

QUANTUM DYNAMICS

Consider $H \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $H = H^*$ and the Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi = H\psi \\ \psi(0) = \psi_0 \in H \end{cases}$$

We want to study the dynamics of this equation

Rem In this case we can check that

$e^{-itH} \psi_0$ is the only solution, with

$$e^{-itH} := \sum_k \frac{(-itH)^k}{k!} \text{ as convergent op.}$$

However this def. does not extend to unbounded ops

I will make a construction of the propagator via spectral theorem, which extends also to unbounded operators.

Idea: Define the solution via continuous functional calculus

$$U(t) \psi_0 := \phi(e^{-itA}) \psi_0 \quad \forall t$$

↳ via continuous functional

calculus
 $e^{-itA} \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(A))$

Thm $U(t)$ fulfills:

(1) $U(t)$ is a 1-parameter semigroup, unitary, strongly continuous in time

$$U(0) = \mathbb{1}, \quad U(t+s) = U(t)U(s), \quad U(t)^* U(t) = \mathbb{1}$$

$$U(s)\psi \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow t} U(t)\psi \quad \text{in } H$$

$$(2) \quad A\psi := i \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t)\psi - \psi}{t} = i \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} U(t)\psi$$

(lim in the strong topology)

(3) $\psi(t) := U(t)\psi_0$ is the unique sol of $i\partial_t \psi = H\psi$ with initial datum ψ_0

proof (1) $H = H^* \rightarrow \sigma(H) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then the

function $f_t(A) := e^{-itA} \in C(\sigma(A))$ fulfills

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{f_t(A)} f_t(A) = \mathbb{1} \\ f_t(A) f_s(A) = f_{t+s}(A) \end{array} \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \\ \uparrow \\ \text{func. calculus} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \phi(f_t(A))^* \phi(f_t(A)) = \mathbb{1} \\ \phi(f_t(A)) \phi(f_s(A)) = \phi(f_{t+s}(A)) \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow U(t)^* U(t) = \mathbb{1}, \quad U(t)U(s) = U(t+s)$$

then, to prove strongly continuity: note that

$$\| U(t)\psi - U(s)\psi \|^2 = \| (U(t) - U(s))\psi \|^2$$

$$\underbrace{U(t) - U(s)}_{\phi(e^{-itA} - e^{-isA})} = \langle (U(t) - U(s))^* [U(t) - U(s)]\psi, \psi \rangle$$

and prop of $\cong \langle \phi(\overline{f_t - f_s}) \phi(f_t - f_s) \psi, \psi \rangle$

$$= \int |f_t - f_s|^2 \downarrow \mu_{\psi}^H(A)$$

$$= \int |e^{-itA} - e^{-isA}|^2 \downarrow \mu_{\psi}^H(A) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow t} 0$$

by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$(2) \left\| i \left(\frac{U(t) - \mathbb{1}}{t} - A \right) \psi \right\|^2 =$$

$$= \int \left| i \frac{e^{-itA} - 1}{t} - A \right|^2 \downarrow \mu_{\psi}^H(A)$$

Now note that $\left| i \frac{e^{-itA} - 1}{t} - A \right| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$$\text{and } \left| i \frac{e^{-itA} - 1}{t} - A \right| \leq \left| i \frac{e^{-itA} - 1}{t} \right| + |A| \leq 2|A| \quad \forall t$$

Again we apply Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and pass to limit

$$(3) \frac{d}{dt} \underbrace{U(t)\psi}_{\psi(t)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t+\varepsilon)\psi - U(t)\psi}{\varepsilon}$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t) U(\varepsilon) \psi - U(t) \psi}{\varepsilon} = U(t) \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(\varepsilon) \psi - \psi}{\varepsilon}$$

$$= \frac{1}{i} U(t) A \psi = \frac{1}{i} A \underbrace{U(t) \psi}_{\psi(t)}$$

and $U(0) \psi_0 = \psi_0 \leadsto$ it solves the SE

□

Rem From the proof it is clear that it works if in

$$D(H) := \left\{ \psi \in H : \int \lambda^2 d\mu_{\psi}^H < +\infty \right\}$$

There is also a converse thm

Thm (Stone) Let $U(t)$ a 1-param semigroup, unitary and strongly continuous, then $\forall \psi$ in a dense set,

$$\exists i \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t) \psi - \psi}{t} =: A \psi$$

with A a selfadjoint op (in general unbounded) and the solution of $\begin{cases} i \partial_t \psi = A \psi \\ \psi(0) = \psi_0 \end{cases}$ is $U(t) \psi_0$

QUALITATIVE PROPERTIES OF DYNAMICS

$$\begin{cases} i \partial_t \psi = H \psi \\ \psi(0) = \psi_0 \end{cases}$$

Can we say more about the dynamics of Schrödinger equation? As $U(t)$ is unitary

$$\| U(t) \psi_0 \|^2 = \langle \underbrace{U(t)^* U(t)}_{=I} \psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle = \|\psi_0\|^2 \quad \forall t$$

So the H -norm is preserved by the dynamic.

Moreover if λ_0 is an eigenvalue: $Hu = \lambda_0 u$
then

$$U(t)u = \phi(e^{-itA})u = e^{-it\lambda_0} u$$
$$f(A)u = f(\lambda_0)u$$

So the evolution is just a rotation!

What about initial data (states) which are orthogonal to eigenvectors?

It is useful to have a new decomposition of the spectrum

Def Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . We say

(i) μ is a pure point measure when $\forall M$ Borelian

$$\mu(M) = \sum_{x \in M} \mu(\{x\})$$

(ii) μ is continuous if $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$

(iii) μ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. to Lebesgue if

$$|M| = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(M) = 0$$

(iv) μ is singular w.r.t. Lebesgue if \exists Borelian set S with

$\mu(S) = 0$ and $|\mathbb{R} \setminus S| = 0$
 μ and μ_{Leb} supported on disjoint sets

Thm 1 (Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym)

Any measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n

can be written in a unique way as

$$\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu^{sing}$$

where μ_{ac} is ac. w.r.t. Lebesgue
 μ^{sing} " singular " " "

Moreover, $\exists! h \in L^1(\mu_{Leb})$ st. $\mu(E) = \int_E h \, d\mu_{Leb}$
 $\forall E$ Borel set. ↓ Radon-Nikodym

proof Rudin, "Real and complex analysis", Thm 6.10
(apply with $\mu \equiv \mu_{Leb}$, $\lambda \equiv \mu$)

Now let μ a Borel measure on \mathbb{R} .
We can decompose it also as

$$(*) \quad \mu = \mu_{pp} + \mu_{cont} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mu_{pp} \text{ pure point} \\ \mu_{cont} \text{ continuous} \end{array}$$

Indeed, put $P = \{x \mid \mu(\{x\}) \neq 0\}$. Since μ is Borel, P is a countable set (use $\mu(K) < +\infty \forall K$ compact set). Put

$$\mu_{pp}(M) := \sum_{x \in M \cap P} \mu(\{x\}) = \mu(P \cap M)$$

One checks that $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu_{pp} \text{ is a pure point measure} \\ \mu_{cont} := \mu - \mu_{pp} \text{ is positive} \\ \mu_{cont}(\{x\}) = 0 \quad \forall x \end{array} \right.$

So take μ finite Borel measure, apply Lebesgue to get

$\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu_{sig}$, then apply $(\#)$ to μ_{sig} : we get

Thm (Lebesgue decomposition thm) Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . then one has the unique decomposition

$$\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu_{pp} + \mu_{sc} \quad \text{where}$$

μ_{ac} : absolutely continuous w.r.t. Lebesgue

μ_{pp} : pure point measure

μ_{sc} : is singular w.r.t. Lebesgue and continuous.

EXAMPLE: $\mu_{pp} = \sum a_n \delta_{x_n}$ (Dirac's delta centered at x_n)

μ_{sc} : Let F be the Cantor function defined on the Cantor set:

It is continuous but not absolutely continuous, and $| \text{Cantor set} | = 0$.
Define the measure

$$\mu_{sc}([a, b]) = F(b) - F(a) \quad \text{interval}$$

$$\mu_{sc}(M) = \inf \left\{ \sum_k \mu_{sc}(J_k) : \begin{array}{l} J_k \text{ half open interval} \\ \cup J_k \supseteq M \end{array} \right\}$$

for a Borel set M .

then μ_{sc} is singular w.r.t. Lebesgue

Application: $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $A = A^*$, then $\forall x \in H$

$$\mu_x^A = \mu_{x,ac}^A + \mu_{x,pp}^A + \mu_{x,sc}^A$$

Accordingly, we put

$$\begin{aligned} H_{pp} &= \{ x \in H \mid \mu_x^A \text{ is pp} \} \\ H_{ac} &= \{ x \in H \mid \mu_x^A \text{ is ac} \} \\ H_{sc} &= \{ x \in H \mid \mu_x^A \text{ is sc} \} \\ H_c &= \{ x \in H \mid \mu_x^A \text{ is continuous} \} \end{aligned}$$

If sets are empty, put \emptyset .

Thm $H = H_{pp} \oplus H_{ac} \oplus H_{sc}$, closed, orthogonal subspaces, invariant by A

Def (decomposition of spectrum)

⚠ DIFFERENT BOOKS
DIFFERENT NOTATIONS

$$\sigma_{pp}(A) = \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \text{ eigenvalue of } A \},$$

$$\sigma_c(A) = \sigma(A|_{H_{ac} \oplus H_{sc}})$$

$$\sigma_{ac}(A) = \sigma(A|_{H_{ac}}), \quad \sigma_{sc}(A) = \sigma(A|_{H_{sc}})$$

Prop $\sigma(A) = \overline{\sigma_{pp}(A) \cup \sigma_c(A)}$ composed by eigenvalues of A and its accumulation points

$$\sigma_c(A) = \sigma_{ac}(A) \cup \sigma_{sc}(A)$$

proof (theorem) (1) We first prove that

$$H_{pp} = \overline{\text{span}\{ \psi \in H \mid \psi \text{ eigenvectors} \}}$$

(2) $\psi = \sum_n \psi_n$ with $A\psi_n = \lambda_n \psi_n$, λ_n eigenvalue

$$\text{then } E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi = \sum_m E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi_m = \sum_{m,n} E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi_m$$

$$\stackrel{E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})}{=} \sum_n E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi_n = \psi$$

$E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})$

$\text{ker}(A-\lambda)$

Hence $\mu_\psi^A(\mathbb{R}) = \langle E^A(\mathbb{R})\psi, \psi \rangle = \langle E^A(\mathbb{R})E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi, \psi \rangle$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \cap U\{\lambda_n\}} \mu_\psi^A(\{\lambda\})$$

(3) Let $\psi \in H_{pp}$. Then μ_ψ^A supported in countable set $N = \bigcup_n \{\eta_n\} \Rightarrow \psi \in \text{Im } E^A(N)$

$$\Rightarrow \psi = E^A(N)\psi = \sum E^A(\{\eta_n\})\psi$$

Put $\psi_n := E^A(\{\eta_n\})\psi$. We show it is eigenvector

$$\|(A - \eta_n)\psi_n\|^2 = \int (\lambda - \eta_n)^2 \perp \mu_\psi^A = 0$$

In particular H_{pp} is closed Hilbert space in H .

(2) We check that $H_c = H_{pp}^\perp$

(2) Let $\psi \in H_{pp}^\perp$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, put $\psi_\lambda := E^A(\{\lambda\})\psi \in \text{ker}(A-\lambda)$ then

$$0 = \langle \psi_\lambda, \psi \rangle = \langle E^A(\{\lambda\})\psi, \psi \rangle = \mu_\psi^A(\{\lambda\})$$

\swarrow either $\psi_\lambda \neq 0 \in H_{pp}$ and $\psi \perp H_{pp}$

\searrow or $\psi_\lambda = 0$ (recall $E^A(\{\lambda\}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \sigma_{pp}(A)$)

(3) $\psi \in H_c$ and ψ_n eigenvector, $A\psi_n = \lambda_n \psi_n$.

then $E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi_n = \psi_n$, and

$$|\langle \psi, \psi_n \rangle| = |\langle \psi, E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi_n \rangle| \leq \underbrace{|\langle E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi, \psi \rangle|}_{=0} \times \underbrace{\|E^A(U\{\lambda_n\})\psi_n\|}_{\|\psi_n\|}$$

(3) We consider $H_{cc} \subseteq H_c$. We show that

H_{cc} is closed subspace.

Let $(\psi_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq H_{ac}$, $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ in H .

Let Ω be measurable set with $|\Omega| < \infty$, want to show $\langle E^A(\Omega) \psi, \psi \rangle = 0$.

As $\langle E^A(\Omega) \psi, \psi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle E^A(\Omega) \psi, \psi_n \rangle$, enough to show

Let $\langle E^A(\Omega) \psi, \psi_n \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \forall n$. BUT

$$|\langle E^A(\Omega) \psi, \psi_n \rangle| = |\langle E^A(\Omega) \psi, E^A(\Omega) \psi_n \rangle| \leq \|E^A(\Omega) \psi\|^{1/2} \|E^A(\Omega) \psi_n\|^{1/2}$$

$\psi_n \in H_{ac}$ $\langle E^A(\Omega) \psi_n, \psi_n \rangle = 0 \quad \forall n$

(4) We show $H_{sc} = H_{ac}^\perp \cap H_c$. Clearly it is closed.

(c) Let $\psi \in H_{sc} \Leftrightarrow \mu_\psi^A$ is sing cont

$\Rightarrow \exists$ set M with $\mu_\psi^A(M) \neq 0$ & $|M| = 0$

Then $\psi = E^A(M) \psi$ and $\forall \varphi \in H_{ac}$

$$\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle = \langle E^A(M) \psi, \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi, \underbrace{E^A(M) \varphi}_{=0} \rangle = 0$$

(2) Let $\psi \in H_{ac}^\perp \cap H_c$. Decompose $\mu_\psi^A = \mu_{\psi,pp}^A + \mu_{\psi,ec}^A + \mu_{\psi,sc}^A$

$$\psi \in H_c \Rightarrow \mu_{\psi,pp}^A = 0$$

$$\psi \in H_{ac}^\perp \Rightarrow \mu_{\psi,ec}^A = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \mu_\psi^A$ is sing. cont

\hookrightarrow Indeed: $\exists A$ $\mu_{\psi,ac}^A \neq 0$, hence \exists set N with $\mu_{\psi,ec}^A(N) \neq 0$
 but $\mu_{\psi,sc}^A(N) = 0$. then $\mu_\psi^A(N) = \mu_{\psi,ec}^A(N) \neq 0$

so $\cdot) E^A(N) \psi \neq 0$

$\cdot) E^A(N) \psi \in H_{ac}$. Indeed if $|\Omega| < \infty$, then

$$\mu_{E^A(N)\psi}^A(\Omega) = \langle E^A(N \cap \Omega) \psi, \psi \rangle = \mu_\psi^A(N \cap \Omega) = \mu_{\psi,ac}^A(N \cap \Omega) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \neq \|E^A(N) \psi\|^2 = \langle \underbrace{E^A(N) \psi}_{\in H_{ac}}, \underbrace{\psi}_{\in H_{ac}^\perp} \rangle = 0 \quad \hookrightarrow$$

Application to dynamics

Thm $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $A = A^*$, K compact op. then

$$(1) \forall \varphi \in H_c: \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|K e^{-itA} \varphi\|^2 dt = 0$$

RAGE
THM

$$(2) \forall \varphi \in H_{ac}: \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|K e^{-itA} \varphi\| = 0$$

proof K compact, H Hilbert \leadsto approximate K by finite ranks K_n

$$\leadsto \|K e^{-itA} \varphi\| \leq \|K_n e^{-itA} \varphi\| + \underbrace{\|(K - K_n)\|}_{\text{"||K||"}} \|e^{-itA} \varphi\|$$

so enough to prove the results for $K_n e^{-itA} \varphi$

Moreover $K_n = \sum_{e=1}^{N(n)} \gamma_e \langle \cdot, \kappa_e \rangle$ with $\gamma_e, \kappa_e \in H$.

then

$$\|K_n e^{-itA} \varphi\| \leq \sum \|\gamma_e\| |\langle e^{-itA} \varphi, \kappa_e \rangle|$$

so enough to prove

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\langle e^{-itA} \varphi, \kappa_e \rangle|^2 dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in H_c, \quad \langle e^{-itA} \varphi, \kappa_e \rangle \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{ac}$$

By spectral thm $\langle e^{-itA} \varphi, \kappa_e \rangle = \int e^{-it\lambda} d\mu_{\varphi, \kappa_e}^A$

(1) $\varphi \in H_c \Rightarrow \mu_{\varphi, \kappa_e}^A$ is continuous measure. Then apply

Thm (Wiener) μ finite complex Boel measure on \mathbb{R}

$$\hat{\mu}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\lambda} d\mu(\lambda)$$

Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\hat{\mu}(t)|^2 dt = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |\mu(\{\lambda\})|^2$$

by very def. $\hat{\mu}(t) = \langle e^{-itA} \varphi, \chi_e \rangle$, thus we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\langle e^{-itA} \varphi, \chi_e \rangle|^2 \rightarrow \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \underbrace{|\mu_{\varphi, \chi_e}^A(\lambda)|^2}_{=0}$$

(2) $\varphi \in \text{Hac} \Rightarrow \mu_{\varphi, \chi_e}^A$ is a.c.

$$|\mu_{\varphi, \chi_e}^A(\Omega)| = |\langle E^A(\Omega) \varphi, \chi_e \rangle| \leq \|E^A(\Omega) \varphi\|^{1/2} \|\chi_e\|^{1/2} \\ \leq \mu_{\varphi}^A(\Omega)^{1/2} \|\chi_e\|^{1/2}. \text{ Hence } |\Omega| = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^A(\Omega) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \mu_{\varphi, \chi_e}^A(\Omega) = 0$$

Radon-Nikodym: $\mu_{\varphi, \chi_e}^A = f(A) d\mu_{\text{Leb}}$, $f \in L^1$.

Riemann-Lebesgue lemma: $\int e^{-it\lambda} f(\lambda) d\mu_{\text{Leb}} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0$

□