u^{\text{str}})_m^\rho} O(u^{\text{str}})_n^\gamma - \varepsilon \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial \varepsilon} \Big|_{u_m^\rho = (u^{\text{str}})_m^\rho} \right) \Big|_{x=0} = \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} n u_n^\gamma \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^\gamma} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \Big|_{u_m^\rho = (u^{\text{str}})_m^\rho} \Big|_{x=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]}$, the last expression is equal to zero. Equation (6.12) is proved.

The proposition is equivalent to the following system of equations for the double ramification correlators:

$$(6.13) \quad \left\langle \tau_1(e_1) \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = (2g - 2 + n) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}}, \quad \text{if } 2g - 2 + n > 0,$$

$$(6.14) \quad \langle \tau_1(e_1) \tau_p(e_\alpha) \tau_q(e_\beta) \rangle_0^{\text{DR}} = 0,$$

$$(6.15) \quad \langle \tau_1(e_1) \rangle_1^{\text{DR}} = \frac{N}{24}.$$

Equation (6.13) for $n \geq 2$ follows from equation (6.12). If $n = 1$, then using the string equation (6.1) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_1(e_1) \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} &= \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_1(e_1) \tau_{d+1}(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} - \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d+1}(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = \\ &= 2g \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d+1}(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} - \langle \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = (2g - 1) \langle \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (6.13) for $n = 0$ immediately follows from definition (4.4). Equation (6.14) follows from Lemma 4.2. For equation (6.15) we compute

$$\langle \tau_1(e_1) \rangle_1^{\text{DR}} \stackrel{\text{by Prop. 6.1}}{=} \text{Coef}_{a^2} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_1(a, -a)} \lambda_1 c_{1,2}(e_1^2) \right) \stackrel{\text{by Lemma 5.4}}{=} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \lambda_1 c_{1,1}(e_1) = \frac{N}{24}.$$

The dilaton equation for the potential F^{DR} is proved. \square

Note that the dilaton equation (6.11) for F^{DR} is the same as the dilaton equation for F :

$$(6.16) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_1^1} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial F}{\partial \varepsilon} + \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n^\alpha \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_n^\alpha} - 2F + \varepsilon^2 \frac{N}{24}.$$

6.4. Divisor equation. In this section we consider the cohomological field theory associated to the Gromov-Witten theory of a smooth projective variety V with vanishing odd cohomology, $H^{\text{odd}}(V, \mathbb{C}) = 0$. In this case the cohomological field theory is described by linear maps $c_{g,n}: H^*(V, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$, where \mathcal{N} is the Novikov ring. We will use the same notations as in [BR15a, Section 3.3]. As it was already discussed in [BR15a], the presence of the Novikov ring doesn't cause any problems with the construction of the double ramification hierarchy and its tau-structure. One should keep in mind that the Hamiltonians $\overline{g}_{\alpha,d}$ are elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ and the tau-symmetric densities $h_{\alpha,d}^{\text{DR}}$ are elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_N^{[0]} \otimes \mathcal{N}$. The correlators $\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}$ belong to the Novikov ring \mathcal{N} . For $\beta \in E$, where $E \subset H_2(V, \mathbb{Z})$ is the semigroup of effective classes, a complex number $\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_{g,\beta}^{\text{DR}}$ is defined as the coefficient of q^β in $\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}$. Note also that the potential F^{DR} is an element of $\mathcal{N}[[t_*^*, \varepsilon]]$. Recall that $e_{\gamma_1}, \dots, e_{\gamma_r}$ is a basis in $H^2(V, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 6.5. *For any $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have*

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_0^{\gamma_i}} = \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial q} \right\rangle + \sum_{d \geq 0} \theta_{\gamma_i \nu}^\mu t_{d+1}^\nu \frac{\partial F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_d^\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\gamma_i \alpha \beta} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta.$$

Proof. By [BR15a, Lemma 5.2], we have

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{\partial \overline{g}_{\alpha,p}}{\partial u^{\gamma_i}} = \theta_{\alpha \gamma_i}^\mu \overline{g}_{\mu,p-1} + \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \overline{g}_{\alpha,p} \right\rangle, \quad p \geq 0.$$

Applying the variational derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta u^1}$ to both sides of this equation, we get

$$(6.19) \quad \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^{\gamma_i}} = \theta_{\alpha \gamma_i}^\mu h_{\mu,p-2}^{\text{DR}} + \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}} \right\rangle, \quad p \geq 0.$$

Then for any $p, q \geq 0$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^{\gamma_i}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\gamma_i}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^{\gamma}} \partial_x^{n+1} \eta^{\gamma\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^\mu} \right) = \\
&\stackrel{\text{by 6.19}}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^{\gamma}} \left(\theta_{\alpha\gamma_i}^\mu h_{\mu,p-2}^{\text{DR}} + \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}} \right\rangle \right) \partial_x^{n+1} \eta^{\gamma\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^\mu} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha,p-1}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u_n^{\gamma}} \partial_x^{n+1} \eta^{\gamma\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta u^\mu} \left(\theta_{\beta\gamma_i}^\nu \bar{g}_{\nu,q-1} + \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \bar{g}_{\beta,q} \right\rangle \right) = \\
&= \partial_x \left(\theta_{\alpha\gamma_i}^\mu \Omega_{\mu,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} + \theta_{\beta\gamma_i}^\mu \Omega_{\alpha,p;\mu,q-1}^{\text{DR}} + \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} \right\rangle \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(6.20) \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^{\gamma_i}} = \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} \right\rangle + \theta_{\gamma_i\alpha}^\mu \Omega_{\mu,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} + \theta_{\gamma_i\beta}^\mu \Omega_{\alpha,p;\mu,q-1}^{\text{DR}} + C$$

for some $C \in \mathcal{N}$. Since $\left. \frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial u^{\gamma_i}} \right|_{u_*^*=0} = \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \theta_{\gamma_i\alpha\beta}$, we get $C = \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \theta_{\gamma_i\alpha\beta}$. Let $O_{\gamma_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0^{\gamma_i}} - \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right\rangle - \sum_{d \geq 0} \theta_{\gamma_i\nu}^\mu t_{d+1}^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial t_d^\mu}$. In [BR15a, Lemma 5.3] it was proved that $O_{\gamma_i} (u^{\text{str}})^\alpha = \delta^{\alpha\gamma_i}$. This equation together with equation (6.20) imply that

$$(6.21) \quad O_{\gamma_i} \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} = \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} \right\rangle + \theta_{\gamma_i\alpha}^\mu \Omega_{\mu,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} + \theta_{\gamma_i\beta}^\mu \Omega_{\alpha,p;\mu,q-1}^{\text{DR,str}} + \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \theta_{\gamma_i\alpha\beta}.$$

The proposition is equivalent to the following system of equations for the double ramification correlators:

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.22) \quad \left\langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_{g,\beta}^{\text{DR}} &= \left(\int_{\beta} e_{\gamma_i} \right) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_{g,\beta}^{\text{DR}} + \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{\beta_1, \beta_2 \in E \\ \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ d_i > 0}} \langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \tau_0(e_\alpha) \tau_0(e_\mu) \rangle_{0,\beta_1} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left\langle \tau_{d_i-1}(e_\nu) \prod_{j \neq i} \tau_{d_j}(e_{\alpha_j}) \right\rangle_{g,\beta_2}^{\text{DR}}, \text{ if } 2g - 2 + n > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$(6.23) \quad \langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \tau_p(e_\alpha) \tau_q(e_\beta) \rangle_0^{\text{DR}} = \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} \theta_{\gamma_i\alpha\beta},$$

$$(6.24) \quad \langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \rangle_1^{\text{DR}} = 0.$$

For $n \geq 2$ equation (6.22) follows from (6.21). If $n = 1$, then using the string equation (6.1) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_{g,\beta}^{\text{DR}} &= \langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d+1}(e_\alpha) \rangle_{g,\beta}^{\text{DR}} = \\
&= \left(\int_{\beta} e_{\gamma_i} \right) \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d+1}(e_\alpha) \rangle_{g,\beta}^{\text{DR}} + \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \tau_0(e_\alpha) \tau_0(e_\mu) \rangle_{0,\beta_1} \eta^{\mu\nu} \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_d(e_\nu) \rangle_{g,\beta_2}^{\text{DR}} = \\
&= \left(\int_{\beta} e_{\gamma_i} \right) \langle \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_{g,\beta}^{\text{DR}} + \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \tau_0(e_\alpha) \tau_0(e_\mu) \rangle_{0,\beta_1} \eta^{\mu\nu} \langle \tau_{d-1}(e_\nu) \rangle_{g,\beta_2}^{\text{DR}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $n = 0$, then, by Proposition 6.1, both sides of (6.22) are equal to zero. Equation (6.23) follows from Lemma 4.2. Equation (6.24) follows from Proposition 6.1. The proposition is proved. \square

Note that equation (6.17) is almost the same as the divisor equation for the potential F :

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t_0^{\gamma_i}} = \left\langle e_{\gamma_i}, q \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \right\rangle + \sum_{d \geq 0} \theta_{\gamma_i \nu}^{\mu} t_{d+1}^{\nu} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_d^{\mu}} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\gamma_i \alpha \beta} t_0^{\alpha} t_0^{\beta} + \varepsilon^2 \langle \tau_0(e_{\gamma_i}) \rangle_1.$$

6.5. Homogeneity condition. Consider a homogeneous cohomological field theory with an Euler field

$$E = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq N} (a_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} + b^{\alpha}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha}}.$$

Let δ be its conformal dimension. Here we follow the notations from [PPZ15, Section 1.2]. Recall that $a_1 = 1$.

Proposition 6.6. *We have*

$$(6.25) \quad \left(\sum_{d \geq 0} (a_{\gamma} - d) t_d^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_d^{\gamma}} + b^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0^{\gamma}} - \sum_{d \geq 0} b^{\beta} \theta_{\beta \gamma}^{\mu} t_{d+1}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_d^{\mu}} + \frac{3 - \delta}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) F^{\text{DR}} = (3 - \delta) F^{\text{DR}} + \frac{1}{2} b^{\gamma} \theta_{\alpha \beta \gamma} t_0^{\alpha} t_0^{\beta}.$$

Proof. Let $\text{Deg}: H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ be the operator which acts on H^k by multiplication by k . The homogeneity condition for the cohomological field theory says that (see [PPZ15, Section 1.2])

$$(6.26) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{Deg} + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{\beta_i} - (g-1)\delta - m \right) c_{g,m}(\otimes_{i=1}^m e_{\beta_i}) + \pi_* c_{g,m+1}(\otimes_{i=1}^m e_{\beta_i} \otimes b^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}) = 0,$$

where $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$ is the forgetful map that forgets the last marked point. Let us put $m = n + 1$, $\beta_1 = \alpha$ and $\beta_{i+1} = \alpha_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let us multiply the left-hand side of (6.26) by $\lambda_g \psi_1^d$ and integrate the resulting expression over $\text{DR}_g(0, A)$, where A is a string a_1, \dots, a_n . Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{DR}_g(0,A)} \lambda_g \psi_1^d \text{Deg}(c_{g,n+1}(e_{\alpha} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i})) = (g-2+n-d) \int_{\text{DR}_g(0,A)} \lambda_g \psi_1^d c_{g,n+1}(e_{\alpha} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}).$$

Using that $\pi^*(\psi_1^d) = \psi_1^d - \delta_0^{\{1,n+2\}} \pi^*(\psi_1^{d-1})$ and $\delta_0^{\{1,n+2\}} \cdot \text{DR}_g(0, A, 0) = \text{DR}_0(0, 0, 0) \boxtimes \text{DR}_g(A, 0)$ (see [BSSZ15]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\text{DR}_g(0,A)} \lambda_g \psi_1^d \pi_* c_{g,n+2}(e_{\alpha} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i} \otimes b^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}) = \\ & = \int_{\text{DR}_g(0,A,0)} \lambda_g \psi_1^d c_{g,n+2}(e_{\alpha} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i} \otimes b^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}) - b^{\gamma} \theta_{\alpha \gamma}^{\mu} \int_{\text{DR}_g(0,A)} \lambda_g \psi_1^{d-1} c_{g,n+1}(e_{\mu} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we get the following relation for the Hamiltonians $\overline{g}_{\alpha,d}$:

$$\left(\frac{1 - \delta}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\gamma} u_n^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^{\gamma}} + b^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \right) \overline{g}_{\alpha,d} = (3 - \delta + d - a_{\alpha}) \overline{g}_{\alpha,d} + b^{\gamma} \theta_{\alpha \gamma}^{\mu} \overline{g}_{\mu,d-1}, \quad d \geq 0.$$

Note that, since $\left[\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\gamma} u_n^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^{\gamma}}, \partial_x \right] = 0$, there is a well-defined action of the operator $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\gamma} u_n^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^{\gamma}}$ on the space of local functionals. Taking the variational derivative $\frac{\delta}{\delta u^1}$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1 - \delta}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{\gamma} u_n^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^{\gamma}} + b^{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \right) h_{\alpha,d-1}^{\text{DR}} = (2 - \delta + d - a_{\alpha}) h_{\alpha,d-1}^{\text{DR}} + b^{\gamma} \theta_{\alpha \gamma}^{\mu} h_{\mu,d-2}^{\text{DR}}, \quad d \geq 0.$$

Doing the computation similar to (6.5) and using also the fact that the metric η is an eigenfunction of the Lie derivative L_E with weight $2 - \delta$, we get

$$(6.27) \quad \left(\frac{1-\delta}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} + \sum_{n \geq 0} a_\gamma u_n^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^\gamma} + b^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\gamma} \right) \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} = \\ = (3 - \delta + p + q - a_\alpha - a_\beta) \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} + b^\gamma \theta_{\alpha\gamma}^\mu \Omega_{\mu,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}} + b^\gamma \theta_{\beta\gamma}^\mu \Omega_{\alpha,p;\mu,q-1}^{\text{DR}} + \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} b^\gamma \theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma},$$

where $p, q \geq 0$. Let $O_{\text{dim}} := \sum_{d \geq 0} (a_\gamma - d) t_d^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_d^\gamma} + b^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0^\gamma} - \sum_{d \geq 0} b^\beta \theta_{\beta\gamma}^\mu t_{d+1}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_d^\mu} + \frac{3-\delta}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}$. We claim that

$$(6.28) \quad \left(O_{\text{dim}} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) (u^{\text{str}})^\alpha = a_\alpha (u^{\text{str}})^\alpha + b^\alpha.$$

The proof of this equation is very similar to the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [BR15a] and we leave the details to the reader. From (6.27) and (6.28) it follows that

$$(6.29) \quad O_{\text{dim}} \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} = (3 - \delta + p + q - a_\alpha - a_\beta) \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} + b^\gamma \theta_{\alpha\gamma}^\mu \Omega_{\mu,p-1;\beta,q}^{\text{DR,str}} + b^\gamma \theta_{\beta\gamma}^\mu \Omega_{\alpha,p;\mu,q-1}^{\text{DR,str}} + \delta_{p,0} \delta_{q,0} b^\gamma \theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

The proposition is equivalent to the following system of equations for the double ramification correlators:

$$(6.30) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (a_{\alpha_i} - d_i) \right) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}} + b^\gamma \left\langle \tau_0(e_\gamma) \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}} - \\ - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ d_i > 0}} b^\beta \theta_{\alpha_i \beta}^\mu \left\langle \tau_{d_i-1}(e_\mu) \prod_{j \neq i} \tau_{d_j}(e_{\alpha_j}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = (3 - \delta)(1 - g) \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n \tau_{d_i}(e_{\alpha_i}) \right\rangle_g^{\text{DR}}, \text{ if } 2g - 2 + n > 0,$$

$$(6.31) \quad b^\gamma \langle \tau_0(e_\gamma) \tau_0(e_\alpha) \tau_0(e_\beta) \rangle_0^{\text{DR}} = b^\gamma \theta_{\gamma\alpha\beta},$$

$$(6.32) \quad b^\gamma \langle \tau_0(e_\gamma) \rangle_1^{\text{DR}} = 0.$$

Equation (6.30) for $n \geq 2$ follows from (6.29). Then for $n = 1$ it can be deduced using the string equation (6.1). If $n = 0$, then, by Proposition 6.1, both sides of equation (6.30) are equal to zero. Equation (6.31) follows from Lemma 4.2. Equation (6.32) follows from Proposition 6.1. The proposition is proved. \square

Note that equation (6.25) is almost the same as the homogeneity condition for the potential F :

$$\left(\sum_{d \geq 0} (a_\gamma - d) t_d^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_d^\gamma} + b^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0^\gamma} - \sum_{d \geq 0} b^\beta \theta_{\beta\gamma}^\mu t_{d+1}^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t_d^\mu} + \frac{3-\delta}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) F = \\ = (3 - \delta) F + \frac{1}{2} b^\gamma \theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta + \varepsilon^2 b^\gamma \langle \tau_0(e_\gamma) \rangle_1.$$

6.6. High degree vanishing.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $g, m \geq 0$ such that $2g - 2 + m > 0$. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i > 3g - 3 + m$. Then $\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = 0$.*

We split the proof in several steps. In Section 6.6.1 we give a slight reformulation of the proposition. In Section 6.6.2 we introduce certain cohomology classes in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Section 6.6.3 contains a geometric formula for double ramification correlators. Finally, using this formula, in Section 6.6.4 we prove Proposition 6.7.

6.6.1. *Reformulation.* It occurs that it is a little bit easier to work with double ramification correlators of the form

$$(6.33) \quad \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}.$$

Let us show how to reconstruct all double ramification correlators from them. For $g \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$ such that $2g - 2 + m > 0$, and $1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \leq N$, introduce power series $Q_{g;\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $Q_{g;\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^0(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ by

$$Q_{g;\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}(a_1, \dots, a_m) := \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_m \geq 0} \langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_m^{d_m},$$

$$Q_{g;\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^0(a_1, \dots, a_m) := \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_m \geq 0} \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} a_1^{d_1} \cdots a_m^{d_m}.$$

The string equation (6.1) implies that

$$(6.34) \quad Q_{g;\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}^0 = (a_1 + \cdots + a_m) Q_{g;\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m}.$$

Obviously, this relation allows to reconstruct one power series from another. It also shows that Proposition 6.7 is equivalent to the following proposition.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $g \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$ such that $2g - 1 + m > 0$. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i > 3g - 2 + m$, then $\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = 0$.*

6.6.2. *Stable trees and cohomology classes in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.* Here we would like to introduce some notations related to stable graphs and then define certain cohomology classes in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. We will use the notations from [PPZ15, Sections 0.2 and 0.3].

By stable tree we mean a stable graph

$$\Gamma = (V, H, L, g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, v: H \rightarrow V, \iota: H \rightarrow H),$$

that is a tree. Let $H^e(\Gamma) := H(\Gamma) \setminus L(\Gamma)$. A path in Γ is a sequence of pairwise distinct vertices $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \in V$, $v_i \neq v_j$, $i \neq j$, such that for any $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ the vertices v_i and v_{i+1} are connected by an edge.

A stable rooted tree is a pair (Γ, v_0) , where Γ is a stable tree and $v_0 \in V(\Gamma)$. The vertex v_0 is called the root. Denote by $H_+(\Gamma)$ the set of half-edges of Γ that are directed away from the root v_0 . Clearly, $L(\Gamma) \subset H_+(\Gamma)$. Let $H_+^e(\Gamma) := H_+(\Gamma) \setminus L(\Gamma)$. A vertex w is called a descendant of a vertex v , if v is on the unique path from the root v_0 to w .

A modified stable tree is a stable tree Γ where we split the set of legs in two subsets: the set of legs of the first type and the set of legs of the second type. The set of legs of the first type will be denoted by $L_1(\Gamma)$. We require that each vertex of the tree is incident to exactly one leg of the second type.

Denote by $\text{MST}_{g,n+1}^m$ the set of modified stable trees of genus g with m vertices and with $(m + n + 1)$ legs. We mark the legs of first type by numbers $0, 1, \dots, n$ and the legs of the second type by numbers $n + 1, \dots, n + m$. For a modified stable tree $\Gamma \in \text{MST}_{g,n+1}^m$, denote by $v_0(\Gamma)$ the vertex that is incident to the leg number 0. In this way a modified stable tree from $\text{MST}_{g,n+1}^m$ automatically becomes a stable rooted tree.

Consider a modified stable tree $\Gamma \in \text{MST}_{g,n+1}^m$. Define a function $p: V(\Gamma) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ by $p(v) := i - n$, where i is the number of a unique leg of the second type incident to v . The tree Γ is called admissible, if for any two distinct vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(\Gamma)$ such that v_2 is a descendant of v_1 , we have $p(v_2) > p(v_1)$. The subset of admissible modified stable trees will be denoted by $\text{AMST}_{g,n+1}^m \subset \text{MST}_{g,n+1}^m$.

Consider a modified stable tree $\Gamma \in \text{MST}_{g,n+1}^m$ and integers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n such that $a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0$. To each half-edge $h \in H(\Gamma)$ we assign an integer $a(h)$ in such a way that the following conditions hold:

- a) If a half-edge h is a leg of the first type that is marked by number i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, then $a(h) = a_i$;

- b) If a half-edge h is a leg of the second type, then $a(h) = 0$;
- b) If a half-edge h is not a leg, then $a(h) + a(\iota(h)) = 0$;
- c) For any vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, we have $\sum_{h \in H[v]} a(h) = 0$.

Since the graph Γ is a tree, it is easy to see that such a function $a: H(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ exists and is uniquely determined by the numbers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n .

Recall that for each stable graph Γ there is the associated moduli space

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Gamma := \prod_{v \in V} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v), n(v)}.$$

and the canonical morphism

$$\xi_\Gamma: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(\Gamma), |L(\Gamma)|}.$$

Consider again a modified stable tree $\Gamma \in \text{MST}_{g, n+1}^m$ and integers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n such that $a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0$. Let $a: H(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the associated function on half-edges. For each moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v), n(v)}$, $v \in V(\Gamma)$, the numbers $a(h)$, $h \in H[v]$, define the double ramification cycle

$$\text{DR}_{g(v)}((a(h))_{h \in H[v]}) \in H^{2g(v)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v), n(v)}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

If we multiply all these cycles, we get the class

$$\prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \text{DR}_{g(v)}((a(h))_{h \in H[v]}) \in H^{2g}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We define a class $\text{DR}_\Gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in H^{2(g+m-1)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+m+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ by

$$\text{DR}_\Gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) := \left(\prod_{h \in H_+^e(\Gamma)} a(h) \right) \cdot \xi_{\Gamma*} \left(\prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \text{DR}_{g(v)}((a(h))_{h \in H[v]}) \right).$$

From Hain's formula (5.1) it follows that the class

$$\lambda_g \text{DR}_\Gamma \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, a_1, \dots, a_n \right) \in H^{2(2g+m-1)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+m+1}, \mathbb{Q})$$

is a polynomial in a_1, \dots, a_n homogeneous of degree $2g + m - 1$.

6.6.3. Geometric formula for double ramification correlators.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $g \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$ such that $2g + m - 1 > 0$. Then a double ramification correlator $\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}$ is equal to the coefficient of $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2g+m-1}$ in the polynomial*

$$\frac{1}{(2g+m-1)!} \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{AMST}_{2g+m}^m} \int_{\text{DR}_\Gamma(-\sum a_i, a_1, \dots, a_{2g+m-1})} \lambda_g c_{g, 2g+2m}(e_1^{2g+m} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^m e_{\alpha_i}) \prod_{i=1}^m \psi_{2g+m-1+i}^{d_i}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} &= \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \left(\frac{\partial^{m-1} \Omega_{1,0;\alpha_1, d_1}^{\text{DR, str}}}{\partial t_{d_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial t_{d_m}^{\alpha_m}} \right) \Big|_{t_*^* = 0} = \\ &= \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \left(\frac{\partial^{m-1} \Omega_{1,0;\alpha_1, d_1}^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_{d_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial t_{d_m}^{\alpha_m}} \right) \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \delta^{\gamma, 1} \delta_{n,1}} = \\ &= \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \{ \{ \dots \{ \{ \Omega_{\alpha_1, d_1; 1, 0}^{\text{DR}}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_2, d_2} \} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_3, d_3} \} \eta_{\partial_x}, \dots \} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_m, d_m} \} \eta_{\partial_x} \Big|_{u_n^\gamma = \delta^{\gamma, 1} \delta_{n,1}} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that

(6.35)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \dots \left\{ \left\{ \Omega_{\alpha_1, d_1; 1, 0}^{\text{DR}}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_2, d_2} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_3, d_3} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \dots \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_m, d_m} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x} \Big|_{u_r^\gamma = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} (ia)^r p_a^\gamma e^{iax}} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0 \\ n \geq 1}} \frac{i^{2g+m-1} \varepsilon^{2g}}{n!} \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{AMST}_{g, n+1}^m} \sum_{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0} \\ & \left(\int_{\text{DR}_\Gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g, n+m+1} (e_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\beta_i} \otimes \otimes_{j=1}^m e_{\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{n+j}^{d_j} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}^{\beta_i} \right) e^{-ia_0 x}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.2, this formula immediately implies our lemma. We prove formula (6.35) by induction on m . Since $\Omega_{\alpha_1, d_1; 1, 0}^{\text{DR}} = \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\alpha_1, d_1}}{\delta u^1}$, for $m = 1$ formula (6.35) is clear. Suppose $m \geq 2$. Recall that for any differential polynomial $f \in \mathcal{A}_N$ and a local functional $\bar{h} \in \Lambda_N$ the bracket $\{f, \bar{h}\}_{\eta_{\partial_x}}$ looks in the following way in the p -variables: $\{f, \bar{h}\}_{\eta_{\partial_x}} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} ia \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_a^\mu} \frac{\partial \bar{h}}{\partial p_{-a}^\nu}$. From the induction assumption it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a^\mu} \left\{ \dots \left\{ \left\{ \Omega_{\alpha_1, d_1; 1, 0}^{\text{DR}}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_2, d_2} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_3, d_3} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \dots \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_{m-1}, d_{m-1}} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x} = \\ &= \sum_{g, n \geq 0} \frac{i^{2g+m-2} \varepsilon^{2g}}{n!} \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{AMST}_{g, n+2}^{m-1}} \sum_{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n + a = 0} \\ & \left(\int_{\text{DR}_\Gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, a)} \lambda_g c_{g, n+m+1} (e_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\beta_i} \otimes e_\mu \otimes \otimes_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \psi_{n+1+j}^{d_j} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}^{\beta_i} \right) e^{-ia_0 x}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\alpha_m, d_m}}{\partial p_{-a}^\nu} = \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0 \\ n \geq 1}} \frac{(-\varepsilon^2)^g}{n!} \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_n = a} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(-a, a_1, \dots, a_n, 0)} \lambda_g \psi_{n+1}^{d_m} c_{g, n+2} (e_\nu \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\beta_i} \otimes e_{\alpha_m}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}^{\beta_i}.$$

Recall that we index marked points on curves from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+2}$ by $0, 1, \dots, n+1$. Denote by $\text{gl}_{i, j} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1+g_2, n_1+n_2}$ the gluing map that corresponds to gluing a curve from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1}$ to a curve from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1}$ along the point number i on the first curve and the point number j on the second curve. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} ia \eta^{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_a^\mu} \left\{ \dots \left\{ \left\{ \Omega_{\alpha_1, d_1; 1, 0}^{\text{DR}}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_2, d_2} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_3, d_3} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \dots \right\} \eta_{\partial_x}, \bar{g}_{\alpha_{m-1}, d_{m-1}} \right\} \eta_{\partial_x} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\alpha_m, d_m}}{\partial p_{-a}^\nu} \right) = \\ (6.36) &= \sum_{g_1, g_2 \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_2 \geq 1}} \frac{i^{2g+m-1} \varepsilon^{2g}}{n_1! n_2!} \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{AMST}_{g_1, n_1+2}^{m-1}} \sum_{a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{n_1} + a = 0} \sum_{b_1 + \dots + b_{n_2} = a} a \\ & \times \left(\int_{(\text{gl}_{n_1+1, 0})_* (\text{DR}_{\Gamma}(a_0, A, a) \times \text{DR}_{g_2}(-a, B, 0))} \lambda_g c_{g, n+m+1} (e_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^{n_1} e_{\beta_i} \otimes \otimes_{j=1}^{n_2} e_{\gamma_j} \otimes \otimes_{k=1}^m e_{\alpha_m}) \prod_{r=1}^m \psi_{n+r}^{d_r} \right) \\ & \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} p_{a_i}^{\beta_i} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} p_{b_j}^{\gamma_j} \right) e^{-ia_0 x}. \end{aligned}$$

Here in the summation, in order to save some space, we use the notations $n = n_1 + n_2$, $g = g_1 + g_2$, $A = (a_1, \dots, a_{n_1})$ and $B = (b_1, \dots, b_{n_2})$. Let us also clarify how we index marked points after the gluing map $\text{gl}_{n_1+1, 0} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+m+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n+m+1}$. The order of marked points

after gluing is described by the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gl}_{n_1+1,0}([C_1, p_0, \dots, p_{n_1+m}], [C_2, q_0, \dots, q_{n_2+1}]) = \\ = [C, p_0, \dots, p_{n_1}, q_1, \dots, q_{n_2}, p_{n_1+2}, \dots, p_{n_1+m}, q_{n_2+1}], \end{aligned}$$

where the curve C is the result of gluing of C_1 and C_2 . It is easy to see that

$$(6.37) \quad a(\text{gl}_{n_1+1,0})_*(\text{DR}_\Gamma(a_0, A, a) \times \text{DR}_{g_2}(-a, B, 0)) = \text{DR}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(a_0, A, B),$$

where an admissible modified stable tree $\tilde{\Gamma} \in \text{AMST}_{g,n+1}^m$ is constructed in the following way. We attach a new vertex of genus g_2 to the leg number $n_1 + 1$ in Γ . Then we attach n_2 new legs of the first type to this new vertex and also attach a new leg of the second type with number $n + m$ to it. It is clear that for any $\tilde{\Gamma} \in \text{AMST}_{g,n+1}^m$ the class $\text{DR}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ can be represented in the form (6.37) in a unique way. Thus, the sum (6.36) can be rewritten in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0 \\ n \geq 1}} \frac{i^{2g+m-1} e^{2g}}{n!} \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma} \in \text{AMST}_{g,n+1}^m} \sum_{a_0+a_1+\dots+a_n=0} \\ \left(\int_{\text{DR}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n+m+1}(e_1 \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\beta_i} \otimes \otimes_{j=1}^m e_{\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{n+j}^{d_j} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n p_{a_j}^{\beta_j} \right) e^{-ia_0 x}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

6.6.4. *Proof of Proposition 6.7.* As it was explained in Section 6.6.1, it is sufficient to prove Proposition 6.8. For any $\Gamma \in \text{AMST}_{2g+m}^m$ we have

$$\text{DR}_\Gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2g+m-1}) \in H_{2(4g+m-2)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2g+2m}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\lambda_g \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{2g+m-1+j}^{d_j} \in H^{2(g+\sum d_j)}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2g+2m}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since, $g + \sum d_j > 4g + m - 2$, the integral

$$\int_{\text{DR}_\Gamma(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2g+m-1})} \lambda_g c_{g,2g+2m}(e_1^{2g+m} \otimes \otimes_{j=1}^m e_{\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{2g+m-1+j}^{d_j}$$

is equal to zero. By Lemma 6.9, the double ramification correlator $\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}$ is equal to zero. Proposition 6.8 is proved.

Note that the vanishing property from Proposition 6.7 also holds for the usual correlators of a cohomological field theory:

$$\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g = 0, \quad \text{if } \sum d_i > 3g - 3 + m.$$

6.7. Low degree vanishing.

Proposition 6.10. *Let $g, m \geq 0$ such that $2g - 2 + m > 0$. Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i \leq 2g - 2$. Then $\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.1, we have $\langle \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = 0$ for $g \geq 2$. So we can assume that $m \geq 1$. Using the same arguments, as in Section 6.6.1, we see that it is sufficient to prove the following statement.

Lemma 6.11. *Let $g, m \geq 1$ and suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i \leq 2g - 1$. Then $\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}} = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.9, the correlator $\langle \tau_0(e_1)\tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1})\dots\tau_{d_m}(e_{\alpha_m}) \rangle_g^{\text{DR}}$ is equal to the coefficient of $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2g+m-1}$ in the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{(2g+m-1)!} \sum_{\Gamma \in \text{AMST}_{2g+m}^m} \int_{\text{DR}_{\Gamma}(-\sum a_i, a_1, \dots, a_{2g+m-1})} \lambda_g c_{g, 2g+2m}(e_1^{2g+m} \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^m e_{\alpha_i}) \prod_{i=1}^m \psi_{2g+m-1+i}^{d_i}.$$

For a graph $\Gamma \in \text{AMST}_{2g+m}^m$ denote by $L'_1(\Gamma)$ the set of legs of the first type, that are marked by numbers from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2g+m-1\}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (6.38) \quad & \int_{\text{DR}_{\Gamma}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2g+m-1})} \lambda_g c_{g, 2g+2m}(e_1^{2g+m} \otimes \otimes_{j=1}^m e_{\alpha_j}) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi_{2g+m-1+j}^{d_j} = \\ & = \prod_{h \in H_+^e(\Gamma)} a(h) \sum_{\nu: H^e(\Gamma) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}} \eta^{\nu(h)\nu(\iota(h))} \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} \int_{\text{DR}_{g(v)}(0, (a(l))_{l \in L_1[v]}, (a(h))_{h \in H^e[v]})} \lambda_{g(v)} \psi_0^{d_{p(v)}} c_{g, |H[v]|}(e_{\alpha_{p(v)}} \otimes e_1^{|L_1[v]|} \otimes \otimes_{h \in H^e[v]} e_{\nu(h)}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first summation runs over all maps $\nu: H^e(\Gamma) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}$. Consider a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$. Suppose that $g(v) \geq 1$, then from Lemma 5.3 it follows that

$$(6.39) \quad \int_{\text{DR}_{g(v)}(0, (a(l))_{l \in L_1[v]}, (a(h))_{h \in H^e[v]})} \lambda_{g(v)} \psi_0^{d_{p(v)}} c_{g, |H[v]|}(e_{\alpha_{p(v)}} \otimes e_1^{|L_1[v]|} \otimes \otimes_{h \in H^e[v]} e_{\nu(h)}) = O(a_1^2) + \dots + O(a_{2g+m-1}^2),$$

unless $d_{l(v)} \geq |L'_1[v]|$. Suppose now that $g(v) = 0$. Then $\text{DR}_0(0, (a(l))_{l \in L_1[v]}, (a(h))_{h \in H^e[v]}) = [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, |H[v]|}]$. Suppose that $|H[v] \setminus L'_1[v]| \geq 3$, then using the string equation (6.10) we see that that the integral

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, |H[v]|}} \psi_0^{d_{p(v)}} c_{g, |H[v]|}(e_{\alpha_{p(v)}} \otimes e_1^{|L_1[v]|} \otimes \otimes_{h \in H^e[v]} e_{\nu(h)})$$

is zero unless $d_{p(v)} \geq |L'_1[v]|$. Suppose that $|H[v] \setminus L'_1[v]| = 2$. One of the half-edges from the set $H[v] \setminus L'_1[v]$ is the unique leg of the second type, incident to v . Let h be the second half-edge from the set $H[v] \setminus L'_1[v]$. If $h \in H^e[v]$, then let $\theta := \nu(h)$. If $h \in L_1[v] \setminus L'_1[v]$, then let $\theta := 1$. We have

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, |H[v]|}} \psi_0^{d_{p(v)}} c_{g, |H[v]|}(e_{\alpha_{p(v)}} \otimes e_1^{|L_1[v]|} \otimes \otimes_{h \in H^e[v]} e_{\nu(h)}) = \begin{cases} \eta_{\alpha_{p(v)}\theta}, & \text{if } d_{p(v)} = |L'_1[v]| - 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As a result, for any $g(v)$ we get that equation (6.39) holds unless $d_{p(v)} \geq |L'_1[v]| - \delta_{g(v), 0}$. Note that at least one vertex in Γ has non-zero genus. We obtain that the integral (6.38) is equal to $O(a_1^2) + \dots + O(a_{2g+m-1}^2)$, unless $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i \geq |L'_1(\Gamma)| - (m-1) = 2g$. Therefore, the coefficient of $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2g+m-1}$ in (6.38) is equal to zero if $\sum d_i \leq 2g - 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The proposition is proved. \square

Note that in general the vanishing property from Proposition 6.10 doesn't hold for the usual correlators of a cohomological field theory.

7. STRONG DR/DZ EQUIVALENCE CONJECTURE AND THE REDUCED POTENTIAL

The Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies (or the hierarchies of topological type) were introduced in [DZ05]. Originally, they were defined for conformal semisimple Frobenius manifolds. This construction was later generalized in [BPS12b] (see also [BPS12a]). The construction of [BPS12b] associates a tau-symmetric hamiltonian hierarchy to any semisimple cohomological field theory.

In [Bur15] the author conjectured that for an arbitrary semisimple cohomological field theory the double ramification hierarchy is related to the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy by a Miura transformation. In this section we propose a stronger conjecture. The strong conjecture explicitly describes a Miura transformation between the two hierarchies. Moreover, it also describes a relation between their tau-structures. During the formulation of the strong conjecture we construct a certain transformation of the potential of a cohomological field theory that we call the reduced potential. We believe that this construction can have an independent interest. Finally, we check the strong conjecture for the examples where the original conjecture of [Bur15] was already proved.

7.1. Brief recall of the Dubrovin-Zhang theory. Here we recall the construction of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies. We follow the approach from [BPS12b] (see also [BPS12a]).

Consider a semisimple cohomological field theory $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$. Introduce power series $(w^{\text{top}})^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[[x, t_*, \varepsilon]]$ by

$$(w^{\text{top}})^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t_0^\mu \partial t_0^1} \Big|_{t_0^1 \mapsto t_0^1 + x}.$$

Let $(w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha := \partial_x^n (w^{\text{top}})^\alpha$. From the string equation (6.10) it follows that

$$(7.1) \quad (w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha \Big|_{x=0} = t_n^\alpha + \delta_{n,1} \delta^{\alpha,1} + O(t^2) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Therefore, any power series in t_n^α and ε can be expressed as a power series in $((w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha \Big|_{x=0} - \delta_{n,1} \delta^{\alpha,1})$ and ε in a unique way. In [BPS12b] the authors proved that for any $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq N$ and $p, q \geq 0$ there exists a unique differential polynomial $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{w^1, \dots, w^N}^{[0]}$ such that

$$\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}} \Big|_{w_n^\alpha = (w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t_p^\alpha \partial t_q^\beta} \Big|_{t_0^1 \mapsto t_0^1 + x}.$$

In particular, $\Omega_{\alpha,0;1,0}^{\text{DZ}} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} w^\mu$. The equations of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy are given by

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial t_q^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \Omega_{\mu,0;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}.$$

Clearly, the series $(w^{\text{top}})^\alpha$ is a solution. It is called the topological solution. The system (7.2) has a hamiltonian structure. The Hamiltonians are given by

$$\overline{h}_{\alpha,p}^{\text{DZ}} = \int \Omega_{\alpha,p+1;1,0}^{\text{DZ}} dx, \quad p \geq 0.$$

The hamiltonian operator $K^{\text{DZ}} = ((K^{\text{DZ}})^{\alpha\beta})$ has the form

$$(K^{\text{DZ}})^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

We refer the reader to [BPS12b] for the construction of the operator K^{DZ} . Finally, the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy has a tau-structure given by differential polynomials

$$h_{\alpha,p}^{\text{DZ}} = \Omega_{\alpha,p+1;1,0}^{\text{DZ}}, \quad p \geq -1.$$

Since $h_{\alpha,-1}^{\text{DZ}} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} w^\mu$, we see that the coordinates w^α are normal. The differential polynomials $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}$ are the two-point functions of the hierarchy. The partition function $\tau = e^{\varepsilon^{-2} F}$ is the tau-function of the topological solution $(w^{\text{top}})^\alpha$.

7.2. Double ramification hierarchy in the normal coordinates. Here we discuss some properties of the double ramification hierarchy in the normal coordinates.

We see that $h_{\alpha,-1}^{\text{DR}} = \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\alpha,0}}{\delta u^1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} u^\mu + O(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, we have the normal coordinates $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u) = \eta^{\alpha\mu} h_{\mu,-1}^{\text{DR}}$. Denote by $K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}} = \left((K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}})^{\alpha\beta} \right)$ the operator $\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x$ in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α :

$$(7.3) \quad (K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}})^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{p,q \geq 0} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^\alpha(u)}{\partial u_p^\mu} \partial_x^p \circ \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_x \circ (-\partial_x)^q \circ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^\beta(u)}{\partial u_q^\nu}.$$

Lemma 7.1. 1. We have $\frac{\partial \tilde{u}^\alpha(u)}{\partial u^1} = \delta^{\alpha,1}$;
 2. The Miura transformation $u^\alpha \mapsto \tilde{u}^\alpha(u)$ has the form

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{u}^\alpha(u) = u^\alpha + \partial_x^2 z^\alpha,$$

where $z^\alpha \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[-2]}$;

3. We have $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}} = 0$;

4. The operator $K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}}$ doesn't have a constant term: $(K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}})_0 = 0$.

Proof. We have $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u) = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\mu,0}}{\delta u^1}$. Since $\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\mu,0}}{\delta u^1} = \int \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\nu dx$, part 1 is clear.

For part 2 we write:

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\mu,0}}{\delta u^1} = \\ &= \eta^{\alpha\mu} \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0 \\ n \geq 1}} \frac{(-\varepsilon^2)^g}{n!} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i, 0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n+2}(e_1 \otimes e_\mu \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}^{\alpha_i} \right) e^{ix \sum a_i} = \\ &= u^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha\mu} \sum_{g, n \geq 1} \frac{(-\varepsilon^2)^g}{n!} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\pi_* \text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i, 0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n+1}(e_\mu \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}^{\alpha_i} \right) e^{ix \sum a_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ is the forgetful map that forgets the first marked point. By Lemma 5.1, the integral $\int_{\pi_* \text{DR}_g(-\sum a_i, 0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n+1}(e_\mu \otimes \otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i})$ is a polynomial in a_1, \dots, a_n divisible by $(a_1 + \dots + a_n)^2$. Therefore, the function $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u)$ has the form $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u) = u^\alpha + \partial_x^2 z^\alpha$ for some $z^\alpha \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{u^1, \dots, u^N}^{[-2]}$. Part 2 is proved.

From formula (7.3) and part 1 it easily follows that $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^1} K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}} = 0$. Using again part 1 we conclude that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}} = 0$. Therefore, part 3 is proved.

Part 4 follows from part 2 and Lemma 3.2. \square

Consider the following solution of the double ramification hierarchy in the normal coordinates:

$$(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha(x, t_*^*; \varepsilon) := \tilde{u}^\alpha(u^{\text{str}}; u_x^{\text{str}}, \dots).$$

Clearly, $\tau^{\text{DR}} = e^{\varepsilon^{-2} F^{\text{DR}}}$ is the tau-function of this solution. In particular, we have $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial^2 F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_0^\mu \partial t_0^1} \Big|_{t_0^1 \mapsto t_0^1 + x}$. Therefore, from the string (6.1) and the dilaton (6.11) equations it immediately follows that

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha \Big|_{t_*^*=0} = \delta^{\alpha,1} x, \\ & \frac{\partial (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha}{\partial t_0^1} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1}^\gamma \frac{\partial (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha}{\partial t_n^\gamma} = \delta^{\alpha,1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.6) \quad \frac{\partial (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha}{\partial t_1^1} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha}{\partial \varepsilon} - x \frac{\partial (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha}{\partial x} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n^\gamma \frac{\partial (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha}{\partial t_n^\gamma} = 0.$$

7.3. Strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture. The conjecture of [Bur15] says that for an arbitrary semisimple cohomological field theory the Dubrovin-Zhang and the double ramification hierarchies are related by a Miura transformation that is close to identity. We call this conjecture the DR/DZ equivalence conjecture. In order to formulate the strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture, we have to introduce a certain canonical transformation for the potential of a cohomological field theory. Consider an arbitrary cohomological field theory $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 7.2. 1) *There exists a unique differential polynomial $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{w^1, \dots, w^N}^{[-2]}$ such that the power series $F^{\text{red}} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_*^*, \varepsilon]]$, defined by*

$$(7.7) \quad F^{\text{red}} := F + \mathcal{P}(w^{\text{top}}; w_x^{\text{top}}, w_{xx}^{\text{top}}, \dots) \Big|_{x=0},$$

satisfies the following vanishing property:

$$(7.8) \quad \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \frac{\partial^n F^{\text{red}}}{\partial t_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}} \Big|_{t_*^*=0} = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \leq 2g - 2.$$

The power series F^{red} is called the reduced potential of the cohomological field theory.

2) *The reduced potential F^{red} satisfies the string and the dilaton equations:*

$$(7.9) \quad \frac{\partial F^{\text{red}}}{\partial t_0^1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1}^\alpha \frac{\partial F^{\text{red}}}{\partial t_n^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta,$$

$$(7.10) \quad \frac{\partial F^{\text{red}}}{\partial t_1^1} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial F^{\text{red}}}{\partial \varepsilon} + \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n^\alpha \frac{\partial F^{\text{red}}}{\partial t_n^\alpha} - 2F^{\text{red}} + \varepsilon^2 \frac{N}{24}.$$

Proof. Let us construct a sequence of power series

$$F^{(1,0)}, F^{(2,0)}, F^{(2,1)}, F^{(2,2)}, \dots, F^{(j,0)}, F^{(j,1)}, \dots, F^{(j,2j-2)}, \dots \in \mathbb{C}[[t_*^*, \varepsilon]]$$

by the following recursion formulas. We define the series $F^{(1,0)}$ by

$$(7.11) \quad F^{(1,0)} := F - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{n!} \langle \tau_0(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_0(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_1 ((w^{\text{top}})^{\alpha_1} \dots (w^{\text{top}})^{\alpha_n}) \Big|_{x=0}.$$

Suppose we have constructed the series $F^{(j,k)}$. Introduce correlators $\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_g^{(j,k)}$ by

$$\langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_g^{(j,k)} := \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \frac{\partial^n F^{(j,k)}}{\partial t_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}} \Big|_{t_*^*=0}.$$

If $k < 2j - 2$, then we define the series $F^{(j,k+1)}$ by

$$(7.12) \quad F^{(j,k+1)} := F - \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{d_1, \dots, d_n \geq 0 \\ \sum d_i = k+1}} \frac{\varepsilon^{2j}}{n!} \langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_j^{(j,k)} ((w^{\text{top}})_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots (w^{\text{top}})_{d_n}^{\alpha_n} ((w^{\text{top}})_1^1)^{2j-2-k-1}) \Big|_{x=0}.$$

If $k = 2j - 2$, then we define the series $F^{(j+1,0)}$ by an analogous formula

$$(7.13) \quad F^{(j+1,0)} := F - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{2j+2}}{n!} \langle \tau_0(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_0(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_{j+1}^{(j,2j-2)} ((w^{\text{top}})^{\alpha_1} \dots (w^{\text{top}})^{\alpha_n} ((w^{\text{top}})_1^1)^{2j}) \Big|_{x=0}.$$

Define a linear differential operator O_{dil} by $O_{\text{dil}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1^1} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_n^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^\alpha} - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}$. Let us prove the dilaton equation

$$(7.14) \quad (O_{\text{dil}} + 2)F^{(j,k)} = \frac{N}{24} \varepsilon^2.$$

Note that from the dilaton equation (6.16) for F it follows that

$$(7.15) \quad O_{\text{dil}} \left((w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha \Big|_{x=0} \right) = n (w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha \Big|_{x=0}.$$

Using this equation it is easy to see that the dilaton equation (7.14) holds for $F^{(1,0)}$. Equation (7.14) for all $F^{(j,k)}$ is proved by the induction procedure.

Let us prove that

$$(7.16) \quad \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2g}} \frac{\partial^n F^{(j,k)}}{\partial t_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}} \Big|_{t_*^*=0} = 0, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq g \leq j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum d_i \leq \begin{cases} 2g - 2, & \text{if } g < j, \\ k, & \text{if } g = j. \end{cases}$$

Note that the string equation (6.10) for F implies that the series $(w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha \Big|_{x=0}$ has the form

$$(7.17) \quad (w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha \Big|_{x=0} = t_n^\alpha + \delta_{n,1} \delta^{\alpha,1} + R_n^\alpha(t_*) + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad R_n^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[[t_*^*]],$$

where the coefficient of a monomial $t_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}$ in the series R_n^α is equal to zero unless $\sum d_i \geq n + 1$. This equation immediately implies that the series $F^{(1,0)}$ satisfies (7.16). We proceed by induction. Suppose that equation (7.16) is true for $F^{(j,k)}$. Suppose that $k < 2j - 2$. Note that the dilaton equation (7.14) for $F^{(j,k)}$ together with the vanishing (7.16) for $F^{(j,k)}$ imply that a correlator $\langle \tau_1(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_j^{(j,k)}$ is equal to zero, if $\sum d_i = k$. Together with (7.17) it implies that the series $F^{(j,k+1)}$ satisfies the vanishing (7.16). If $k = 2j - 2$, then the same argument shows that $F^{(j+1,0)}$ satisfies the vanishing (7.16). Thus, equation (7.16) is proved.

From the recursion formulas (7.12) and (7.13) it follows that if $j_1 \leq j_2$, then $F^{(j_1,k_1)} - F^{(j_2,k_2)} = O(\varepsilon^{2j_1})$. Therefore, the limit $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F^{(j,2j-2)}$ is well-defined. Let us denote it by F^{red} . From formulas (7.11), (7.12) and (7.13) it follows that the series F^{red} has the form (7.7) for some differential polynomial $\mathcal{P} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{w^1, \dots, w^N}^{[-2]}$. The vanishing (7.8) for F^{red} is clear from the vanishing (7.16) for $F^{(j,k)}$. So the existence statement in part 1 of the proposition is proved.

Let us prove the uniqueness. Suppose that we have two differential polynomials $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}' \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{w^1, \dots, w^N}^{[-2]}$ such that the vanishing property (7.8) holds for both of them. Let $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}'$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \mathcal{Q}_g$, $\mathcal{Q}_g \in \mathcal{A}_{w^1, \dots, w^N}$, $\deg \mathcal{Q}_g = 2g - 2$. We have

$$(7.18) \quad \text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^{2j}} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}} \left(\sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \mathcal{Q}_g(w^{\text{top}}; w_x^{\text{top}}, \dots) \Big|_{x=0} \right) \Big|_{t_*^*=0} = 0, \quad \text{if } \sum d_i \leq 2j - 2.$$

Let g_0 be the minimal g such that $\mathcal{Q}_g \neq 0$. Let us decompose \mathcal{Q}_{g_0} is the following way:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{g_0} = \sum_{i=0}^{2g_0-2} \mathcal{Q}_{g_0}^i (w_1^1)^i, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{g_0}^i \in \mathcal{A}_{w^1, \dots, w^N},$$

where differential polynomials $\mathcal{Q}_{g_0}^i$ don't depend on w_1^1 . Let i_0 be the minimal i such that $\mathcal{Q}_{g_0}^i \neq 0$. From (7.17) it follows that

$$\sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \mathcal{Q}_g(w^{\text{top}}; w_x^{\text{top}}, \dots) \Big|_{x=0} = \varepsilon^{2g_0} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{g_0}^{i_0} \Big|_{w_n^\alpha = t_n^\alpha} + R(t_*^*) + O(\varepsilon^2) \right),$$

where the coefficient of a monomial $t_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}$ in the power series $R(t_*^*) \in \mathbb{C}[[t_*^*]]$ is equal to zero unless $\sum d_i \geq i_0 + 1$. Clearly, the vanishing (7.18) implies that $\mathcal{Q}_{g_0}^{i_0} = 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, the uniqueness is proved. So part 1 of the proposition is proved.

Consider part 2. The dilaton equation (7.10) for F^{red} obviously follows from the dilaton equation (7.14) for $F^{(j,k)}$. Let $O_{\text{str}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0^\alpha} - \sum_{n \geq 0} t_{n+1}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^\alpha}$. Clearly, for the string equation (7.9) for F^{red} it is enough to prove that

$$(7.19) \quad O_{\text{str}} F^{(j,k)} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} t_0^\alpha t_0^\beta.$$

We again proceed by induction. From the string equation (6.10) for F it follows that

$$(7.20) \quad O_{\text{str}}(w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha = \delta_{n,0} \delta^{\alpha,1}.$$

Note that a correlator $\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_0(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_0(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_1$ is zero unless $n = 0$. Therefore, the series $F^{(1,0)}$ satisfies the string equation (7.19). Suppose that we have proved the string equation (7.19) for $F^{(j,k)}$. Suppose $k < 2j - 2$. Then the vanishing (7.16) implies that a correlator $\langle \tau_0(e_1) \tau_{d_1}(e_{\alpha_1}) \dots \tau_{d_n}(e_{\alpha_n}) \rangle_j^{(j,k)}$ is equal to zero, if $\sum d_i = k + 1$. Therefore, from recursion (7.12) and equation (7.20) it follows that the series $F^{(j,k+1)}$ satisfies the string equation (7.19). If $k = 2j - 2$, then the same argument shows that the series $F^{(j+1,0)}$ satisfies the string equation (7.19). The proposition is proved. \square

Recall that by $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u)$ we denote the normal coordinates of the double ramification hierarchy: $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u) = \eta^{\alpha\mu} h_{\mu,-1}^{\text{DR}}$. Suppose our cohomological field theory is semisimple. The differential polynomial \mathcal{P} from Proposition 7.2 defines some normal Miura transformation.

Conjecture 7.3 (Strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture). *Consider a semisimple cohomological field theory, the associated Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy and the double ramification hierarchy with their tau-structures. Then the normal Miura transformation defined by the differential polynomial \mathcal{P} maps the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy to the double ramification hierarchy written in the normal coordinates \tilde{u}^α .*

It is possible to reformulate this conjecture in a very compact way using the reduced potential.

Proposition 7.4. *Conjecture 7.3 is true if and only if $F^{\text{DR}} = F^{\text{red}}$.*

Proof. Consider the normal Miura transformation determined by \mathcal{P} :

$$w^\alpha \mapsto \tilde{u}^\alpha(w) = w^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \left\{ \mathcal{P}, \bar{h}_{\mu,0}^{\text{DZ}} \right\}_{K^{\text{DZ}}}.$$

Clearly, the series $(\tilde{u}^{\text{red}})^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial^2 F^{\text{red}}}{\partial t^\mu \partial t_0^\alpha} \Big|_{t_0^1 \rightarrow t_0^1 + x}$ is a solution of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α and $e^{\varepsilon^{-2} F^{\text{red}}}$ is its tau-function. From the string equation (7.9) for F^{red} it follows that $(\tilde{u}^{\text{red}})^\alpha \Big|_{t_*^* = 0} = \delta^{\alpha,1} x$.

Suppose Conjecture 7.3 is true. Since $(\tilde{u}^{\text{red}})^\alpha \Big|_{t_*^* = 0} = \delta^{\alpha,1} x$, we get $(\tilde{u}^{\text{red}})^\alpha = (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha$. Since $e^{\varepsilon^{-2} F^{\text{DR}}}$ is the tau-function of $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha$, from (3.12) we get

$$(7.21) \quad F^{\text{DR}} - F^{\text{red}} = \sum_{g \geq 1} a_g \varepsilon^{2g} + \sum_{\substack{g \geq 1 \\ r \geq 0}} b_{\gamma,r,g} \varepsilon^{2g} t_r^\gamma,$$

where a_g and $b_{\gamma,r,g}$ are some complex constants. From the string and the dilaton equations (7.9), (7.10), (6.1), (6.11) for F^{red} and F^{DR} it is very easy to see that $b_{\gamma,r,g} = 0$ for $g \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$, and that $a_g = 0$ for $g \geq 2$. It remains to show that $a_1 = 0$. By definition, $\text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^2} F^{\text{DR}} \Big|_{t_*^* = 0} = 0$. From formula (7.11) and property (7.1) it follows that $\text{Coef}_{\varepsilon^2} F^{\text{red}} \Big|_{t_*^* = 0} = 0$. Thus, $a_1 = 0$.

Suppose now that $F^{\text{DR}} = F^{\text{red}}$. Denote by $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}(\tilde{u})$ the two-point function of the normal Miura transform of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy. It is sufficient to prove that

$$(7.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}(\tilde{u}) &= \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}(\tilde{u}), \\ K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DZ}} &= K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha = (\tilde{u}^{\text{red}})^\alpha$ and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}(\tilde{u}^{\text{red}}, \tilde{u}_x^{\text{red}}, \dots) \Big|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial^2 F^{\text{red}}}{\partial t_p^\alpha \partial t_q^\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 F^{\text{DR}}}{\partial t_p^\alpha \partial t_q^\beta} = \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}}, \tilde{u}_x^{\text{str}}, \dots) \Big|_{x=0}.$$

The property $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})_n^\alpha \Big|_{x=0} = t_n^\alpha + \delta^{\alpha,1} \delta_{n,1} + O(t^2) + O(\varepsilon^2)$, allows to conclude that $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}(\tilde{u}) = \Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DR}}(\tilde{u})$.

Let us prove (7.22). We already know that the equations of the Dubrovin-Zhang and the double ramification hierarchies in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α coincide. We also know that the Hamiltonians of the two hierarchies in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α coincide. Therefore,

$$((K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DZ}})^{\alpha\mu} - (K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}})^{\alpha\mu}) \frac{\delta \bar{h}^{\text{DZ}}[\tilde{u}]}{\delta u^\mu} = 0.$$

Equivalently, in the coordinates w^α we have

$$((K^{\text{DZ}})^{\alpha\mu} - (K_w^{\text{DR}})^{\alpha\mu}) \frac{\delta \bar{h}^{\text{DZ}}}{\delta w^\mu} = 0.$$

We proceed using the same idea, as in [BPS12b, Section 6]. We have $(K_w^{\text{DZ}})_0 = 0$ (see [BPS12b]). From Lemmas 7.1 and (3.2) it follows that the constant term of K_w^{DR} is also equal to zero. Then we just repeat the arguments from Section 6 of [BPS12b]. The inverse weak quasi-Miura transformation from Lemma 20 of [BPS12b] maps the Hamiltonian $\bar{h}_{\alpha,p}^{\text{DZ}}$ to its dispersionless part and also maps the operator $(K^{\text{DZ}} - K_w^{\text{DR}})$ into one that also has no constant term. The same argument, as in the proof of Proposition 21 from [BPS12b], shows now that $(K^{\text{DZ}} - K_w^{\text{DR}}) = 0$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

The existence of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy is known only if a cohomological field theory is semisimple. On the other hand, the semisimplicity assumption is not used in the construction of the double ramification hierarchy. Note that the reduced potential F^{red} is also defined for an arbitrary cohomological field theory. Proposition 7.4 suggests the following generalization of Conjecture 7.3 for an arbitrary, not necessarily semisimple, cohomological field theory.

Conjecture 7.5. *For an arbitrary cohomological field theory we have $F^{\text{DR}} = F^{\text{red}}$.*

Finally, we would like to present a sufficient condition for Conjecture 7.3 to be true. We will use this condition in the next section in order to check the conjecture in several examples.

Proposition 7.6. *Suppose that the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operators of the double ramification hierarchy in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α and the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy are related by a Miura transformation of the form*

$$(7.23) \quad \tilde{u}^\alpha \mapsto w^\alpha(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{u}^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \{ \mathcal{Q}, \bar{g}_{\mu,0}[\tilde{u}] \}_{K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}}},$$

where $\mathcal{Q} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{u}^1, \dots, \tilde{u}^N}^{[-2]}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} = \varepsilon^2 \langle \tau_0(e_1) \rangle_1$. Then Conjecture 7.3 is true.

Proof. The differential polynomial \mathcal{Q} defines a normal Miura transformation. From Lemma 3.3 it follows that this normal Miura transformation maps the tau-structure of the double ramification hierarchy to the tau-structure of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy. Let $\mathcal{Q}' \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{w^1, \dots, w^N}^{[-2]}$ be the differential polynomial defining the inverse normal Miura transformation. It remains to show that \mathcal{Q}' coincides with the differential polynomial \mathcal{P} from Proposition 7.2.

Let $\mathcal{Q}^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \{ \mathcal{Q}, \bar{g}_{\mu,0}[\tilde{u}] \}_{K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}}}$. Let us show that $\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} = 0$. We have $\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\mu,0}}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} = \int \eta_{\mu\nu} u^\nu dx \stackrel{\text{by (7.4)}}{=} \int \eta_{\mu\nu} \tilde{u}^\nu(u) dx$. From part 1 of Lemma 7.1 it follows that $\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\mu,0}[\tilde{u}]}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} = \int \eta_{\mu\nu} \tilde{u}^\nu dx$. Using also part 3 of Lemma 7.1 and the fact that the derivative $\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial \tilde{u}^1}$ is a constant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} \left(\eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial \tilde{u}_n^\gamma} \partial_x^n \left((K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}})^{\gamma\theta} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\mu,0}}{\delta \tilde{u}^\theta} \right) \right) = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial \tilde{u}_n^\gamma} \partial_x^n \left((K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}})^{\gamma\theta} \eta_{\mu\theta} \right) = \\ &= \partial_x \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial \tilde{u}_n^\gamma} \partial_x^n (K_{\tilde{u}}^{\text{DR}})^{\gamma\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

By part 4 of Lemma 7.1, the last expression is equal to zero. Hence, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} = 0$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}(w^{\text{str}})^\alpha(x, t_*, \varepsilon) &:= (\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha + \mathcal{Q}^\alpha(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}}; \tilde{u}_x^{\text{str}}, \dots), \\ F^{\text{str}}(t_*, \varepsilon) &:= F^{\text{DR}} + \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}}; \tilde{u}_x^{\text{str}}, \dots)|_{x=0}.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the ε -expansion of \mathcal{Q}^α : $\mathcal{Q}^\alpha = \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \mathcal{Q}_g^\alpha$. Since $\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} = 0$ and $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha|_{t_*^*=0} = \delta^{\alpha,1}x$, we have

$$(w^{\text{str}})^\alpha|_{t_*^*=0} = \delta^{\alpha,1}x + \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} C_g^\alpha,$$

where the constant C_g^α is equal to the coefficient of the monomial $(\tilde{u}_1^1)^{2g}$ in \mathcal{Q}_g^α . Since \mathcal{Q}_g^α belongs to the image of the operator ∂_x , this coefficient is equal to zero. Thus, $(w^{\text{str}})^\alpha|_{t_*^*=0} = \delta^{\alpha,1}x$. Both series $(w^{\text{str}})^\alpha$ and $(w^{\text{top}})^\alpha$ are solutions of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy, therefore $(w^{\text{str}})^\alpha = (w^{\text{top}})^\alpha$. Clearly, the exponent $e^{\varepsilon^{-2}F^{\text{str}}}$ is the tau-function of it the solution $(w^{\text{str}})^\alpha$. From equation (3.12) we immediately get that

$$F - F^{\text{str}} = \sum_{g \geq 1} a_g \varepsilon^{2g} + \sum_{\substack{g \geq 1 \\ r \geq 0}} b_{g,\gamma,r} t_r^\gamma \varepsilon^{2g}$$

for some complex constants a_g and $b_{g,\gamma,r}$. The string equation (6.1) for F^{DR} , equation $\frac{\partial \mathcal{Q}}{\partial \tilde{u}^1} = \varepsilon^2 \langle \tau_0(e_1) \rangle_1$ and the string equation (7.5) for $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha$ imply that the series F^{str} satisfies the same string equation (6.10), as F . From this we conclude that $b_{g,\gamma,r} = 0$. From the dilaton equations (6.11) and (7.6) for F^{DR} and $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha$ it follows that F^{str} satisfies the same dilaton equation (6.16), as F . It implies that $a_g = 0$ for $g \geq 2$. Let us finally show that $a_1 = 0$. On one hand, we know that F_1 doesn't have constant term. On the other hand, let us write the ε -expansion $F^{\text{str}} = \sum_{g \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2g} F_g^{\text{str}}$. Note that $\deg \mathcal{Q}_1 = 0$. Using also that the constant term in F_1^{DR} is zero and that $(\tilde{u}^{\text{str}})^\alpha|_{x=t_*^*=0}$ we get that the constant term in F_1^{str} is equal to zero. Thus, $a_1 = 0$ and $F^{\text{str}} = F$.

As a result, we get

$$F^{\text{DR}} = F + \mathcal{Q}'(w^{\text{top}}; w_x^{\text{top}}, w_{xx}^{\text{top}}, \dots)|_{x=0}.$$

By Proposition 6.10, the potential F^{DR} satisfies the vanishing (7.8). Therefore, by part 1 of Proposition 7.2, we have $\mathcal{Q}' = \mathcal{P}$. The proposition is proved. \square

7.4. Examples. The DR/DZ equivalence conjecture is already proved in certain cases. It is proved for the one-parameter family of cohomological field theories given by the full Chern class of the Hodge bundle ([Bur15]), for the cohomological field theory associated to the Gromov-Witten theory of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ ([BR15a]) and for the r -spin theory, when $r = 3, 4, 5$ ([BG15]). In this section we prove that in all these cases the strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture is also true.

7.4.1. Full Chern class of the Hodge bundle. Consider the cohomological field theory given by

$$\begin{aligned}V &= \langle e_1 \rangle, & \eta_{1,1} &= 1, \\ c_{g,n}(e_1^n) &= 1 + \ell \lambda_1 + \dots + \ell^g \lambda_g,\end{aligned}$$

where ℓ is a formal parameter. We have (see [Bur15])

$$\bar{g}_1 = \int \left(\frac{u^3}{6} + \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \ell^{g-1} \frac{|B_{2g}|}{2(2g)!} u u_{2g} \right) dx,$$

where B_{2g} are Bernoulli numbers: $B_0 = 1, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, \dots$. We also have $\bar{g}_0 = \int \frac{u^2}{2} dx$, therefore $h_{-1}^{\text{DR}} = u$. We see that the coordinate u is normal for the double ramification hierarchy. In [Bur15] it is proved that the Miura transformation

$$(7.24) \quad u \mapsto w(u) = u + \sum_{g \geq 1} \frac{2^{2g-1} - 1}{2^{2g-1}} \frac{|B_{2g}|}{(2g)!} \varepsilon^{2g} \ell^g u_{2g}$$

maps the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the double ramification hierarchy to the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy. It is easy to see that the transformation (7.24) has the form (7.23) if we put

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \frac{2^{2g-1} - 1}{2^{2g-1}} \frac{|B_{2g}|}{(2g)!} \ell^g u_{2g-2}.$$

By Proposition 7.6, Conjecture 7.3 is true in this case.

7.4.2. *Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{CP}^1* . Consider the cohomological field theory associated to the Gromov-Witten theory of \mathbb{CP}^1 . We have $V = H^*(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathbb{C}) = \langle 1, \omega \rangle$, where 1 and ω is the unit and the class dual to a point respectively. The matrix of the metric in this basis is given by

$$\eta_{11} = \eta_{\omega\omega} = 0, \quad \eta_{1\omega} = \eta_{\omega 1} = 1.$$

We have $\bar{g}_{1,0} = \int u^1 u^\omega dx$ and in [BR15a] the authors computed that

$$\bar{g}_{\omega,0} = \int \left(\frac{(u^1)^2}{2} + q \left(e^{S(\varepsilon \partial_x) u^\omega} - u^\omega \right) \right) dx,$$

where $S(z) := \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}} - e^{-\frac{z}{2}}}{z}$. Therefore, $h_{1,-1}^{\text{DR}} = u^\omega$ and $h_{\omega,-1}^{\text{DR}} = u^1$. Thus, the coordinate u^α is normal, $\tilde{u}^\alpha = u^\alpha$. In [BR15a] the authors proved that the Miura transformation

$$(7.25) \quad u^\alpha \mapsto w^\alpha(u) = \frac{\varepsilon \partial_x}{e^{\frac{\varepsilon \partial_x}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\varepsilon \partial_x}{2}}} u^\alpha = u^\alpha + \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \frac{1 - 2^{2g-1}}{2^{2g-1}} \frac{B_{2g}}{(2g)!} u_{2g}^\alpha$$

maps the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the double ramification hierarchy to the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy. It is easy to see that the transformation (7.25) has the form (7.23) if we put

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{g \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2g} \frac{1 - 2^{2g-1}}{2^{2g-1}} \frac{B_{2g}}{(2g)!} u_{2g-2}^\omega.$$

By Proposition 7.6, Conjecture 7.3 is true in this case.

7.4.3. *r-spin theory for $r = 3, 4, 5$* . Let $r \geq 3$ and consider the cohomological field theory formed by Witten's r -spin classes (see e.g. [BG15]). In this case we have $V = \langle e_i \rangle_{i=1, \dots, r-1}$ and the metric is given by $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta, r}$. Recall that $\bar{g}_{1,1} = (D-2)\bar{g}$, where $D = \sum_{n \geq 0} (n+1) u_n^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^\alpha}$. We also have $\bar{g}_{\alpha,0} = \frac{\partial \bar{g}}{\partial u^\alpha}$. Therefore, we compute

$$(7.26) \quad \tilde{u}^\alpha = h_{r-\alpha, -1}^{\text{DR}} = \frac{\delta}{\delta u^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{r-\alpha}} (D-2)^{-1} \bar{g}_{1,1} = D^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{r-\alpha}} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{1,1}}{\delta u^1}.$$

This formula will be useful in the computations below.

For the 3-spin theory we have (see [BR15a] or [BG15])

$$\bar{g}_{1,1} = \int \left(\frac{(u^1)^2 u^2}{2} + \frac{(u^2)^4}{36} + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{(u^2)^2 u_2^2}{48} + \frac{u^1 u_2^1}{12} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{432} u^2 u_4^2 \right) dx.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{1,1}}{\delta u^1} = u^1 u^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{6} u_{xx}^1.$$

Using (7.26), we can easily see that the coordinate u^α is normal, $\tilde{u}^\alpha = u^\alpha$. In [BG15] it was proved that the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the double ramification hierarchy coincide with the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy. By Proposition 7.6, Conjecture 7.3 is true for the 3-spin theory.

For the 4-spin theory we have (see [BR15a] or [BG15])

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{1,1} = & \int \left[\frac{(u^1)^2 u^3}{2} + \frac{u^1 (u^2)^2}{2} + \frac{(u^2)^2 (u^3)^2}{8} + \frac{(u^3)^5}{320} + \right. \\ & \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{8} u^1 u_2^1 + \frac{1}{64} u_2^3 (u^2)^2 + \frac{1}{16} u^3 u^2 u_2^2 + \frac{1}{64} u_2^1 (u^3)^2 + \frac{1}{192} (u^3)^3 u_2^3 \right) + \\ & \varepsilon^4 \left(\frac{1}{160} u^2 u_4^2 + \frac{5}{4096} (u^3)^2 u_4^3 + \frac{3}{640} u^1 u_4^3 \right) + \\ & \left. \varepsilon^6 \frac{1}{8192} u^3 u_6^3 \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{1,1}}{\delta u^1} = u^1 u^3 + \frac{(u^2)^2}{2} + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} u_{xx}^1 + \frac{1}{64} \partial_x^2 ((u^3)^2) \right) + \varepsilon^4 \frac{3}{640} u_4^3.$$

For the normal coordinates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}^1 &= u^1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{96} u_{xx}^3, \\ \tilde{u}^2 &= u^2, \\ \tilde{u}^3 &= u^3. \end{aligned}$$

In [BG15] it was proved that the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the double ramification hierarchy in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α coincide with the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy. By Proposition 7.6, Conjecture 7.3 is true for the 4-spin theory.

For the 5-spin theory we have (see [BG15])

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{1,1} = & \int \left[\frac{(u^1)^2 u^4}{2} + u^1 u^2 u^3 + \frac{(u^2)^3}{6} + \frac{(u^3)^4}{30} + \frac{u^2 (u^3)^2 u^4}{5} + \frac{(u^2)^2 (u^4)^2}{10} + \frac{(u^3)^2 (u^4)^3}{50} + \frac{(u^4)^6}{3750} + \right. \\ & \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{6} u^1 u_2^1 + \frac{3}{20} u^2 u^3 u_2^3 + \frac{1}{10} u^2 (u_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{20} u_2^1 u^3 u^4 + \frac{1}{10} u^2 u_2^2 u^4 + \frac{1}{40} (u_1^2)^2 u^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{50} u^2 u^4 (u_1^4)^2 + \frac{1}{75} u^2 (u^4)^2 u_2^4 + \frac{1}{75} (u^3)^2 u^4 u_2^4 + \frac{1}{50} u^3 u_2^3 (u^4)^2 + \frac{1}{1200} (u^4)^4 u_2^4 \right) + \\ & \varepsilon^4 \left(\frac{7}{600} u^2 u_4^2 + \frac{11}{900} u^1 u_4^3 + \frac{7}{1200} u^2 u^4 u_4^4 + \frac{17}{1200} u^2 u_1^4 u_3^4 + \frac{71}{7200} u^2 (u_2^4)^2 + \frac{31}{3600} u^3 u_4^3 u^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{7}{450} u_1^3 u_3^3 u^4 + \frac{91}{7200} (u_2^3)^2 u^4 + \frac{13}{12000} (u_2^4)^2 (u^4)^2 + \frac{3}{4000} u_2^4 (u_1^4)^2 u^4 \right) + \\ & \varepsilon^6 \left(\frac{53}{108000} u^3 u_6^3 + \frac{11}{18000} u^2 u_6^4 + \frac{1397}{6480000} (u_3^4)^2 u^4 + \frac{617}{1620000} u_4^4 u_2^4 u^4 \right) + \\ & \left. \varepsilon^8 \frac{107}{10800000} u^4 u_8^4 \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{1,1}}{\delta u^1} = u^1 u^4 + u^2 u^3 + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} u_{xx}^1 + \frac{1}{20} \partial_x^2 (u^3 u^4) \right) + \varepsilon^4 \frac{11}{900} u_4^3.$$

For the normal coordinates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u}^1 &= u^1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{60} u_{xx}^3, \\ \tilde{u}^2 &= u^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{60} u_{xx}^4, \\ \tilde{u}^3 &= u^3, \\ \tilde{u}^4 &= u^4.\end{aligned}$$

In [BG15] it was proved that the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the double ramification hierarchy in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α coincide with the Hamiltonians and the hamiltonian operator of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy. Again, by Proposition 7.6, Conjecture 7.3 is true for the 5-spin theory.

8. DOUBLE RAMIFICATION HIERARCHY IN GENUS 1

In this section we compute the genus 1 part of the double ramification hierarchy associated to any cohomological field theory in terms of genus 0 data only. We also prove the strong equivalence between double ramification and Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies at genus less or equal to 1 (i.e. modulo $O(\varepsilon^4)$) for semisimple cohomological field theories, by comparison with the genus 1 correction to the DZ hierarchy as computed in [DZ98]. We stress here that these results are only valid for genuine double ramification hierarchies associated to CohFTs, not for the generalized kind appearing in the next section.

8.1. Genus 1 correction to the Hamiltonians. Let \bar{g} be the primary potential of the double ramification hierarchy, i.e

$$(8.1) \quad \bar{g} := \sum_{\substack{g \geq 0, n \geq 2 \\ 2g-2+n > 0}} \frac{(-\varepsilon^2)^g}{n!} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum a_i = 0}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n} (\otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i},$$

and let

$$\bar{g} = \bar{g}^{[0]} + \varepsilon^2 \bar{g}^{[2]} + O(\varepsilon^4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{g}_{\beta,p} &= \bar{g}_{\beta,p}^{[0]} + \varepsilon^2 \bar{g}_{\beta,p}^{[2]} + O(\varepsilon^4), \\ g_{\beta,p} &= g_{\beta,p}^{[0]} + \varepsilon^2 g_{\beta,p}^{[2]} + O(\varepsilon^4),\end{aligned}$$

then $\bar{g}^{[0]} = \int f(u^1, \dots, u^n) dx$ where f is the genus 0 Frobenius potential of the underlying cohomological field theory. We have the following general lemma.

Lemma 8.1. *For the double ramification hierarchy associated to any cohomological field theory, we have*

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned}\bar{g}^{[2]} &= -\frac{1}{48} \int c_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon c_{\varepsilon\mu}^\mu u_x^\alpha u_x^\beta dx, \\ \bar{g}_{\gamma,p}^{[2]} &= -\frac{1}{24} \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\gamma,p-1}^{[0]}}{\partial u^\zeta} \eta^{\zeta\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\sigma} (c_{\alpha\beta}^\varepsilon c_{\varepsilon\mu}^\mu) + \frac{\partial g_{\gamma,p-2}^{[0]}}{\partial u^\zeta} c_{\delta\sigma}^\zeta c_{\alpha\beta}^\delta c_\mu^{\sigma\mu} \right) u_x^\alpha u_x^\beta dx,\end{aligned}$$

where $g_{\gamma,-2}^{[0]} = 0$, $g_{\gamma,-1}^{[0]} = \eta_{\gamma\mu} u^\mu$, $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^\alpha \partial u^\beta \partial u^\gamma}$ and, as usual, indices are raised and lowered by η .

Proof. Simply apply Hain's formula in genus 1,

$$\text{DR}_1(a_1, \dots, a_n) |_{\mathcal{M}_{1,n}^{\text{ct}}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^2}{2} \psi_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |J| \geq 2}} a_J^2 \delta_0^J,$$

and intersect it with λ_1 which on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ coincides with $\frac{1}{24}\delta_{\text{irr}}$, where δ_{irr} is the boundary divisor of genus 1 curves with a non-separating node. For instance, this yields the following expression for the correlators entering the definition of $\overline{g}^{[2]}$:

$$\int_{\text{DR}_1(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_1 c_{1,n}(\otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i}) = \frac{1}{48} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+2}} \psi_i c_{0,n+2}(\otimes_{i=1}^n e_{\alpha_i} \otimes e_\mu \otimes e_\nu) \eta^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |J| \geq 2}} a_J^2 \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,|J|+1}} c_{0,|J|+1}(e_{\alpha_J} \otimes e_\mu) \eta^{\mu\nu} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n-|J|+3}} c_{0,n-|J|+3}(e_\nu \otimes e_{\alpha_{J^c}} \otimes e_\epsilon \otimes e_\delta) \eta^{\epsilon\delta} \right),$$

where, for $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_{|J|}\}$, e_{α_J} denotes the tensor product $e_{\alpha_{j_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_{j_{|J|}}}$ and similarly for the complement J^c . In terms of generating functions we then get

$$\overline{g}^{[2]} = \frac{1}{48} \int \left(u_{xx}^\alpha \frac{\partial^2 g_{\alpha,1}^{[0]}}{\partial u^\mu \partial u^\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^\mu} \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_x^2 \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u^\nu \partial u^\epsilon \partial u^\delta} \eta^{\epsilon\delta} \right) dx$$

and using genus 0 topological recursion relations yields the lemma. The formula for $\overline{g}_{\gamma,p}^{[2]}$ is derived in a similar fashion. \square

8.2. DR/DZ equivalence in genus 1. Consider a cohomological field theory whose genus 0 part is described by an N -dimensional semisimple Frobenius manifold with potential $f = f(v^1, \dots, v^N)$, flat coordinates v^1, \dots, v^N and flat metric η . Denote by $v^{\text{top}}(x, t_*)$ the genus 0 part of the topological solution, i.e. $v^{\text{top}} = w^{\text{top}}|_{\epsilon=0}$. Recall from [Get97] that the genus 1 part of the partition function of this CohFT can be written as

$$(8.3) \quad F_1 = \left(\frac{1}{24} \log \det(c_{*\mu}^* v_x^\mu) + G(v^1, \dots, v^N) \right) \Big|_{v_n^\gamma = (v^{\text{top}})_n^\gamma(x, t_*)} \Big|_{x=0},$$

where $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial v^\alpha \partial v^\beta \partial v^\gamma}$ and $G|_{v^*=t_0^*} = F_1|_{t_{>0}^*=0}$ is the primary (no descendants) partition function in genus 1, the so-called G -function. We will also denote by

$$\tilde{v}^\alpha := v^\alpha + \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_0^\mu \partial t_0^1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} \log \det(c_{*\mu}^* v_x^\mu) \right) + O(\varepsilon^4)$$

the ‘‘intermediate’’ coordinates obtained by ignoring the G -function. Dubrovin and Zhang computed the genus 1 correction to the DZ hierarchy in [DZ98]. To simplify the notations, in this section we will denote $h_{\beta,p}^{\text{DZ}}$ simply by $h_{\beta,p}$ and its part of degree $2k$ in ε by $h_{\beta,p}^{[2k]}$.

Theorem 8.2 ([DZ98]). *The genus 1 topological deformation of the principal hierarchy associated to a semisimple Frobenius manifold is given in two steps, which correspond to the two terms of equation (8.3). First the following deformation of the hamiltonian operator:*

$$(8.4) \quad K_{\tilde{v}}^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} (c_\mu^{\mu\alpha\beta} \partial_x^3 + \partial_x^3 \circ c_\mu^{\mu\alpha\beta} - \partial_x^2 c_\mu^{\mu\alpha\beta} \partial_x - \partial_x \circ \partial_x^2 c_\mu^{\mu\alpha\beta}) + O(\varepsilon^4),$$

and the Hamiltonians:

$$(8.5) \quad \overline{h}'_{\beta,p} = \overline{h}_{\beta,p}^{[0]}[\tilde{v}] + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} \int \left(\frac{\partial h_{\beta,p-1}^{[0]}(\tilde{v})}{\partial \tilde{v}^\zeta} (c_{\nu\gamma}^\zeta c_{\alpha\mu}^{\mu\nu} - c_{\mu\nu\alpha}^\zeta c_{\gamma}^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{\partial h_{\beta,p-2}^{[0]}(\tilde{v})}{\partial \tilde{v}^\zeta} c_{\delta\sigma}^\zeta c_\gamma^{\sigma\mu} c_{\alpha\mu}^\delta \right) \tilde{v}_x^\alpha \tilde{v}_x^\gamma dx + O(\varepsilon^4),$$

where $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ denote the third and fourth derivatives of $f(\tilde{v})$, respectively, and the indices are raised and lowered by η . Then the normal Miura transformation generated by the differential polynomial $\mathcal{F} = \varepsilon^2 G(\tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^N) + O(\varepsilon^4)$ in the notations of Section 3.6.

We have the following result (see also [BCRR14] for an application to the Gromov-Witten theory of local \mathbb{P}^1 -orbifolds).

Proposition 8.3. *The Miura transformation*

$$(8.6) \quad \tilde{v}^\alpha \mapsto u^\alpha(\tilde{v}) = \tilde{v}^\alpha - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} \partial_x^2 c_\mu^{\alpha\mu}(\tilde{v}) + O(\varepsilon^4)$$

maps the hamiltonian operator (8.4) to

$$(8.7) \quad K_u^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_x + O(\varepsilon^4)$$

and the Hamiltonians (8.5) to the Hamiltonians of the DR hierarchy:

$$(8.8) \quad \bar{g}_{\beta,p} = \bar{h}_{\beta,p}^{[0]}[u] - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} \int \left(\frac{\partial h_{\beta,p-1}^{[0]}}{\partial u^\zeta} c_{\mu\nu\alpha}^\zeta c_\gamma^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial h_{\beta,p-2}^{[0]}}{\partial u^\zeta} c_{\delta\sigma}^\zeta c_\mu^{\sigma\mu} c_{\alpha\gamma}^\delta \right) u_x^\alpha u_x^\gamma dx + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of the formula $K_{\tilde{v}}^{\alpha\beta} = (L^*)_\mu^\alpha \circ K_u^{\mu\nu} \circ L_\nu^\beta$ for the transformation of the hamiltonian operator $K^{\alpha\beta}$, where $(L^*)_\mu^\alpha = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}^\alpha}{\partial u_s^\mu} \partial_x^s$ and $L_\nu^\beta = \sum_{s \geq 0} (-\partial_x)^s \circ \frac{\partial \tilde{v}^\beta}{\partial u_s^\nu}$. For the Hamiltonians one simply evaluates the functions at the shifted values, performs Taylor's expansion and uses genus 0 topological recursion relations, further remarking that the difference between (8.2) and the coefficient of ε^2 in (8.8) consists in the (integral of a) contraction of a tensor antisymmetric in α, γ with the symmetric quantity $u_x^\alpha u_x^\gamma$. \square

From this result, the strong DR/DZ equivalence at genus less or equal to 1 follows easily.

Theorem 8.4. *The strong DR/DZ equivalence conjecture is true for an arbitrary semisimple cohomological field theory modulo $O(\varepsilon^4)$.*

Proof. From equation (8.6) it follows that $\tilde{v}^\alpha(u) = u^\alpha + \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^2 c_\mu^{\alpha\mu}(u) + O(\varepsilon^4)$. On the other hand, for the normal coordinates $\tilde{u}^\alpha(u)$ of the double ramification hierarchy we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}^\alpha(u) &= \eta^{\alpha\mu} h_{\mu,-1}^{\text{DR}} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\mu,0}}{\delta u^1} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta u^1} \frac{\partial \bar{g}}{\partial u^\mu} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}}{\delta u^1} = u^\alpha + \varepsilon^2 \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu} \frac{\delta \bar{g}^{[2]}}{\delta u^1} + O(\varepsilon^4) = \\ &= u^\alpha + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} \eta^{\alpha\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\mu} \partial_x (c_{\nu\gamma}^\mu u_x^\nu) + O(\varepsilon^4) = u^\alpha + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24} \partial_x^2 c_\mu^{\alpha\mu} + O(\varepsilon^4). \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\tilde{v}^\alpha(u) = \tilde{u}^\alpha(u) + O(\varepsilon^4)$. Therefore, the double ramification hierarchy in the coordinates \tilde{u}^α coincides with the hierarchy given by the Hamiltonians (8.5) and the hamiltonian operator (8.4) modulo $O(\varepsilon^4)$. By Lemma 3.3, the tau-structures of these two hierarchies also coincide modulo $O(\varepsilon^4)$. From the proof of Proposition 7.2 it is easy to see that $\mathcal{P} = -\varepsilon^2 G(w^1, \dots, w^N) + O(\varepsilon^4)$. Therefore, the strong DR/DZ conjecture is true modulo $O(\varepsilon^4)$. \square

9. GENERALIZED DOUBLE RAMIFICATION HIERARCHIES

In this section we remark that the construction of the double ramification hierarchy, as described in [Bur15, BR15a], associating an infinite sequence of commuting Hamiltonians to a cohomological field theory, actually works in more general situations, where we relax some of the axioms of cohomological field theory.

9.1. Partial cohomological field theories. A system of linear maps $c_{g,n} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$, where V is a vector space with basis e_1, \dots, e_N and a symmetric bilinear form η , satisfying all axioms of a cohomological field theory with the exception of the loop axiom

$$(9.1) \quad i^* c_{g,n}(e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_n}) = c_{g-1,n+2}(e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_n} \otimes e_\mu \otimes e_\nu) \eta^{\mu\nu},$$

where $i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the natural boundary inclusion, was already considered in [LRZ15] under the name of *partial cohomological field theory*.

Proposition 9.1. *Given a partial cohomological field theory we can associate to it, via the same definitions used for the double ramification hierarchy, a system of commuting Hamiltonians and hamiltonian densities, which we call generalized double ramification hierarchy, satisfying all the properties of the usual double ramification hierarchy from [Bur15, BR15a].*

Proof. The proof of [Bur15] of the commutativity for double ramification hierarchy Hamiltonians and all other related constructions and properties, including the recursion formulae studied in [BR15a], never involve the loop axiom (9.1) and can hence be reproduced in the partial CohFT case. \square

The main example we will consider in the following is the restriction of a cohomological field theory to the Γ -invariant subspace of V , where Γ is a finite group acting on the vector space V in such a way that the linear maps $c_{g,n}$ are left invariant.

9.2. Even part of a partial cohomological field theory. In fact a further generalization is possible. Up to now, in this paper (and in the other papers on double ramification hierarchies [Bur15, BR15a, BR15b, BG15]), we always considered cohomological field theories where the image of the system of linear maps $c_{g,n} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ is in the even cohomology of the moduli space of stable curves. However, in the general definition of [KM94], such restriction was not required. Let then $c_{g,n} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ be a (possibly partial) cohomological field theory which is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, i.e. one where V is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space, the maps $c_{g,n}$ are even and graded equivariant with respect to the permutation of vectors and marked points, the bilinear form η on V and the unit $e_1 \in V$ are even and the maps $c_{g,n}$ satisfy the graded version of the axioms of (partial) cohomological field theory, as described in detail in [KM94]. Consider the restriction of such CohFT to the even part of V , $c_{g,n}^{\text{even}} : (V^{\text{even}})^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 9.2. *Given the even part of a (possibly partial) \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded cohomological field theory we can associate to it, via the same definitions used for the double ramification hierarchy, a system of commuting Hamiltonians and hamiltonian densities, which we call, again, generalized double ramification hierarchy, satisfying all the properties of the usual double ramification hierarchy from [Bur15, BR15a].*

Proof. The double ramification hierarchy Hamiltonians and hamiltonian densities only involve intersection numbers of a given (possibly partial) cohomological field theory with even cohomology classes in $H^{\text{even}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ (namely psi-classes, lambda-classes and the double ramification cycle). Commutativity of the Hamiltonians and all other properties will then follow from the fact that the intersection numbers of $c_{g,n+1}(e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_n} \otimes e_{\mu})$ with any even class will vanish whenever $e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n} \in V^{\text{even}}$ and $e_{\mu} \in V^{\text{odd}}$. \square

The main example we will consider in the following is the restriction to the even cohomology $H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{C})$ of the Gromov-Witten theory of a given target variety X (see also [Ros15] for the same idea in symplectic field theory).

10. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

In this section we consider various examples of both ordinary and generalized double ramification hierarchies, in particular from Gromov-Witten theory and the quantum singularity theory of Fan, Jarvis and Ruan.

10.1. $I_2(k-1)$ double ramification hierarchies and regularity at the origin. Let us consider the double ramification hierarchy associated with the Coxeter group $I_2(k-1)$, whose underlying 2-dimensional Frobenius manifold (see [Dub98]) has the potential

$$g^{[0]} = F = \frac{u^2 v}{2} + \frac{v^k}{72}, \quad k \geq 3$$

The full potential \bar{g} , in this case, is homogeneous of degree $\deg \bar{g} = 2k$ with respect to the grading $\deg u_j = k-1$, $\deg v_j = 2$, $\deg \varepsilon = 1$. Recall that the cases $k = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ correspond, respectively, to the Coxeter groups $A_1 \times A_1$, A_2 , B_2 , H_2 , G_2 . If the underlying cohomological

field theory is genuine (so that we are dealing with genuine DR hierarchies, not the generalized kind) Lemma 8.2 yields

$$\bar{g}^{[2]} = -\frac{1}{48} \int \left(2u_x^2 + \frac{1}{36}(k-2)(k-1)kv^{k-3}v_x^2 \right) dx$$

Also, a direct Mathematica computation yields that the most general homogeneous deformation of the genus 0 potential that satisfy the double ramification recursion relations of [BR15a] must have the genus 1 term

$$\bar{g}^{[2]} = \int \left(\frac{a_0}{2}u_x^2 + \frac{2a_1v^{\frac{k-3}{2}}u_xv_x}{k+1} + \frac{a_0}{144}(k-2)(k-1)kv^{k-3}v_x^2 \right) dx$$

The genuine cohomological field theory case corresponds then to $a_0 = -1/12$, $a_1 = 0$. For generic choices of the parameters a_0 and a_1 , the genus 2 potential $g^{[4]}$ is unique and singular at $v = 0$. Imposing regularity at the origin in genus 2 yields either $k = 3$ or the following constraint on the genus 1 parameters:

$$a_1 = \pm \frac{\sqrt{(k-4)(k-2)(k-1)k(k+1)}}{12\sqrt{3}} a_0$$

Regularity here means that the potential in genus 2 does not tend to infinity at $v = 0$, but for even k one still has a 2-valued potential branching at $v = 0$ (as apparent already in the genus 1 formula above). Analyticity is achieved for odd k or $k = 4$.

Notice that $k = 3, 4$ are the only two cases where the genuine DR hierarchy has an analytic potential. This is somewhat expected, since the cohomological field theories associated to Coxeter groups themselves are known to be analytic only in the *ADE* cases (see [Mil14]). In particular, for $k = 5$ and up to some irrelevant rescaling of the variables u, v , the regularity constraint $a_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}a_0$ yields the genus 1 part of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant part of the 4-spin cohomological field theory, see section 10.3 below. The full double ramification primary potential \bar{g} for such partial cohomological field theory is obtained from $\bar{g}_{4\text{-spin}} = \bar{g}_{4\text{-spin}}[u^1, u^2, u^3]$ as $\bar{g} = \bar{g}_{4\text{-spin}}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \bar{g}_{4\text{-spin}}|_{u^2=0}$.

10.2. Manifolds with non-positive first Chern class. An important class of examples for which the double ramification hierarchy vanishes in positive genus, up to one term in genus 1 (see below), is given by manifolds X with non-positive first Chern class (except for $X = \text{pt}$). When we write $c_1(X) \leq 0$ or similar expressions below, we mean such expressions for the intersection of $c_1(X)$ with any holomorphic curve C in X , so in this case $\langle c_1(X), [C] \rangle \leq 0$. This class is vast and includes for instance all Calabi-Yau manifolds, surfaces of general type, Enriques surfaces and degree D hypersurfaces in \mathbb{CP}^N with $D > N > 1$. By a theorem of Kodaira, varieties with negative first Chern class have ample canonical bundle $K_X = \wedge^{\dim X} T^*X$ and vice-versa. Recall finally that $c_1(X) := c_1(TX) = -c_1(K_X)$.

Proposition 10.1. *Let X be a smooth variety with $\dim X > 0$ and non-positive first Chern class. Let $1, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_M$ be a homogeneous basis for $H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ (hence with $\deg \theta_i \geq 2$). Then the associated (generalized) double ramification hierarchy is given by*

$$\bar{g} = \bar{g}^{[0]} + \varepsilon^2 \frac{\chi(X)}{48} \int u^1 u_{xx}^1 dx,$$

$$g_{\alpha,p} = g_{\alpha,p}^{[0]} + \delta_{\alpha,1} \frac{\varepsilon^2 \chi(X)}{24 p!} (u^1)^p u_{xx}^1,$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the Euler characteristic of X and u^1 is the variable associated with the class 1.

Proof. Recall that, for the cohomological field theory given by the Gromov-Witten classes of a smooth variety X , we have

$$\deg c_{g,n}^d(1^a \otimes \theta_1^{b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_M^{b_M}) = 2 \left(\dim X(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^M b_i \frac{\deg \theta_i}{2} - \langle c_1(X), d \rangle \right),$$

where $d \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the degree of the curves. The intersection numbers appearing in the potential \bar{g} are $\int_{\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n}^d(1^a \otimes \theta_1^{b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_M^{b_M})$, which vanish unless

$$2g - 3 + a + \sum_{i=1}^M b_i = g + \dim X(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^M b_i \frac{\deg \theta_i}{2} - \langle c_1(X), d \rangle$$

which gives, as necessary number of insertions of the unit,

$$(10.1) \quad a = \sum_{i=1}^M b_i \left(\frac{\deg \theta_i}{2} - 1 \right) + g(\dim X - 1) - (\dim X - 3) - \langle c_1(X), d \rangle.$$

Assuming $\dim X \geq 2$ one immediately concludes $a > g$ for $g \geq 1$. Hence, from the formula $\pi_* \text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = g! a_1^2 \dots a_n^2 [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-g}]$ for the push-forward along the forgetful morphism $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-g}$, by pushing forward once more to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-g-1}$ we get that \bar{g} has no positive genus term unless $g = 1, n = 2$. In this case this last push-forward is not defined and we know from [KM94] that $c_{1,2}^0(e_1^2) = \pi^* c_{1,1}^0(e_1) = \chi(X) \in H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}, \mathbb{C})$. On the other hand $\text{DR}_1(a, -a) = \frac{a^2}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_2)$ and $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{24} \delta_{\text{irr}}$, so $\int_{\text{DR}_1(a, -a)} \lambda_1 c_{1,2}^d(e_1^2) = \frac{a^2}{24} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}} \psi_1 c_{0,4}^d(e_1^2 \otimes e_\mu \otimes e_\nu) \eta^{\mu\nu}$ which vanishes for $d \neq 0$. We conclude that $\int_{\text{DR}_1(a, -a)} \lambda_1 c_{1,2}^d(e_1^2) = \delta_{d,0} \frac{\chi(X)}{24} a^2$. Notice that we can also recover the same result recalling from Section 8 that, for DR hierarchies associated to actual even CohFTs, the coefficient of $u^1 u_{xx}^1$ is $\frac{1}{48} \dim V$, where V is the CohFT underlying vector space. In the graded CohFT case, however, the same formula gives $\frac{1}{48} \sum_{\mu} (-1)^{|\mu|} \delta_{\mu}^{\mu}$ so instead of the dimension of $H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, because of the graded nature of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$, one has to use the alternating sum of the Betti numbers, whence the Euler characteristic.

In the 1-dimensional case of target Riemann surfaces, a simple degeneration argument, as suggested to us by R. Pandharipande, is sufficient to guarantee the vanishing in positive degree (the class λ_g vanishes on the boundary divisors with non-separating nodes) at which point the result is straightforward.

Finally, in order to compute the hamiltonian densities $g_{\alpha,p}$ we can use the recursion formula from [BR15a], $\partial_x(D-1)g_{\alpha,p} = \{g_{\alpha,p-1}, (D-2)\bar{g}\}$, where $D := \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+1) u_k^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^\alpha}$. Indeed, let u^{top} be the variable associated with the fundamental class θ_{top} of X . We have $\eta(1, \theta_i) = 0$ for $\theta_i \neq \theta_{\text{top}}$ and $\eta(1, \theta_{\text{top}}) = 1$. Suppose that $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha,p-1}^{[0]}}{\partial u^{\text{top}}} = 0$. The intersection numbers in $g_{\alpha,p}$ are $\int_{\text{DR}_g(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g \psi_1^p c_{g,n+1}^d(1^a \otimes \theta_1^{b_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_M^{b_M})$, which vanish in genus 0 unless $\sum b_i (\frac{\deg \theta_i}{2} - 1) - (\dim X - 3) - \langle c_1(X), d \rangle - a + p = 0$. Now, if $a > 0$ and $b_{\text{top}} > 0$, by the string equation $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha,p}}{\partial u^1} = g_{\alpha,p-1}$ we get $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha,p-1}^{[0]}}{\partial u^{\text{top}}} \neq 0$, a contradiction, so $a > 0$ implies $b_{\text{top}} = 0$. If $a = 0$ then, by the above dimension counting, we get again $b_{\text{top}} = 0$. So we have proved that $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha,p-1}^{[0]}}{\partial u^{\text{top}}} = 0$ implies $\frac{\partial g_{\alpha,p}^{[0]}}{\partial u^{\text{top}}} = 0$. Now, since $g_{\alpha,-1} = \eta_{\alpha\mu} u^\mu$, this argument and the recursion give us $g_{\alpha,p} = g_{\alpha,p}^{[0]}$ if $\alpha \neq 1$. If $\alpha = 1$, instead, we get that, in genus 0, b_{top} can be positive only when $b_{\text{top}} = 1$, $b_i = 0$, $d = 0$ and $a = p + 2$ (notice that a can never be bigger than $p + 2$ otherwise repeated use of the string equation would lead to vanishing of the intersection number). The recursion then gives $g_{1,p} = g_{1,p}^{[0]} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 \chi(X)}{24 p!} (u^1)^p u_{xx}^1$. \square

Remark 10.2. Notice that, for the class of manifolds with non-positive first Chern class such that $c_1(X) < 3 - \dim X$, by dimension counting, the genus 0 primary potential is given by

$\bar{g}^{[0]} = \int \left(\int_X \frac{\theta^3}{3!} \right) dx$ where $\theta := u^1 1 + \sum_{i=1}^M u^{i+1} \theta_i$ (see equation (10.1) with $g = 0$ and $a = 0$, since in positive degree there can be no insertion of the unit in the primary potential). In this case, apart from the even classical cohomology ring structure, the only geometric invariant entering the double ramification hierarchy is the Euler characteristic of X .

10.2.1. *Hypersurfaces.* A well studied class of varieties with non-positive Chern class is given by smooth hypersurfaces of degree D in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$, where $D > N > 1$. Recall (see for instance [Dim92]) that a generic degree D hypersurface X of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ has cohomology concentrated in even and mid degrees and in particular

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & k \text{ even, } k \neq N-1; \\ 0, & k \text{ odd, } k \neq N-1; \\ \mathbb{Z}^{b_{N-1}}, & k = N-1, \quad b_{N-1} = \frac{((D-1)^{N+1} + (-1)^{N+1}((N+1)D-1))}{D} + (-1)^N 2^{\lceil \frac{N-1}{2} \rceil}. \end{cases}$$

If H is the hyperplane class in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$, by abuse of notation, we also denote with H its pull-back to X . Denoting by $j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ the injection and by $e^{[2k]}$ the generator of $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, $2k \neq N-1$, we have $j^*(H^k) = e^{[2k]}$ for $2k < N-1$ and $j^*(H^k) = D e^{[2k]}$ for $2k > N-1$.

The total Chern class of X is given by the formula $c(X) := c(TX) = \frac{(1+H)^{N+1}}{1+DH}$, so that, for the first Chern class,

$$c_1(X) = (N+1-D)H.$$

Finally, the Euler characteristic is computed as the alternating sum of the Betti numbers, or via the formula

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{N-1-k} \binom{N+1}{k} D^{N-k}.$$

For all these hypersurfaces with $D > N$ the double ramification hierarchy is determined by Proposition 10.1. In particular, if N is even, disregarding the odd part of the cohomology of X drastically reduces the dimension of the double ramification hierarchy as, in this case, $\dim H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = N$.

Example 10.3. (quintic threefold). The generic hypersurface X of degree 5 in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4$ has Euler characteristic $\chi(X) = -200$ and the rank 4 generalized double ramification hierarchy associated to its even cohomology is given by

$$\bar{g} = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}(u^1)^2 u^4 + \frac{5}{6}(u^2)^3 + u^1 u^2 u^3 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} c_d q^d e^{du^2} + \frac{25\varepsilon^2}{6}(u_x^1)^2 \right) dx,$$

$$\begin{cases} g_{1,p} = \frac{(u^1)^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} + \frac{5}{6} \frac{(u^1)^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} (u^2)^3 + \frac{(u^1)^p}{p!} u^2 u^3 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} c_d (du^2 - 2) e^{du^2} \frac{(u^1)^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} - \frac{25\varepsilon^2}{3} \frac{(u^1)^p}{p!} u_{xx}^1, \\ g_{2,p} = \frac{1}{(p+1)!} (u^1)^{p+1} u^3 + \frac{5}{2} \frac{(u^1)^p}{p!} (u^2)^2 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} c_d d e^{du^2} \frac{(u^1)^p}{p!}, \\ g_{3,p} = \frac{(u^1)^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} u^2, \\ g_{4,p} = \frac{(u^1)^{p+2}}{(p+2)!}. \end{cases}$$

Here, if $\theta \in H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$, we set $\theta = u^1 1 + \sum_{k=1}^3 u^{k+1} e^{[2k]}$. The coefficients c_d are the number of degree d rational curves in X and were famously predicted in [COGP91] from mirror symmetry considerations.

10.2.2. *Complete intersections.* More in general, recall (see always [Dim92]) that a smooth codimension c complete intersection X in \mathbb{P}^N of degree (D_1, \dots, D_c) , total degree $D = D_1 \dots D_c$ and dimension $\dim X = N - c$ has cohomology

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & k \text{ even, } k \neq \dim X, \\ 0, & k \text{ odd, } k \neq \dim X, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{b_{\dim X}}, & k = \dim X, \end{cases}$$

where $b_{\dim X}$ is determined by the Euler characteristic $\chi(X) = D \operatorname{coeff}_{H^{\dim X}}[c(X)]$, where the total Chern class is $c(X) = \frac{(1+H)^{N+1}}{(1+D_1H)\dots(1+D_cH)}$ and H is (the restriction to X of) the hyperplane class in \mathbb{P}^N . As before, if $j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is the injection and $e^{[2k]}$ is the generator of $H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, $2k \neq \dim X$, we have $j^*(H^k) = e^{[2k]}$ for $2k < \dim X$ and $j^*(H^k) = D e^{[2k]}$ for $2k > \dim X$.

For instance, in the case of surfaces,

$$c_1(X) = (c + 3 - D_1 - \dots - D_c)H,$$

$$\chi(X) = D_1 \dots D_c \left[\sum D_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} D_i D_j - (c + 3) \sum D_i + \binom{c + 3}{2} \right].$$

In this case, the above vanishing result for the higher genus DR hierarchy holds for $(c + 3 - D_1 - \dots - D_c) \leq 0$.

Example 10.4. Projective $K3$ surfaces, for which $c_1(X) = 0$, are complete intersections whose degree is either (4), (3, 2) or (2, 2, 2) in \mathbb{P}^3 , \mathbb{P}^4 , and \mathbb{P}^5 , respectively, and $b_2 = 22$, $\chi(X) = 24$. All positive degree genus 0 primary Gromov-Witten invariants vanish by Remark 10.2 which leaves us, by Proposition 10.1, with a 24-dimensional DR hierarchy given by

$$\bar{g} = \int \left(\int_X \frac{\theta^3}{3!} - \varepsilon^2 \frac{(u_x^1)^2}{2} \right) dx.$$

10.2.3. *Enriques surfaces and Enriques Calabi-Yau.* Finally, two interesting examples come from smooth surfaces and threefolds that are not complete intersections. To construct them, consider the generic $K3$ surface of degree (2, 2, 2) in \mathbb{P}^5 given by $\{P_i(x_0, x_1, x_2) + Q_i(x_3, x_4, x_5) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. On $K3$ the Enriques involution $\sigma : (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \mapsto (-x_3, -x_4, -x_5, x_0, x_1, x_2)$ is generically free and the Enriques surface is defined as $X = K3/\sigma$. It is another example for which the first Chern class vanishes numerically (actually $2c_1(X) = 0$) and the Betti numbers are $b_0 = b_4 = 1$, $b_1 = b_3 = 0$, $b_2 = 10$, so that $\chi(X) = 12$. As for $K3$ surfaces we can compute the 12-dimensional DR hierarchy as

$$\bar{g} = \int \left(\int_X \frac{\theta^3}{3!} - \varepsilon^2 \frac{(u_x^1)^2}{4} \right) dx.$$

The Enriques Calabi-Yau threefold, instead, is defined by $X = \frac{K3 \times E}{(\sigma, -1)}$, where E is an elliptic curve with its natural involution (-1) . It is an example of smooth CY3 with Betti numbers $b_0 = b_6 = 1$, $b_1 = b_5 = 0$, $b_2 = b_4 = 11$, $b_3 = 24$ so that $\chi(X) = 0$, hence Proposition 10.1 implies that, (the even part of) the corresponding cohomological field theory, gives a 24-dimensional DR hierarchy with

$$\bar{g} = \bar{g}^{[0]}.$$

10.3. **Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten theory and partial CohFTs.** The quantum singularity theory was introduced by Fan, Jarvis, and Ruan [FJR13, FJR07] after ideas of Witten [Wit93]. Their main motivation was to find a generalization of Witten conjecture to Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies of ADE type, see below.

More precisely, Fan–Jarvis–Ruan–Witten theory, or simply FJRW theory, is a cohomological field theory attached to the data of (W, G) where

- W is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial with weights w_1, \dots, w_N and degree d , which has an isolated singularity at the origin,
- G is a group of diagonal matrices $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N)$ leaving the polynomial W invariant and containing the diagonal matrix $j := (e^{\frac{2i\pi w_1}{d}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2i\pi w_N}{d}})$.

We usually denote by $\text{Aut}(W)$ the maximal group of diagonal symmetries of W . The state space of the theory is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{(W,G)} &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in G} \mathbf{H}_\gamma \\ &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in G} (\mathcal{Q}_{W_\gamma} \otimes d\underline{x}_\gamma)^G, \end{aligned}$$

where W_γ is the γ -invariant part of the polynomial W , \mathcal{Q}_{W_γ} is its Jacobian ring, the differential form $d\underline{x}_\gamma$ is $\bigwedge_{x_j \in (\mathbb{C}^N)^\gamma} dx_j$, and the upper-script G stands for the invariant part under the group G . It comes equipped with a bidegree and a pairing, see [CIR14, Equation (4)] or [PV11, Equation (5.12)].

ADE singularities are the following polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &: x^{r+1}, \\ D_n &: x^2y + y^{n-1}, \\ E_6 &: x^3 + y^4, \\ E_7 &: x^3y + y^3, \\ E_8 &: x^3 + y^5. \end{aligned}$$

Witten's generalized conjecture below has been proved by Faber–Shadrin–Zvonkine [FSZ10] in the A-case and by Fan–Jarvis–Ruan [FJR13] in the D-case and E-case, together with Francis and Merrell [FFJMR16] for the D_4 -case.

Theorem 10.5 ([FSZ10, FJR13, FFJMR16]). *The potential function of the FJRW theory of (W, G) is a τ -function of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type H as follows:*

W	G	H
A_r	$\langle j \rangle = \text{Aut}(W)$	A_r
D_{2n}	$\langle j \rangle$ $\text{Aut}(W)$	D_{2n} A_{4n-3}
D_{2n+1}	$\langle j \rangle = \text{Aut}(W)$	A_{4n-1}
$E_{6,7,8}$	$\langle j \rangle = \text{Aut}(W)$	$E_{6,7,8}$
D_n^I	$\text{Aut}(W)$	D_n

where the polynomial of type D_n^I is $W = y^{n-1}x + x^2$, $n \geq 4$.

Another natural class of Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies consists of the types B_n , C_n , F_4 , and G_2 . The situation is then more subtle, as the Saito–Givental–Dubrovin–Zhang potentials of the corresponding singularities are not τ -functions of these hierarchies, see [DZ05].

To find a positive solution to this problem, Liu, Ruan, and Zhang [LRZ15] introduced the notion of a cohomological field theory with finite symmetry, which is the additional data of a finite group Γ acting on the state space V such that the linear maps $c_{g,n}: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ defining the cohomological field theory are invariant under Γ (with the trivial action of Γ on the cohomology space $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$).

Therefore, the restriction $c_{g,n}^\Gamma: (V^\Gamma)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C})$ of the cohomological field theory to the Γ -invariant part of the state space is a partial cohomological field theory, i.e. it satisfies all the axioms except the gluing-loop axiom.

Theorem 10.6 ([LRZ15]). *The potential function of the Γ -invariant part of the FJRW theory of (W, G) is a τ -function of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of type H as follows:*

W	G	Γ	H
D_n^I	$\text{Aut}(W)$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	B_n
A_{2n-1}	$\langle j \rangle = \text{Aut}(W)$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	C_n
E_6	$\langle j \rangle = \text{Aut}(W)$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	F_4
D_4	$\langle j \rangle$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	G_2

where the action of Γ on the state space is given by

- for D_n^T , $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by (-1) on \mathbf{H}_1 and trivially otherwise,
- for A_{2n-1} , $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by $(-1)^{k+1}$ on $\mathbf{H}_{j,k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2n$,
- for E_6 , $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by (-1) on $\mathbf{H}_{j,2}$ and on $\mathbf{H}_{j,10}$, and acts trivially otherwise,
- for D_4 , $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially on \mathbf{H}_j and on $\mathbf{H}_{j,2}$. The subspace \mathbf{H}_1 has a natural basis given by the differential forms $e_x := xdx dy$ and $e_y := ydxdy$, and the action of $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ is given there by $\xi \cdot (e_x, e_y) = (\xi^{-1}e_x, \xi e_y)$.

In general, FJRW theory of (W, G) is always a cohomological field theory with finite symmetry $\text{Aut}(W)$, where the group acts naturally on each sector \mathbf{H}_γ of the state space. Explicitly, the $\text{Aut}(W)$ -invariant part of the state space is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{(W,G),\text{Aut}(W)} &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in G} (\mathcal{Q}_{W_\gamma} \otimes d\underline{x}_\gamma)^{\text{Aut}(W)} \\ &\subset \mathbf{H}_{(W,G)}. \end{aligned}$$

Following [Gue13, Gue15], for any chain polynomial $W = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + \dots + x_{N-1}^{a_{N-1}}x_N + x_N^{a_N}$ with any group G of symmetries, we can compute every product¹

$$(10.2) \quad \lambda_g \cdot c_{g,n}^{W,G}(u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_n) \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $c_{g,n}^{W,G}$ is the cohomological class for the FJRW theory of (W, G) , and the vectors u_i are in the $\text{Aut}(W)$ -invariant part $\mathbf{H}_{(W,G),\text{Aut}(W)}$ of the state space. Furthermore, the third author has written a computer program [GueMa] in Maple to compute integrals involving the product (10.2), ψ -classes, and a double ramification cycle. As a consequence, it is possible to evaluate with a computer any density of the DR hierarchy attached to this partial cohomological field theory.

Remark 10.7. Let $G_1 \subset G_2$ be two distinct groups of symmetries of the same polynomial W . Then, the restriction of the FJRW theory of (W, G_2) to the subspace

$$\bigoplus_{\gamma \in G_1} (\mathcal{Q}_{W_\gamma} \otimes d\underline{x}_\gamma)^{\text{Aut}(W)} \subset \mathbf{H}_{(W,G_2)}$$

is a partial cohomological field theory, but it is in general distinct from the $\text{Aut}(W)$ -invariant part of the FJRW of (W, G_1) . In fact, even the products (10.2) are distincts, as well as the DR hierarchies; we will see an example in Remark 10.15. Note also that in general for a small group G , the FJRW theory of (W, G) is not generically semisimple.

In this part, we give examples of computations for the singularity $B_2 = C_2$, and we compare DR and DZ hierarchies.

10.3.1. *The two faces of the singularity B_2 .* We discuss the two candidate theories for the singularity B_2 , already appearing in Proposition 10.6 and in Section 10.1.

First, we start with the singularity A_3 , i.e. $W = x^4$, whose potential in genus zero is

$$F_0^{4\text{-spin}}(t^1, t^2, t^3) = \frac{(t^1)^2 t^3}{2} + \frac{t^1 (t^2)^2}{2} + \frac{(t^2)^2 (t^3)^2}{16} + \frac{(t^3)^5}{960},$$

the dimension of the state space being 3 and the coordinate t^k corresponding to the state element $e_{j,k}$. We then consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as in Proposition 10.6: $(-1) \cdot t^k := (-1)^{k+1} t^k$. Thus, the invariant coordinates are t^1 and t^3 , leading to the B_2 -potential in genus zero:

$$F_0(t^1, t^3) = \frac{(t^1)^2 t^3}{2} + \frac{(t^3)^5}{960},$$

where, to compare with Section 10.1, we need to take the reparametrization

$$u = at^1, \quad v = bt^3, \quad 40b^5 = 3, \quad a^2b = 1.$$

¹The computation is in fact in the Chow ring of the moduli space of (W, G) -spin curves. Under some extra assumptions, it is also done for loop polynomials.

The B_2 -potential² shifted by $s \cdot e_{j^3}$ is

$$F_0(t^1, t^3, s) = \frac{(t^1)^2 t^3}{2} + \frac{1}{960}((t^3)^5 + 5s(t^3)^4 + 10s^2(t^3)^3).$$

The Euler vector field is the invariant part of the Euler vector field for 4-spin, i.e.

$$E = t^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} + \frac{1}{2}(t^3 + s) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^3}$$

and we have

$$E \cdot F_0 = \frac{5}{2}F_0 + \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{(t^1)^2}{2} + \frac{s^2(t^3)^2}{32} \right).$$

Note that the conformal dimension δ for the B_2 -potential satisfies $3 - \delta = \frac{5}{2}$.

One interesting aspect of the B_2 -potential in genus zero is that we have two different natural ways to extend it to higher genus and to descendants:

- (1) using Teleman's reconstruction theorem [Tel12] for a non-zero s ; away from the origin the Frobenius structure is semisimple and conformal with respect to E . We denote by $c^T := \{c_{g,n}^T\}_{2g-2+n>0}$ the associated CohFT depending on $s \neq 0$ and by F^T its potential function. As already discussed in Section 10.1, we will see explicitly in Remark 10.9 that the theory c^T diverges at $s = 0$.
- (2) taking the invariant part F^I of the 4-spin potential $F^{4\text{-spin}}$; we denote by $c^I := \{c_{g,n}^I\}_{2g-2+n>0}$ the corresponding partial CohFT. The theory c^I is well-defined at $s = 0$.

Remark 10.8. The Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy is not defined for partial CohFTs. However, the discussion in Section 7.1 makes sense for CohFTs with finite symmetries. First, we take the full potential of the underlying CohFT and define the power series $(w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha$ and the differential polynomial $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}$ as in Section 7.1. Then, we observe that the unity is always in the invariant part of the state space under the finite symmetry and that any correlator involving exactly one non-invariant state vanishes. Thus, for any index α corresponding to a non-invariant coordinate, the power series $(w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha$ becomes zero once we restrict to invariant coordinates t_*^* , and the differential polynomial $\Omega_{\alpha,p;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}$ only depends on the variables w_n^α which are invariant under the symmetry. As a consequence, we define the equations of the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchy for CohFT with finite symmetries by restricting equation (7.2)

$$\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial t_q^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \Omega_{\mu,0;\beta,q}^{\text{DZ}}$$

to the invariant coordinates.

Recall that the equations of the DR hierarchy are given by

$$\frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial t_q^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\mu} \partial_x \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{\beta,q}}{\delta u^\mu}.$$

In this section, we explain how to compute the functions $\Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{\text{DZ}}$ and $\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}}{\delta u^3}$ up to genus 1 for the CohFT c^T and for the partial CohFT c^I .

DZ hierarchies for c^I and for c^T . The full potentials F^I and F^T have the form

$$\frac{\partial^2 F^\bullet}{\partial t^3 \partial t^3} = \frac{(t^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(t^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 t^3}{16} + \epsilon^2 P_1^\bullet + O(\epsilon^4),$$

where

$$P_1^\bullet = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \{1,3\} \\ d_1, \dots, d_n}} \langle \tau_{d_1}(e_{j^{\alpha_1}}) \cdots \tau_{d_n}(e_{j^{\alpha_n}}) \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,n+2} \frac{t_{d_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_{d_n}^{\alpha_n}}{n!}$$

²Note that we erase the terms of degree strictly less than 3.

and where $\langle \dots \rangle_{1,n+2}^\bullet$ are the correlators for the theories c^I or c^T . For both theories, we compute the function $\Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{DZ,\bullet}$ written in the normal coordinates $w_n^\alpha := (w^{\text{top}})_n^\alpha|_{x=0}$ satisfying equation (7.1). For this, we use the homogeneity condition given by the Euler vector field:

$$\left(\sum_{d \geq 0} w_d^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_d^1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \geq 0} w_d^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_d^3} + \frac{1}{2} s \frac{\partial}{\partial w_0^3} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon^2} \right) \frac{\partial^2 F^\bullet}{\partial t^3 \partial t^3} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^\bullet}{\partial t^3 \partial t^3} + \frac{s^3}{32}.$$

Therefore, the function P_1^\bullet has the form

$$P_1^\bullet = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} a_{\lambda, \mu} w_\lambda^1 w_\mu^3 (w^3 + s)^{2-2l(\lambda)-l(\mu)}, \quad a_{\lambda, \mu} \in \mathbb{C},$$

where λ and μ are multi-indices, $w_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p}^\alpha := w_{\lambda_1}^\alpha \cdots w_{\lambda_p}^\alpha$, $l(\cdot)$ is the length of the multi-index and we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l(\lambda)} \lambda_k + \sum_{k=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_k = 2g.$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$P_1^\bullet = aw_2^1 + b(w_1^3)^2 + cw_2^3(w^3 + s) + dw_1^1 w_1^3 (w^3 + s)^{-1} + e(w_1^1)^2 (w^3 + s)^{-2},$$

where, using equation (7.1), the constants a, b, c, d, e are related to the correlators of the theory:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_2(e_j) \rangle_{1,3} - \frac{s^2}{16} \langle \tau_0(e_j)^2 \tau_2(e_j) \rangle_{1,3}, \\ b &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \tau_1(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,4} - \frac{s^2}{32} \langle \tau_1(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_0(e_j)^2 \rangle_{1,4} - \frac{cs}{2} \langle \tau_1(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_0(e_j)^4 \rangle_{0,6} \\ &\quad - \frac{e}{s^2} (\langle \tau_1(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_0(e_{j^3}) \tau_0(e_j)^2 \rangle_{0,5} - \frac{1}{s} \langle \tau_1(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_0(e_j)^2 \rangle_{0,4}), \\ c &= \frac{1}{s} \langle \tau_2(e_{j^3}) \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,3} - \frac{s}{16} \langle \tau_2(e_{j^3}) \tau_0(e_j)^2 \rangle_{1,3}, \\ d &= s \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_1(e_{j^3}) \rangle_{1,3} - \frac{s^3}{16} \langle \tau_0(e_j)^2 \tau_1(e_{j^3}) \rangle_{1,3}, \\ e &= s^2 \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Both theories c^I and c^T have the same genus-zero correlators, but genus-one correlators are different. For the theory c^T , we can use the formula [DZ98, Equation 3.30] for the function

$$G^T(t^1, t^3) := F_1^T|_{t_{\geq 1}^* = 0} = -\frac{1}{48} \log\left(1 + \frac{t^3}{s}\right)$$

to get the values

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3}) \rangle_{1,1}^T &= -\frac{1}{48s}, & \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,2}^T &= \frac{1}{48s^2}, \\ \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_2(e_j) \rangle_{1,3}^T &= 0, & \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_1(e_{j^3}) \rangle_{1,3}^T &= 0, \\ \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_1(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,4}^T &= \frac{1}{96}, & \langle \tau_2(e_{j^3}) \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,3}^T &= \frac{s}{96} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and the final expression

$$\Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{DZ,T} = \frac{\partial^2 F^T}{\partial t^3 \partial t^3} = \frac{(w^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(w^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 w^3}{16} + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{768} (w_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{96} w_2^3 (w^3 + s) + \frac{1}{48} \frac{(w_1^1)^2}{(w^3 + s)^2} \right) + O(\epsilon^4).$$

Remark 10.9. We see that the B_2 -theory for F^T is singular at $s = 0$, as already explained in Section 10.1.

The theory c^I is given by the shifted Witten 4-spin class

$$c_{g,n_1+n_3}^I(e_j^{n_1} \otimes e_{j^3}^{n_3}) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{s^m}{m!} p_{m,*} c_{g,n_1+n_3+m}^{4\text{-spin}}(e_j^{n_1} \otimes e_{j^3}^{n_3+m})$$

which is a class of mixed Chow degrees equal to

$$\frac{g-1+n_3-m}{2}, \text{ with } m \geq 0.$$

In particular, in genus 1, it is less than $\frac{n_3}{2}$, and we get the vanishing of the correlators

$$\langle \tau_0(e_{j^3}) \rangle_{1,1}^I = 0, \quad \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,2}^I = 0, \quad \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_1(e_{j^3}) \rangle_{1,3}^I = 0.$$

The remaining correlators are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_2(e_j) \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,3}^I &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3}} \psi_1^2 c_{1,3}^{4\text{-spin}}(e_{j^3}^3) = \frac{1}{48}, \\ \langle \tau_2(e_{j^3}) \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,3}^I &= s \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,4}} \psi_1^2 c_{1,4}^{4\text{-spin}}(e_{j^3}^4) = \frac{s}{64}, \\ \langle \tau_1(e_{j^3})^2 \tau_0(e_{j^3})^2 \rangle_{1,4}^I &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,4}} \psi_1 \psi_2 c_{1,4}^{4\text{-spin}}(e_{j^3}^4) = \frac{1}{64}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the equality $\psi_k = \lambda_1 + \delta_{(k)}$ in genus 1 (where $\delta_{(k)}$ is the divisor of nodal curves with the k -th marking on the genus-zero component) and the formula in [Gue15]. Therefore, we obtain the final expression

$$\Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{\text{DZ},I} = \frac{\partial^2 F^I}{\partial t^3 \partial t^3} = \frac{(w^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(w^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 w^3}{16} + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{48} w_2^1 + \frac{1}{128} (w_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{64} w_2^3 (w^3 + s) \right) + O(\epsilon^4).$$

DR hierarchy for c^I . Recall the Hamiltonian $\overline{g}_{3,0}^I$ of the DR hierarchy,

$$\overline{g}_{3,0}^I := \sum_{g \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{(-\epsilon^2)^g}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = 0 \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \{1,3\}}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_g(0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n+1}^I(e_{j^3} \otimes e_{j^{\alpha_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j^{\alpha_n}}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}^{\alpha_i}.$$

For degree reasons, non-zero integrals must satisfy $g + 2n_1 + n_3 + m = 4$, where n_k is the number of integer k among $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Since we have $n_1 + n_3 \geq 2$, we obtain the following possibilities

$$\begin{aligned} g = 0, & \quad (n_1, n_3, m) \in \{(2, 0, 0), (1, 2, 0), (1, 1, 1), (0, 2, 2), (0, 3, 1), (0, 4, 0)\}, \\ g = 1, & \quad (n_1, n_3, m) \in \{(1, 1, 0), (0, 3, 0), (0, 2, 1)\}, \\ g = 2, & \quad (n_1, n_3, m) \in \{(0, 2, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Some of these contributions come from the non-shifted $\overline{g}_{3,0}^I$, which was computed for instance in [BR15a]:

$$\overline{g}_{3,0}^I|_{s=0} = \int \left(\frac{(u^1)^2}{2} + \frac{(u^3)^4}{192} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \left(\frac{(u^3)^2 u_2^3}{64} + \frac{u^3 u_2^1}{24} \right) + \frac{\epsilon^4}{16} \frac{u^3 u_4^3}{128} \right) dx.$$

For the shifted theory, the new terms are in genus 0 and in genus 1. For instance we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A &:= \frac{\epsilon^2 s}{2} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\text{DR}_1(0, a, -a)} -\lambda_1 p_* c_{1,4}^{4\text{-spin}}(e_{j^3}^4) \right) p_a^3 p_{-a}^3 \\
&= \frac{\epsilon^2 s}{2} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\delta_0^{\{1,2,3,4\}}} -\lambda_1 c_{1,4}^{4\text{-spin}}(e_{j^3}^4) \right) a^2 p_a^3 p_{-a}^3 \\
&= -\frac{\epsilon^2 s}{2} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a^2}{64} p_a^3 p_{-a}^3 \\
&= \int \frac{\epsilon^2 s (u_1^3)^2}{4 \cdot 32} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

In genus zero, we have two new terms in $\bar{g}_{3,0}$ that we compute to be $\frac{s^2}{32}(u^3)^2$ and $\frac{s}{48}(u^3)^3$ respectively. At last, we have

$$\bar{g}_{3,0}^I = \int \left(\frac{(u^1)^2}{2} + \frac{(u^3)^4}{192} + \frac{s}{48}(u^3)^3 + \frac{s^2}{32}(u^3)^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \left(\frac{(u^3 + s)^2 u_2^3}{64} + \frac{u^3 u_2^1}{24} \right) + O(\epsilon^4) \right) dx$$

and we obtain

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^I}{\delta u^3} = \frac{(u^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(u^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 u^3}{16} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{24} u_2^1 + \frac{1}{32} (u_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{16} u_2^3 (u^3 + s) \right) + O(\epsilon^4).$$

DR hierarchy for c^T . The last step is to compute the Hamiltonian $\bar{g}_{3,0}^T$ up to terms of genus bigger than 1 for the cohomological field theory c^T . In genus 0, the only non-zero correlators without descendants are

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tau_0(e_j)^2 \tau_0(e_{j^3}) \rangle_0 &= 1, & \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^5 \rangle_0 &= \frac{1}{8}, \\
\langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^4 \rangle_0 &= \frac{s}{8}, & \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^3 \rangle_0 &= \frac{s^2}{16},
\end{aligned}$$

and we find as before

$$\bar{g}_{3,0}^T = \int \left(\frac{(u^1)^2}{2} + \frac{s^2}{32}(u^3)^2 + \frac{s}{48}(u^3)^3 + \frac{(u^3)^4}{192} + O(\epsilon^2) \right) dx.$$

In genus 1, the double ramification cycle equals

$$\text{DR}_1(0, a_1, \dots, a_n) |_{\mathcal{M}_{1,n+1}^{\text{ct}}} = - \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{0, \dots, n\} \\ |J| \geq 2}} \sum_{\substack{i, j \in J \\ i < j}} a_i a_j \delta_0^J,$$

where $a_0 := 0$. This splits the computation into a genus-zero correlator and a product between a genus-one virtual class and the class $-\lambda_1$, which equals $-\frac{1}{24}\delta_{\text{irr}}$. Since the theory c^T is a CohFT, we use the loop-gluing axiom and we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{24} \langle \tau_0(e_J) \tau_0(e_\alpha) \rangle_0 \eta^{\alpha\beta} \langle \tau_0(e_\beta) \tau_0(e_{J^c}) \tau_0(e_\gamma) \tau_0(e_\delta) \rangle_0 \eta^{\gamma\delta},$$

where the notation $\tau_0(e_J)$ stands for the product $\prod_{i \in J} \tau_0(e_i)$ and similarly for the complementary set J^c . We notice that for $\eta^{\gamma\delta}$ to be non-zero, we need one of the state e_γ or e_δ to be e_j . Thus, looking again at the non-vanishing genus-zero correlators, we must have $e_\beta = e_j$ and $J^c = \emptyset$. Hence, $e_\alpha = e_{j^3}$ and $\tau_0(e_J)$ contains at least one $\tau_0(e_{j^3})$ (the one coming from the 3 in $\bar{g}_{3,0}^T$). Furthermore, $\tau_0(e_J)$ contains no $\tau_0(e_j)$. Precisely, we have

$$\langle \tau_0(e_J) \tau_0(e_\alpha) \rangle_0 = \langle \tau_0(e_{j^3})^{n+2} \rangle_0,$$

and since the integer n is at least 2, we have only two possibilities:

- (1) $n = 2$ leading to $-\frac{\epsilon^2}{12} \frac{s(u_1^3)^2}{16}$,
- (2) $n = 3$ leading to $-\frac{\epsilon^2}{12} \frac{u^3 (u_1^3)^2}{16}$.

At last, we have

$$\bar{g}_{3,0}^T = \int \left(\frac{(u^1)^2}{2} + \frac{s^2}{32}(u^3)^2 + \frac{s}{48}(u^3)^3 + \frac{(u^3)^4}{192} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{192}(u_1^3)^2(u^3 + s) + O(\epsilon^4) \right) dx$$

and we obtain

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^T}{\delta u^3} = \frac{(u^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(u^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 u^3}{16} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{192} \left((u_1^3)^2 + 2u_2^3(u^3 + s) \right) + O(\epsilon^4).$$

Summary of the results. We compare the formulas found for the DZ and DR hierarchies: for the B_2 -singularity as a CohFT, i.e. for the theory c^T , we have

$$\Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{\text{DZ},T} = \frac{(w^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(w^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 w^3}{16} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{192}(w_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{24}w_2^3(w^3 + s) + \frac{1}{12} \frac{(w_1^1)^2}{(w^3 + s)^2} \right) + O(\epsilon^4),$$

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^T}{\delta u^3} = \frac{(u^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(u^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 u^3}{16} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{48}(u_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{24}u_2^3(u^3 + s) \right) + O(\epsilon^4),$$

and for the B_2 -singularity as a partial CohFT, i.e. for the theory c^I , we have

$$\Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{\text{DZ},I} = \frac{(w^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(w^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 w^3}{16} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{32}(w_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{16}w_2^3(w^3 + s) + \frac{1}{12}w_2^1 \right) + O(\epsilon^4),$$

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^I}{\delta u^3} = \frac{(u^3)^3}{48} + \frac{s(u^3)^2}{16} + \frac{s^2 u^3}{16} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{32}(u_1^3)^2 + \frac{1}{16}u_2^3(u^3 + s) + \frac{1}{24}u_2^1 \right) + O(\epsilon^4).$$

Remark 10.10. For the theory c^I , the coefficients for $\epsilon^2 w_2^1$ and for $\epsilon^2 u_2^1$ are different. It is explained by the Miura transformation

$$w^1 = u^1 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{96}u_2^3, \quad w^2 = u^2, \quad w^3 = u^3,$$

found in [BG15] to go from the DR hierarchy to the DZ hierarchy of the 4-spin theory. Indeed, restricting to the invariant coordinates, i.e. taking $w^2 = u^2 = 0$, we should have

$$\partial_x \Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{\text{DZ},I} = \frac{\partial w^1}{\partial t^3} = \frac{\partial u^1}{\partial t^3} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{96} \frac{\partial u_2^3}{\partial t^3} = \partial_x \left(\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^I}{\delta u^3} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{96} \partial_x^2 \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^I}{\delta u^1} \right),$$

and from the expression of the Hamiltonian $\bar{g}_{3,0}^I$, we find

$$\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^I}{\delta u^1} = u^1 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \frac{u_2^3}{24} + O(\epsilon^4),$$

so that we obtain

$$\Omega_{3,0;3,0}^{\text{DZ},I} - \left(\frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^I}{\delta u^3} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{96} \partial_x^2 \frac{\delta \bar{g}_{3,0}^I}{\delta u^1} \right) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \frac{1}{12} w_2^1 - \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \frac{1}{24} u_2^1 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{96} u_2^1 \right) + O(\epsilon^4) = O(\epsilon^4).$$

10.4. Singularities of low degree. For polynomial singularities of low degree, we have a dual statement to Proposition 10.1.

Proposition 10.11. *Let $(W, \langle j \rangle)$ be a Landau–Ginzburg orbifold, where W is a homogeneous polynomial with N variables and degree d with $4 \leq d \leq N$ or $2d = 6 \leq N$. The double ramification hierarchy associated to the FJRW theory of $(W, \langle j \rangle)$ is given by*

$$g_{\alpha,p} = g_{\alpha,p}^{[0]} + \delta_{\alpha,1} \frac{\epsilon^2}{24} \frac{\chi_{(W,\langle j \rangle)}}{p!} (u^1)^p u_{xx}^1,$$

where u^1 is the variable associated with the unity and $\chi_{(W,\langle j \rangle)}$ is the difference of dimension between the even and the odd subspaces of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded state space $\mathbf{H}_{(W,\langle j \rangle)}$, i.e.

$$\chi_{(W,\langle j \rangle)} = \frac{d^2 - 1 + (1 - d)^N}{d}.$$

Proof. In general, for a quasi-homogeneous polynomial W with weights w_1, \dots, w_N and degree d , we define the charges $\mathbf{q}_j := \frac{w_j}{d}$. Then, we recall that the state space $\mathbf{H}_{(W, \mathbf{j})}$ is a direct sum of subspaces $\mathbf{H}_{j,k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$, and that \mathbf{H}_j is always one-dimensional and generated by the unity. Furthermore, the cohomological degree of the map $c_{g,n}$ for the FJRW theory of (W, \mathbf{j}) is

$$\deg c_{g,n}(1^a \otimes e_2^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_d^{b_d}) = 2(\hat{c}_W - 1)(g - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^d b_k \deg e_k,$$

where $e_k \in \mathbf{H}_{j,k}$, the central charge \hat{c}_W is defined as $\hat{c}_W = \sum_j (1 - 2\mathbf{q}_j)$, the degree of e_k is

$$\deg e_k = \text{card} \{j \mid k\mathbf{q}_j \in \mathbb{Z}\} + 2 \sum_j \langle k\mathbf{q}_j \rangle - \mathbf{q}_j,$$

and the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading is

$$\deg_{\mathbb{Z}_2} e_k = (-1)^{\text{card}\{j \mid k\mathbf{q}_j \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

Here, the polynomial W is homogeneous, so that $w_1 = \dots = w_N = 1$ and we see that

$$\deg e_k = 2 \frac{(k-1)N}{d} - N\delta_{k=d} \geq 2, \text{ for } k \geq 2.$$

The intersection numbers $\int_{\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n}(1^a \otimes e_2^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_d^{b_d})$ appearing in the potential \bar{g} vanish unless the number of insertions of the unit is

$$a = \sum_{k=2}^d b_k \left(\frac{\deg e_k}{2} - 1 \right) + 2 + (\hat{c}_W - 1)(g - 1).$$

Since we have $\hat{c}_W \geq 2$, we get $a > g$ for $g \geq 1$. Thus, the class $c_{g,n}(1^a \otimes e_2^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_d^{b_d})$ is a pull-back from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-a}$ via the forgetful morphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-a}$, and so is the class λ_g . But we have the formula $\pi_* \text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = g! a_1^2 \dots a_g^2 [\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-g}]$ for the push-forward along the first forgetful morphism $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-g}$. Therefore, the integral

$$\int_{\text{DR}_g(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \lambda_g c_{g,n}(1^a \otimes e_2^{b_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_d^{b_d})$$

is zero unless $g = 1, n = 2$. In this case the forgetful map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-a}$ above is not defined and we know from Section 8 and from Proposition 10.1 that the coefficient of $u^1 u_{xx}^1$ is $\frac{1}{48} \chi_{(W, \mathbf{j})}$, where the Euler characteristic means the difference of dimension between the even and the odd subspaces of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded state space $\mathbf{H}_{(W, \mathbf{j})}$.

Explicitly, the state space is a direct sum of subspaces $\mathbf{H}_{j,k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq d-1$. They are all one-dimensional and even-degree, except for $\mathbf{H}_{j,0} = (\mathcal{Q}_W)^j$, the \mathbf{j} -invariant part of the Jacobian ring of W . The space $\mathbf{H}_{j,0}$ is odd-degree if and only if N is odd.

Let h_k denote the dimension of the homogeneous subspace of \mathcal{Q}_W of degree k . Then, we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} h_k t^k = (t + \dots + t^{d-1})^N =: P(t).$$

Therefore, the dimension of the subspace $(\mathcal{Q}_W)^j$ is

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} h_{kd} t^{kd} = \frac{P(\zeta_d^0) + \dots + P(\zeta_d^{d-1})}{d} = \frac{(d-1)^N + (-1)^N (d-1)}{d}$$

and the Euler characteristic $\chi_{(W, \mathbf{j})}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{(W, \mathbf{j})} &= (d-1) + (-1)^N \frac{(d-1)^N + (-1)^N (d-1)}{d} \\ &= \frac{d^2 - 1 + (1-d)^N}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is the same as for Proposition 10.1. \square

Remark 10.12. When $d = N$, there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces between $\mathbf{H}_{(W, \langle j \rangle)}$ and the cohomology of the associated hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} , see [CR11a]. In particular, we see that the Euler characteristics agree. Furthermore, there is a precise conjecture [CR11b] relating Gromov–Witten invariants of the hypersurface to FJRW invariants of W ; it is called the Landau–Ginzburg/Calabi–Yau correspondence. We see that Propositions 10.1 and 10.11 are compatible with such correspondence.

Remark 10.13. Proposition 10.11 holds for any Landau–Ginzburg orbifold (W, G) when two conditions are satisfied: the central charge is $\hat{c}_W > 1$ and every homogeneous element of the state space $\mathbf{H}_{(W, G)}$ is a multiple of the unity or is of degree more than 2. This last property implies that $w_1 + \dots + w_N \geq d$, but the latter is not a sufficient condition. For instance, take the polynomial $W = x^{12} + y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3$ with weights $(1, 4, 4, 4)$ and degree 12, then we have $\deg(e_{j^4}) = 1/2$.

Example 10.14. (quintic singularity). The Euler characteristic of the quintic polynomial $W = x_1^5 + \dots + x_5^5$ is -200 and the rank-4 generalized double ramification hierarchy associated to the $\text{Aut}(W)$ -invariant part of the FJRW theory of $(W, \langle j \rangle)$ is given by

$$\bar{g} = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}(u^1)^2 u^4 + \frac{1}{6}(u^2)^3 + u^1 u^2 u^3 + \sum_{k \geq 1} n_{3+5k} \frac{(u^2)^{3+5k}}{(3+5k)!} + \frac{25\varepsilon^2}{6}(u_x^1)^2 \right) dx,$$

where the numbers n_k are the following FJRW invariants of the quintic singularity

$$n_k := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,k}} c_{0,k}(e_{j^2}^k).$$

We see that the coefficient of $\frac{1}{6}(u^2)^3$ in above expression differs from the one in the quintic hypersurface Example 10.3. The coefficient 1 is indeed the value of the FJRW correlator $\langle \tau_0(e_{j^2})^3 \rangle_{0,3}^W$ and enters into the coefficient of the small quantum product

$$e_{j^2} \star_0^W e_{j^2} = \frac{\langle \tau_0(e_{j^2})^3 \rangle_{0,3}^W}{(e_{j^2}, e_{j^3})_W} \cdot e_{j^3} = e_{j^3}, \text{ with } (e_{j^2}, e_{j^3})_W = 1.$$

For the quintic hypersurface X , the coefficient 5 is the value of the GW correlator $\langle \tau_0(h)^3 \rangle_{0,3,0}^X$ and also comes from the quantum product

$$h \star_0^X h = \frac{\langle \tau_0(h)^3 \rangle_{0,3,0}^X}{(h, h^2)_X} \cdot h^2 = h^2, \text{ with } (h, h^2)_X = 5,$$

where h is the hyperplane class. The quantum products \star^X and \star^W for the GW theory of X and for the FJRW theory of $(W, \langle j \rangle)$ are not expected to be the same. Instead, we should view the Landau–Ginzburg/Calabi–Yau correspondence as a duality of the quantum products

$$\star_q^X \sim_{q=t^{-5}} \star_t^W.$$

Remark 10.15. The restriction of the FJRW theory of $(W, \text{Aut}(W))$ to the subspace

$$(\mathbf{H}_{(W, \langle j \rangle)})^{\text{Aut}(W)} \subset \mathbf{H}_{(W, \text{Aut}(W))}$$

also has rank 4 and it has the same genus-0 part as the quintic singularity, but the Euler characteristic is 1075, so the double ramification hierarchy is different:

$$\bar{g} = \int \left(\frac{1}{2}(u^1)^2 u^4 + \frac{1}{6}(u^2)^3 + u^1 u^2 u^3 + \sum_{k \geq 1} n_{3+5k} \frac{(u^2)^{3+5k}}{(3+5k)!} - \frac{1075\varepsilon^2}{48}(u_x^1)^2 \right) dx.$$

Landau–Ginzburg/Calabi–Yau correspondence. As already mentioned, the generating function of the coefficients n_{3+5k} is related to the generating function of the numbers c_d appearing in the quintic hypersurface Example 10.3. It is called the Landau–Ginzburg/Calabi–Yau correspondence. We briefly explain it below and we refer to [CR10] for a detailed treatment.

Take a cohomological field theory with vector space V and variables u^1, \dots, u^N associated to a basis e_1, \dots, e_N of V , with unit e_1 . We define the J-function $J: V \rightarrow V[z, z^{-1}]$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} J(u, -z) &:= -ze_1 + u + \sum_{n \geq 2} \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \langle \tau_0(u)^n \tau_d(e_\alpha) \rangle_{0, n+1} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{e_\beta}{(-z)^{d+1}} \\ &= -ze_1 + u + \sum_{d \geq 0} g_{\alpha, d}^{[0]}(u) \cdot \frac{e^\alpha}{(-z)^{d+1}}, \quad u = u^\alpha e_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The J-function has some special properties. For instance, it is the only function of the form $-ze_1 + u + O(z^{-1})$ lying on the so-called Givental cone of the cohomological field theory, see [Giv04]. Therefore, when it is possible to find another function I on the Givental cone, with the form

$$I(t, -z) = -\omega_{-1}(t)ze_1 + \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{\omega_d(t)}{(-z)^d},$$

where $\omega_{-1}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\omega_d(t) \in V$ for $d \geq 0$, then we obtain

$$(10.3) \quad J\left(u := \frac{\omega_0(t)}{\omega_{-1}(t)}, -z\right) = -ze_1 + u + \sum_{d \geq 0} \frac{\omega_{d+1}(t)}{\omega_{-1}(t)} \frac{1}{(-z)^{d+1}},$$

and as a consequence we have

$$\frac{\omega_{d+1}(t)}{\omega_{-1}(t)} = g_{\alpha, d}^{[0]}(u) e^\alpha.$$

The above discussion on I- and J-functions applies in particular to the Gromov–Witten theory of the quintic hypersurface X and to the FJRW theory of the pair $(W, \langle j \rangle)$. Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{GW}(t) &= z t^{\frac{H}{z}} \sum_{k \geq 0} t^k \frac{(5H+z) \cdot (5H+2z) \cdots (5H+5kz)}{((H+z) \cdot (H+2z) \cdots (H+kz))^5} \\ &= \omega_{-1}^{GW}(t)z + \omega_0^{GW}(t)H + \omega_1^{GW}(t)\frac{H^2}{z} + \omega_2^{GW}(t)\frac{H^3}{z^2}, \\ I_{FJRW}(s) &= z \sum_{k \geq 1} s^k e_{j^k} \frac{(\langle \frac{k}{5} \rangle \cdot (\langle \frac{k}{5} \rangle + 1) \cdots (\langle \frac{k}{5} \rangle + \lfloor \frac{k}{5} \rfloor - 1))^5}{\lfloor \frac{k}{5} \rfloor!} (-z)^{4 \cdot \lfloor \frac{k}{5} \rfloor} \\ &= \omega_{-1}^{FJRW}(s)e_{j^3}z + \omega_0^{FJRW}(s)e_{j^2} + \omega_1^{FJRW}(s)\frac{e_{j^3}}{z} + \omega_2^{FJRW}(s)\frac{e_{j^4}}{z^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the relations

$$u^{GW} = \frac{\omega_0^{GW}(t)H}{\omega_{-1}^{GW}(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad u^{FJRW} = \frac{\omega_0^{FJRW}(s)e_{j^2}}{\omega_{-1}^{FJRW}(s)}$$

can be inverted and we deduce the values of the numbers c_d and n_k , see [Giv96, CR10]:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= 2975, & c_2 &= 609250, & c_3 &= 317206375, \dots \\ n_3 &= 1, & n_8 &= \frac{8}{625}, & n_{13} &= \frac{5736}{78125}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The Landau–Ginzburg/Calabi–Yau correspondence [CR11b] relates these two series of numbers via a change of variables and an analytic continuation of the I-functions.

Theorem 10.16 ([CR10, Theorem 4.2.4]). *There exists an explicit linear isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{U}: (\mathbf{H}_{(W, \langle j \rangle)})^{\text{Aut}(W)}[z, z^{-1}] \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{C})[z, z^{-1}]$$

such that

$$\mathbb{U}(I_{FJRW}(s)) =: \tilde{I}(s)$$

is an analytic continuation of the function $I_{GW}(t)$ under the change of variables $s^5 \cdot t = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [BCRR14] A. Brini, G. Carlet, S. Romano, P. Rossi, *Rational reductions of the 2D-Toda hierarchy and mirror symmetry*, to appear in Journal of the European Mathematical Society (JEMS), arXiv:1401.5725.
- [Bur15] A. Buryak, *Double ramification cycles and integrable hierarchies*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 336 (2015), no. 3, 1085–1107.
- [BG15] A. Buryak, J Guéré, *Towards a description of the double ramification hierarchy for Witten’s r -spin class*, to appear in the Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, arXiv:1507.05882.
- [BPS12a] A. Buryak, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin, *On deformations of quasi-Miura transformations and the Dubrovin-Zhang bracket*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 62 (2012), no. 7, 1639–1651.
- [BPS12b] A. Buryak, H. Posthuma, S. Shadrin, *A polynomial bracket for the Dubrovin-Zhang hierarchies*, Journal of Differential Geometry 92 (2012), no. 1, 153–185.
- [BR15a] A. Buryak, P. Rossi, *Recursion relations for Double Ramification Hierarchies*, Communications in Mathematical Physics (2015), DOI: 10.1007/s00220-015-2535-1, arXiv:1411.6797.
- [BR15b] A. Buryak, P. Rossi, *Double ramification cycles and quantum integrable systems*, Letters in Mathematical Physics (2015), DOI: 10.1007/s11005-015-0814-6, arXiv:1503.03687.
- [BSSZ15] A. Buryak, S. Shadrin, L. Spitz, D. Zvonkine, *Integrals of ψ -classes over double ramification cycles*, American Journal of Mathematics 137 (2015), no. 3, 699–737.
- [COGP91] P. Candelas, X. C. de la Ossa, P. S. Green, L. Parkes, *A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory*, Nuclear Physics B 359 (1991) no. 1, 21–74.
- [CIR14] A. Chiodo, H. Iritani, Y. Ruan, *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence, global mirror symmetry and Orlov equivalence*, Publications Mathématiques. Institut de Hautes Études Scientifiques 119 (2014), 127–216.
- [CR10] A. Chiodo, Y. Ruan, *Landau–Ginzburg/Calabi–Yau correspondence for quintic three-folds via symplectic transformations*, Inventiones Mathematicae 182 (2010), no. 1, 117–165.
- [CR11a] A. Chiodo, Y. Ruan, *LG/CY correspondence: the state space isomorphism*, Advances in Mathematics 227 (2011), no. 6, 2157–2188.
- [CR11b] A. Chiodo, Y. Ruan, *A global mirror symmetry framework for the Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier (Grenoble) 61 (2011), no. 7, 2803–2864.
- [Dim92] A. Dimca, *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces*, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. xvi+263 pp.
- [Dub98] B. Dubrovin, *Differential geometry of the space of orbits of a Coxeter group*, Surveys in differential geometry: integral systems [integrable systems], 181–211, Surv. Differ. Geom., 4, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [DLYZ14] B. A. Dubrovin, S.-Q. Liu, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, *Hodge integrals and tau-symmetric integrable hierarchies of Hamiltonian evolutionary PDEs*, arXiv:1409.4616.
- [DN83] B. A. Dubrovin, S. P. Novikov, *Hamiltonian formalism of one-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type, and the Bogolyubov-Whitham averaging method*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 27 (1983), 665–669.
- [DZ98] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, *Bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies in 2D topological field theory at one-loop approximation*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 198 (1998), no. 2, 311–361.
- [DZ05] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang, *Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants*, a new 2005 version of arXiv:math/0108160, 295 pp.
- [FSZ10] C. Faber, S. Shadrin, D. Zvonkine, *Tautological relations and the r -spin Witten conjecture*, Annales Scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure (4) 43 (2010), no. 4, 621–658.
- [FFJMR16] H. Fan, A. Francis, T. Jarvis, E. Merrell, Y. Ruan, *Witten’s D_4 Integrable Hierarchies Conjecture*, Chinese Annals of Mathematics 37 (2016), no. 2, 175–192.
- [FJR07] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation and its virtual fundamental cycle*, arXiv:0712.4025.
- [FJR13] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory*, Annals of Mathematics (2) 178 (2013), no. 1, 1–106.
- [Get97] E. Getzler, *Intersection theory on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,4}$ and elliptic Gromov-Witten invariants*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 10 (1997), no. 4, 973–998.
- [Get99] E. Getzler, *The Virasoro conjecture for Gromov-Witten invariants*, Algebraic geometry: Hirzebruch 70 (Warsaw, 1998), 147–176, Contemp. Math., 241, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Giv96] A. Givental, *Equivariant Gromov–Witten invariants*, International Mathematics Research Notices (1996), no. 13, 613–663.

- [Giv04] A. Givental, *Symplectic geometry of Frobenius structures*, Frobenius manifolds, 91–112, Aspects Math., E36, Friedr. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004.
- [Gue13] J. Guéré, *A Landau–Ginzburg mirror theorem without concavity*, to appear in Duke Mathematical Journal, arXiv:1307.5070.
- [Gue15] J. Guéré, *Hodge integrals in FJRW theory*, arXiv:1509.07047.
- [GueMa] J. Guéré, *Maple computer program*, on Jérémy Guéré’s website.
- [Hai13] R. Hain, *Normal functions and the geometry of moduli spaces of curves*, Handbook of moduli, Vol. I, 527–578, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 24, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [Kon92] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 147 (1992), no. 1, 1–23.
- [KM94] M. Kontsevich, Yu. Manin, *Gromov–Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 164 (1994), no. 3, 525–562.
- [LRZ15] S.-Q. Liu, Y. Ruan, Y. Zhang, *BCFG Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies and FJRW-Theory*, Inventiones Mathematicae 201 (2015), no. 2, 711–772.
- [MW13] S. Marcus, J. Wise, *Stable maps to rational curves and the relative Jacobian*, arXiv:1310.5981.
- [Mil14] T. Milanov, *Analyticity of the total ancestor potential in singularity theory*, Advances in Mathematics 255 (2014), 217–241.
- [PPZ15] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, D. Zvonkine, *Relations on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ via 3-spin structures*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 28 (2015), no. 1, 279–309.
- [PV11] A. Polishchuk, A. Vaintrob, *Matrix factorizations and Cohomological Field Theories*, arXiv:1105.2903.
- [Ros10] P. Rossi, *Integrable systems and holomorphic curves*, Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2009, 34–57, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010.
- [Ros15] P. Rossi, *Nijenhuis operator in contact homology and descendant recursion in symplectic field theory*, Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2014, 156–191, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2015.
- [Tel12] C. Teleman, *The structure of 2D semi-simple field theories*, Inventiones Mathematicae 188 (2012), no. 3, 525–588.
- [Wit91] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990), 243–310, Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA, 1991.
- [Wit93] E. Witten, *Phases of $N = 2$ theories in two dimensions*, Nuclear Physics B 403 (1993), no. 1-2, 159–222.

A. BURYAK:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZURICH,
RAMISTRASSE 101 8092, HG G 27.1, ZURICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: buryaksh@gmail.com

B. DUBROVIN:

SISSA, VIA BONOMEA 265, TRIESTE 34136, ITALY
E-mail address: dubrovin@sissa.it

J. GUÉRE:

HUMBOLDT UNIVERSITÄT,
UNTER DEN LINDEN 6, 10099 BERLIN, GERMANY
E-mail address: jeremy.guere@hu-berlin.de

P. ROSSI:

IMB, UMR5584 CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, F-21000 DIJON, FRANCE
E-mail address: paolo.rossi@u-bourgogne.fr