

FREDHOLM THEORY

Motivation study: $(\mathbb{1} - T)f = g$

In case T is compact, we can prove

Thm (FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVES) $T \in K(X)$,

then (a) $\ker(\mathbb{1} - T)$ is fin dim

(b) $\text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T)$ is closed and $\text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T) = \perp \underbrace{\ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)}_{\subset X^*}$

(c) $\ker(\mathbb{1} - T) = \{0\} \iff \text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T) = X$

(d) $\dim \ker(\mathbb{1} - T) = \dim \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)$

==

Solve $u - Tu = g \rightsquigarrow$ study $u - Tu = 0$

two cases

only the trivial solution $u=0$

\Downarrow (c)

$\forall g, \exists ! u \in X : u - Tu = g$

\exists non-trivial solution of $u - Tu = 0$

\Downarrow (b)

$\exists u : u - Tu = g$

\Downarrow

$(J_x g)(v) = v(g) = 0 \forall v \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)$

\iff finitely many constraints

Recall : $A \subseteq X$: lin sub: $A^\perp = \{f \in X^* : f(x) = 0 \forall x \in A\}$

$A \subseteq X^*$ lin sub: ${}^\perp A = \{x \in X : (J_x x)|_A = 0 \forall f \in A\}$

PRELIMINARY RESULTS TOWARDS FREDHOLM

Prop 1 $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, then

$$\exists c > 0: \|Tx\| \geq c \operatorname{dist}(x, \ker T) \iff \operatorname{Im} T \text{ closed}$$

$\inf_{\xi: T\xi=0} \|x-\xi\|$

proof is based on the analysis of $T|_{X/\ker T}$
 \leadsto few words about quotient spaces.

lemma If $X_0 \subset X$ closed subspace, X normed
 $\Rightarrow X/X_0$ can be equipped with the norm

$$\|[x]\| := \inf_{y \in X_0} \|x-y\|$$

$$x \sim y \iff x-y \in X_0$$

Note that X_0 closed is needed only to prove $\|[x]\| = 0 \iff [x] = 0$

EXERCISE: prove it!

Q: What about completeness? X Banach $\stackrel{?}{\iff} X/X_0$ is Banach
we need

lemma $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ normed space

$(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ Banach \iff

$$\forall \{x_n\}: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\| < \infty$$

$$\Downarrow$$
$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right\} \text{ converges as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

EXERCISE prove it!

Lemma Let $X_0 \subseteq X$ closed subspace and X Banach
 then X/X_0 is Banach

proof take $\{[x_n]\}_{n \geq 1} \in X/X_0$ st. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| [x_n] \|_{X/X_0} < \infty$

by previous lemma, if $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [x_k]$ converges in $X/X_0 \Rightarrow X/X_0$ Banach

By definition of $\| [x_k] \|$, $\forall k \exists e_k \in X_0$ st.

$$\| x_k - e_k \|_X \leq \| [x_k] \|_{X/X_0} + 2^{-k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_k \| x_k - e_k \|_X \leq \sum \| [x_k] \|_{X/X_0} + \sum 2^{-k} < \infty \quad (*)$$

X Banach

$$\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{x} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (x_k - e_k)$$

Consider $[\tilde{x}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| [\tilde{x}] - \sum_{n=1}^N [x_n] \right\|_{X/X_0} &= \left\| [\tilde{x} - \sum_{n=1}^N x_n] \right\|_{X/X_0} \\ &\leq \left\| \tilde{x} - \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - e_n) \right\|_X \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \| x_k - e_k \|_X \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leadsto \sum_{k=1}^N [x_k] \text{ converges} \Rightarrow X/X_0 \text{ Banach} \quad \square$$

proof of proposition

(i) $\| T x \| \geq c \text{dist}(x, \ker T) \Rightarrow \text{Im } T \text{ closed}$

proof $\frac{X}{\ker T}$ Banach space as $\ker T$ is closed

Moreover $\| [x] \|_{\frac{X}{\ker T}} = \inf_{\xi \in \ker T} \| x - \xi \| = \text{dist}(x, \ker T)$ (**)

Define the op \tilde{T} from the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T: X \rightarrow Y & & \tilde{T} [x] = Tx \text{ well defined} \\
 \pi_0 \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{T} & \text{as } x \sim y \text{ (i.e. } x-y \in \ker T) \\
 \frac{X}{\ker T} & & \rightsquigarrow \tilde{T} [y] = Ty = Tx + T(y-x) \\
 & & = Tx = \tilde{T} [x] \quad \overset{0}{=}
 \end{array}$$

and $\| \tilde{T} [x] \|_Y \stackrel{\text{assumption}}{=} \| Tx \|_Y \geq c \text{dist}(x, \ker T)$ (**)
 $\geq c \| [x] \|_{\frac{X}{\ker T}}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Im } \tilde{T} \text{ is closed} \Rightarrow \text{Im } \tilde{T} = \text{Im } T \text{ closed}$

general fact: $\forall \| Ax \| \geq c \| x \| \quad \forall x \in X \Rightarrow \text{Im } A \text{ closed}$ ^{A continuous}

Indeed take $(y_m)_{m \geq 1} \subseteq \text{Im } A, \quad y_m \rightarrow y$

then $y_m = A x_m$ for some $x_m \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \| y_m - y_n \| &= \| A(x_m - x_n) \| \geq c \| x_m - x_n \| \\
 &\downarrow m, n \rightarrow \infty \\
 &0
 \end{aligned}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \{x_m\}$ is Cauchy $\Rightarrow x_m \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} Ax_m \rightarrow Ax \\ \underbrace{y_m}_{\substack{y \\ y_m \rightarrow y}} \rightarrow y \end{matrix}$

② $\text{Im } T$ closed $\Rightarrow \|Tx\| \geq c \text{ wst } (x, \text{ker } T)$

Consider again $\tilde{T} : \underbrace{X/\text{ker } T}_{\text{Banach}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{Im } T}_{\text{Banach (closed sub of Banach)}}$

\tilde{T} is bd op.
 injective ($\tilde{T}([x]) = 0 \Rightarrow Tx = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \text{ker } T$)
 surjective (trivial)

open mapping
thm
 \rightsquigarrow

\tilde{T} is invertible with a bd inverse!

$$\Rightarrow \| \tilde{T}^{-1}(y) \|_{X/\text{ker } T} \leq c \|y\| \quad \forall y \in \text{Im } T$$

$$\begin{aligned} y = Tx &\Rightarrow \| \tilde{T}^{-1}(Tx) \|_{X/\text{ker } T} \leq c \|Tx\| \quad \forall x \in X \\ &\| [x] \|_{X/\text{ker } T} \end{aligned}$$

ANNIHILATORS AND PRE-ANNIHILATORS

Def $A \subseteq X$ lin sub $A^\perp = \{ f \in X^* : f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in A \}$ ANNIHILATOR

$A \subseteq X^*$ lin sub ${}^\perp A = \{ x \in X : \underbrace{(J_x x)(f)}_{f(x) = 0} = 0 \quad \forall f \in A \}$

Lemme (i) $A \subseteq X$ lin sub $\Rightarrow \underbrace{{}^\perp(A^\perp)}_{\subseteq X^*} = \overline{A}$

(ii) $A \subseteq X^*$ " " $\Rightarrow \underbrace{({}^\perp A)}_{\subseteq X} = \overline{A}^{\sigma(X^*, X)}$
 (closure in weak* topology)

proof only (i): $x \in \overline{A} \Leftrightarrow f(x) = 0 \quad \forall f \in A^\perp$

\Rightarrow) $\exists (a_n) \in A$ with $a_n \rightarrow x$. then $\forall f \in A^\perp$
 $f(a_n) \rightarrow f(x) \Rightarrow f(x) = 0 \quad \forall f \in A^\perp$
 $\forall n$

\Leftarrow) BC $x \notin \overline{A}$. By Hahn-Banach $\exists f \in X^*$:

$$\begin{cases} f(y) = 0 & \forall y \in A \\ f(x) > 0 \end{cases} \quad (\Rightarrow f \in A^\perp)$$

\Downarrow

\square

Lemma (Identities) $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, X, Y Banach. Then

(I) $(\text{Im } T)^\perp = \ker T^* = T^{-1}(\text{Im } Y^*)$

(II) $\ker T = {}^\perp(\text{Im } T^*)$ (in X)

(III) ${}^\perp(\ker T^*) = \overline{\text{Im } T}$ (in Y)

(IV) $(\ker T)^\perp = \text{Im } T^*$ if $\text{Im } T$ closed (in Y^*)

Lemma trivial in Hilbert spaces, where $A^\perp \equiv$ usual orthogonal set
example if $y \in (\text{Im } T)^\perp \Leftrightarrow \langle y, Tx \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x$
 $\Leftrightarrow \langle T^*y, x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \Rightarrow y \in \ker T^*$

EX prove the lemma in Hilbert space using scalar product

proof (I) $f \in (\text{Im } T)^\perp \Leftrightarrow f(Tx) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$
 $\Leftrightarrow (T^*f)(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X$
 $\Leftrightarrow T^*f = 0 \text{ in } X^* \Leftrightarrow f \in \ker T^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(II)} \quad x \in \ker T &\Leftrightarrow Tx = 0 && \text{in } Y \\
&\Leftrightarrow f(Tx) = 0 && \forall f \in Y^* \\
&\Leftrightarrow (T^*f)(x) = 0 && \forall f \in Y^* \\
&\Leftrightarrow (J_x x)(T^*f) = 0 && \forall f \in Y^* \\
&\Leftrightarrow x \in {}^\perp(\text{Im } T^*)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(III)} \quad \text{we know by (I)} \quad (\text{Im } T)^\perp &= \ker T^* \\
{}^\perp((\text{Im } T)^\perp) &= {}^\perp(\ker T^*) \\
&\stackrel{\text{|| lemma}}{=} \overline{\text{Im } T}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(IV)} \quad (\supset) \quad x^* \in \text{Im } T^* &\Rightarrow x^* = T^* y^* \quad \text{for some } y^* \in Y^* \\
&\Rightarrow x^*(x) = (T^* y^*)(x) = y^*(Tx) \\
&\Rightarrow \forall x \in \ker T \quad \text{we have} \quad x^*(x) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow x^* \in (\ker T)^\perp
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(c)} \quad \text{Let } x^* \in (\ker T)^\perp \quad \Rightarrow x^*(\xi) = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \ker T$$

$$\circ) \quad \tilde{x}^*: \frac{X}{\ker T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$[x] \mapsto \tilde{x}^*[x] := x^*(x)$$

is well defined and continuous

In fact

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{x}^*[x]| &= |x^*(x)| = |x^*(x - \xi)| && \forall \xi \in \ker T \\
&\leq \|x^*\| \|x - \xi\| && \forall \xi \in \ker T
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{x}^*[x]| \leq \|x^*\| \inf_{\xi \in \ker T} \|x - \xi\| \leq \|x^*\| \| [x] \|_{\frac{X}{\ker T}}$$

a) $\text{Im } T$ closed $\stackrel{\text{Lemme}}{\Rightarrow} \tilde{T} : \frac{X}{\ker T} \rightarrow \text{Im } T$
 is invertible with bd inverse \tilde{T}^{-1}

Put $\psi : \text{Im } T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$y \longmapsto \psi(y) := \tilde{x}^* (\tilde{T}^{-1} y)$$

ψ is linear and continuous (composition of continuous maps)
 and $\text{Im } T$ closed

By Hahn-Banach, $\exists y^* : \text{Im } T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ st $y^*|_{\text{Im } T} = \psi$

claim

indeed

$$T^* y^* = x^* \text{ in } X^*$$

$$(T^* y^*)(x) = y^*(Tx) = \psi(Tx)$$

$$y^*|_{\text{Im } T} = \psi$$

$$= \tilde{x}^* (\tilde{T}^{-1} Tx) = \tilde{x}^* [x]$$

$$= x^*(x)$$

$$\forall x \in X$$

□

Lemme (Riesz) X normed vector space and $M \subset X$, $M \neq X$ closed linear space.

Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists u \in X$: $\|u\| = 1$
 $\text{dist}(u, M) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$

proof Take $v \in X \setminus M$, since M is closed

$$d := \text{dist}(v, M) > 0$$

Choose $m_0 \in M$ with $\|v - m_0\| = d$

$$d \leq \|v - m_0\| \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \frac{d}{1 - \varepsilon}$$

Now take $u = \frac{v - m_0}{\|v - m_0\|}$; it fulfills the properties

Indeed $\|u\| = 1$ and moreover $\forall m \in M$

$$\|u - m\| = \left\| \frac{v - m_0}{\|v - m_0\|} - m \right\| = \left\| \frac{v - m_0 - m\|v - m_0\|}{\|v - m_0\|} \right\|$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\geq} d \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)}{d} = 1 - \varepsilon$$

Cor X Banach with $B_1^X(b)$ is compact
 then $\dim X < +\infty$

proof B.C. $\dim X = +\infty$. Take $E_1 \subsetneq E_2 \subsetneq E_3 \subsetneq \dots$
 seq of nested fin dim sub. Apply Riesz,

$\exists (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $u_n \in E_n$ and $\text{dist}(u_n, E_{n-1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

then $\|u_n - u_m\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall m < n$, so $(u_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset B_1^X(b)$ but no convergent subseq. \square

PROOF OF FREDHOLM THEOREM

(a) ker(A-T) fin dim

Clearly $Tv = v \quad \forall v \in \text{ker}(A-T)$
 Putting $X_1 := \text{ker}(A-T) \subset X$

then $T|_{X_1} = A|_{X_1}$

but then $\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{X_1}(0)} = \overline{T B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{X_1}(0)} \subseteq \overline{T B_{\frac{1}{2}}^X(0)}$

$\leadsto \overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{X_1}(0)}$ is compact $\Rightarrow \dim X_1 < +\infty$ \rightsquigarrow compact

(b) (b1) Im(A-T) closed By previous prop,
 it is enough to show that $\exists c > 0$:

$$\|(A-T)v\| \geq c \text{dist}(v, \text{ker}(A-T))$$

B.C assure $\exists (u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ st. $(*) \text{dist}(u_j, \text{ker}(A-T)) = 1 \quad \forall j$
 $(1) \| (A-T)u_j \| \leq \frac{1}{j} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$

CASE I $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is b.d., $\|u_j\| \leq M \quad \forall j$.

$(Tu_j)_{j \geq 1}$ has conv. subseq (T is compact)

$$(2) \quad Tu_{j_n} \rightarrow u_\infty \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

then also $u_{j_n} \rightarrow u_\infty$, indeed

$$\|u_{j_n} - u_\infty\| \leq \|u_{j_n} - Tu_{j_n}\| + \|Tu_{j_n} - u_\infty\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$(A-T)u_{j_n} \xrightarrow{\downarrow \text{by } (1)} 0$
 $\quad \quad \quad \downarrow \text{by } (2)$

T b.d.:

$$T u_n \rightarrow T u_\infty$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$u_\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow u_\infty - T u_\infty = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u_\infty \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T)$$

contradicts (4) \Downarrow

CASE II $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ not b.d. By (4),

$$\forall j, \exists z_j \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T) : \|u_j - z_j\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{j}$$

Put $w_j := u_j - z_j$.

Want to show that $(w_j)_j$ b.d. and fulfills (4) & (1)

\rightarrow back to case I \rightarrow contradiction \Downarrow

(c) $\|w_j\| \leq 2 \quad \forall j$

(1) $\|(\mathbb{1} - T)w_j\| = \|(\mathbb{1} - T)u_j - \underbrace{(\mathbb{1} - T)z_j}_{=0}\| \leq \|(\mathbb{1} - T)u_j\| \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0$

(4) $\text{dist}(w_j, \ker(\mathbb{1} - T)) = \inf_{z \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T)} \|w_j - z\|$

$$= \inf_{z \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T)} \|u_j - z\| = 1 \quad \forall j$$

(b2) $\text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T) = \perp (\ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*))$

Lemma Identities II

$$\overbrace{\text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T) = \perp \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)}^{(b1)}$$

\parallel (b1)

$$\text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T)$$

$$(c) \quad \underline{\ker(T-T) = 0 \iff \text{Im}(T-T) = X}$$

$$\Leftarrow) \quad \text{BC} \quad \ker(T-T) = N_1 \neq 0$$

$$\text{Constant} \quad N_k := \ker(T-T)^k$$

$$\text{clearly} \quad N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_3 \subseteq \dots$$

$$x \in N_1 \rightarrow (T-T)^2 x = \underbrace{(T-T)}_{=0} (T-T)x = 0$$

We show now that the inclusions are strict

$$N_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists x_1 \neq 0: (T-T)x_1 = 0$$

$$\text{Im}(T-T) = X \Rightarrow \exists x_2 \neq 0: (T-T)x_2 = x_1$$

$$\rightsquigarrow (T-T)^2 x_2 = (T-T)(T-T)x_2 = (T-T)x_1 = 0$$

$$\rightsquigarrow x_2 \in N_2 \setminus N_1$$

$$\text{Im}(T-T) = X \Rightarrow \exists x_3 \neq 0: (T-T)x_3 = x_2$$

$$\rightsquigarrow x_3 \in N_3 \setminus N_2$$

thus iterating we have

$$N_1 \subsetneq N_2 \subsetneq N_3 \subsetneq N_4 \subsetneq \dots \quad \text{closed} \downarrow$$

We apply Riesz lemma with $X = N_{k+1}$, $M = N_k$

$$\rightsquigarrow \text{given } N_k, \exists y_{k+1} \in N_{k+1} : \begin{cases} \|y_{k+1}\| = 1 \\ \text{dist}(y_{k+1}, N_k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

We shall show that $\{Ty_n\}$ does not contain a convergent subseq, contradicts compactness of T

Indeed take $m < n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ty_n - Ty_m &= y_n - y_n + Ty_n - y_m + y_m - Ty_m \\
 &= y_n - \underbrace{(I - T)y_n}_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ y_n \in N_n \rightarrow (I - T)^{n-1}(I - T)y_n = 0 \\ \rightsquigarrow (I - T)y_n \in N_{n-1}}} - y_m + \underbrace{(I - T)y_m}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \in N_m \subset N_{n-1} \\ \downarrow \\ \in N_{m-1} \subset N_{n-1}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$= y_n + \overbrace{N_{n-1}}^{\text{an element of } N_{n-1}}$

Since $y_n \in N_n \rightsquigarrow \text{dist}(y_n, N_{n-1}) \geq 1/2$

$\rightsquigarrow \|Ty_n - Ty_m\| \geq \text{dist}(y_n, N_{n-1}) \geq 1/2$

$\rightsquigarrow (Ty_n)_{n \geq 1}$ cannot have conv. subseq \Downarrow

Rem Actually we proved that if T compact then

$\exists i \in \mathbb{N}$ st $N_k = N_i \quad \forall k > i$

(assume it is not the case as before)

\Rightarrow) Assume $\ker(T-T) = \{0\}$

We know by (b) that $\text{Im}(T-T)$ is closed.

By Lemma Identities IV, $(\ker(T-T))^\perp = \text{Im}(T-T^*)$
 \uparrow
 $\{0\}^\perp = X^*$

T compact $\Rightarrow T^*$ compact as well

By the previous implication $\Rightarrow \ker(T-T^*) = \{0\}$

By Lemma Identities III: $\perp(\ker(T-T^*)) = \overline{\text{Im}(T-T)}$
 \uparrow \parallel (b)
 X $\text{Im}(T-T)$

$$(d) \quad \underline{\lim (\ker(T-T))} = \underline{\lim (\ker(T-T^*))}$$

$$\text{By (a)} \quad \begin{aligned} \lim \ker(T-T) &= n < \infty \\ \lim \ker(T-T^*) &= p < \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(T-T) &= \text{span} \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \\ \ker(T-T^*) &= \text{span} \langle f_1, \dots, f_p \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Consider functionals $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in X^*$: $\psi_j(x_i) = \delta_{ij}$
elements $u_1, \dots, u_p \in X$: $f_j(u_i) = \delta_{ij}$

(exercise: they exist)

CASE 1 $n < p$ Define $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ by
 $Bx = Tx + \sum_{k=1}^n \psi_k(x) u_k$

B compact ($B = \text{compact} + \text{fin range op}$)

Step 1 we show $\ker(\mathbb{1} - B) = \{0\}$

Assume it is not true: $\exists x_0 \in X: Bx_0 = x_0, x_0 \neq 0$
 then $\forall f_n \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)$ we have

$$0 = f_n \left(\underbrace{Bx_0 - x_0}_0 \right) = \underbrace{f_n(Tx_0 - x_0)}_{f_n \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)} + \sum_{e=1}^n \varphi_e(x_0) f_n(e)$$

$$(*) = 0 + \varphi_k(x_0) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_0 = Bx_0 = Tx_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{\varphi_k(x_0) u_k}_{=0 \neq k} = Tx_0$$

$\leadsto x_0 \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T)$

$$x_0 = \sum_{e=1}^n a_e z_e$$

Apply φ_m to x_0 : $\varphi_m(x_0) = \sum_{e=1}^n a_e \underbrace{\varphi_m(z_e)}_{= \delta_{me}} = a_m$
 $\varphi_m \parallel 0$ by $(*)$

So $a_m = 0 \forall m \Rightarrow x_0 = 0$

Step 2 we show that $\ker(\mathbb{1} - B^*) = \{0\}$

$$\ker(\mathbb{1} - B) = \{0\} \stackrel{(c)}{\Rightarrow} \text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - B) = X$$

lemma Identifies \perp

$$\text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - B)^\perp = \ker(\mathbb{1} - B^*)$$

$$\parallel$$

$$X^\perp = \{0\}$$

Let us prove that $\ker(\mathbb{1} - B^*)$ is actually $\neq \{0\}$

steps Actually $\ker(\mathbb{1} - B^\#) \neq \emptyset$

We exhibit a non-trivial element of $\ker(\mathbb{1} - B^\#)$,

$$B^\# h = T^\# h + \sum_{k=1}^n h(a_k) \varphi_k \quad \forall h \in X^\#$$

(exercise: prove it \rightarrow)

Since $p > n$, take $f_{n+1} \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^\#)$ then

$$(\mathbb{1} - B^\#) f_{n+1} = \underbrace{(\mathbb{1} - T^\#) f_{n+1}}_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{f_{n+1}(a_k)}_{\delta_{n+1,k}} \varphi_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{n+1} \in \ker(\mathbb{1} - B^\#) \neq \emptyset$$



CASE II $p < n$ Similar as above (exercise)

$\leadsto p = n$

EXAMPLE Try to solve the integral eq \square

$$f(x) - \int_0^1 e^{x-y} f(y) dy = 1$$

Q: $\exists f \in L^p[0,1]$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ which solves eq?

Apply Fredholm alternative, cs

$$\begin{aligned} (Tf)(x) &= \int_0^1 e^{x-y} f(y) dy \\ &= e^x \int_0^1 e^{-y} f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

T is b.l finite range op, b.l $\Rightarrow T \in K(L^p)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$

Check the eq: $g - Tg = 0$

Actually $\dim \ker(\mathbb{1} - T) = \dim \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)$

\leadsto check directly $g - T^*g = 0$

$$(T^*g)(x) = e^{-x} \int_0^1 e^y g(y) dy \quad (\text{exercise})$$

$$g - T^*g = g - \underbrace{e^{-x} \int_0^1 e^y g(y) dy}_{a \in \mathbb{R}} = 0$$

$$\leadsto g(x) = a e^{-x}$$

You can check that $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$, this is indeed a solution

$$\Rightarrow \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*) = \{ a e^{-x} \mid a \in \mathbb{R} \} \quad 1\text{-dim}$$

$$\text{Frobenius alt: } \text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T) = \perp \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{1} \in \text{Im}(\mathbb{1} - T) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{1} \in \perp \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_0^1 \underbrace{\mathbb{1}}_{= a} \cdot (a e^{-x}) = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\leadsto \mathbb{1} \notin \perp \ker(\mathbb{1} - T^*)$$

\leadsto The eq does not have a solution!