

STURM-LIOUVILLE PROBLEMS

We want to study the b.d. value problem on interval

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} - (pu')' + cu' + Vu = f \\ \alpha_1 u(0) + \beta_1 u'(0) = a \\ \alpha_2 u(1) + \beta_2 u'(1) = b \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{c} | \\ 0 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array}$$

with

•) $p, c, V \in C^0([0,1])$, $f \in L^2([0,1])$
and s.t. $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \neq 0$

•) $p(x) \geq \alpha > 0 \quad \forall x \in [0,1]$

$\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2, \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 \neq 0$ (non-deg. conditions)

EXAMPLES

1. Dirichlet BVP

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} - (pu')' + Vu = f \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} -u'' + Vu = f \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{array} \right)$$

2. Neumann BVP

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} - (pu')' + Vu = f \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

We start with Dirichlet

Q : Solution in which sense?

CLASSICAL SOLUTION: $u \in C^2([0,1])$ solving
the problem in the "classical sense"

Assume p, u, V, f are C^∞ , take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty([0,1])$

Multiply the eq by φ and \int

$$\int - (pu')' \varphi + \int Vu \varphi = \int f \varphi$$

\Downarrow int. by parts: bL term: $(pu')\varphi \Big|_0^1 = p(1)u'(1)\varphi(1) - p(0)u'(0)\varphi(0)$
no information on $u(0)$ & $u'(0)$:
put $\varphi(1) = \varphi(0) = 0$

$$\int (pu')' \varphi + \int Vu \varphi = \int f \varphi \quad \text{if } \varphi$$

WEAK PROBLEM

If $p \in C^4$, $V, f \in C^0$, $u \in C^2$ solves the weak problem
and $u(0) = u(1) = 0$, then

$$\int \left(- (pu')' + Vu - f \right) \varphi = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty$$

$$-(pu')' + Vu - f = 0 \quad \text{in } [0,1]$$

get again a classical solution

Advantage of WP: It makes sense in lower regularity than classical problem:

It makes sense if $u, u' \in L^2$, $\varphi, \varphi' \in L^2$ + b.c.
 $p, v \in L^\infty$ to incorporate

GENERAL STRATEGY:

- (1) Find the right function space to study the problem (incorporate bc + minimal regularity required)
- (2) solve WP
- (3) regularity of Weak solution
- (4) back to classical solution

1. How to find the space

$$H^1([a_1]) = \left\{ u \in L^2 : \exists g \in L^2 \text{ st } \int u \varphi' = - \int g \varphi \right. \\ \left. + \varphi \in L_0^\infty \right\}$$

If $u \in H^1$, we say that $g = u'$ is the weak derivative of u .

H^1 is Hilbert space with scalar product

$$\langle u, f \rangle_{H^1} := \langle u, f \rangle_{L^2} + \langle u', f' \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$\langle u, f \rangle_{L^2} = \int u(x) f(x) dx \quad (\text{Todays: real Hilbert spaces})$$

In corporate bc. in functional space

$$H_0^1([0,1]) = \left\{ u \in H^1 : u(0) = u(1) = 0 \right\}$$

makes sense $H^1 \hookrightarrow C^{1/2}([0,1]) \xrightarrow{\text{continuous}} L^2$

FACT:) $u \in H^1 \Rightarrow \exists \tilde{u} \in C([0,1])$ st
 $u = \tilde{u}$ a.e. and

$$\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y) = \int_y^x u'(t) dt \quad \forall x, y \in [0,1]$$

\downarrow continuous representative

) $H_0^1 \subseteq H^1$ closed subspace \rightsquigarrow Hilbert

$$H_0^1 = \overline{C_0^\infty} H^1$$

) POINCARÉ INEQUALITY: $\int_{[0,1]} |u(x)|^2 \leq c \|u'\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \forall u \in H_0^1([0,1])$

$$\text{proof} \quad |u(x)| = |u(x) - u(0)| = \left| \int_0^x u'(t) dt \right| \leq \|u'\|_{L^2}$$

CONSEQUENCE: on H_0^1 , the norm $\|u\|_{L^2} + \|u'\|_{L^2} \sim \|u'\|_{L^2}$

If we find a solution of WP in H_0^1 as we have
a function incorporating BC.

Def $u \in H_0^1([0,1])$ is a weak solution if

$$\int p u' \varphi' + \int \nabla u \varphi = \int f \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1([0,1])$$

•) How to find weak solution

Functional analysis's argument: Define

$$a(u, \varphi) = \int p u' \varphi' + \int V u \varphi$$

$$F(\varphi) = \int f \varphi$$

then WP \Leftrightarrow given $f \in L^2$, $\exists u \in H_0^1$:

$$\underbrace{a(u, \varphi)}_{\text{bilinear continuous form on } H_0^1} = F(\varphi) + \varphi \in H_0^1$$

Ideas

$$a(u, \varphi) = \langle Au, \varphi \rangle$$

$$F(\varphi) = \langle g, \varphi \rangle_{H_0^1}$$

so if A invertible, great! \Leftarrow coercivity of a

Thm (Lax-Milgram) H real Hilb. space

$$a: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{bilinear}$$

$$\circ) \text{ continuous: } |a(u, v)| \leq C_1 \|u\| \|v\| \quad \forall u, v \in H$$

$$\circ) \text{ coercive: } a(u, u) \geq c_2 \|u\|^2 \quad \forall u \in H$$

then $\forall F \in H^1$, $\exists ! u \in H: a(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in H$

Proof By Riesz : $F(v) = \langle f, v \rangle_H$ for some $f \in H$

a continuous $\Rightarrow a(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle_H$
with $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$

$$\text{coercivity} \Rightarrow \langle Au, u \rangle \geq c_2 \|u\|^2$$

CLAIM: A is invertible.

Indeed

$$\begin{cases} \ker A = \emptyset \\ \operatorname{Im} A \text{ closed} \\ \ker A^* = \emptyset \end{cases} \quad \begin{aligned} (\text{c}_2 \|u\|^2 &\leq \|Au\| \|u\|) \\ (\text{c}_2 \|u\|^2 &\leq \langle u, A^* u \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

$$X = (\ker A^*)^\perp = \overline{\operatorname{Im} A} = \operatorname{Im} A$$

\rightsquigarrow A cont. & bijective $\Rightarrow \exists A^{-1} \in L(H)$

$$\rightsquigarrow \langle Au, v \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H$$

$$\rightsquigarrow Au = f \Rightarrow u = A^{-1}f$$

unique sol of problem: $\|u\|_H \leq C \|f\|_N$ \square

EXERCISE: extend statement and proof to complex Hilbert spaces
 $a: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ sesquilinear.

Application to Sturm-Liouville

$$a: H_0^1 \times H_0^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad a(u, v) = \int p u' v' + \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla v$$

$$F: H_0^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad F(v) = \int f \cdot v, f \in L^2$$

then

(1) a bilinear \checkmark

(2) a continuous: $|a(u, v)| \leq \|p\|_\infty \|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + \|V\|_\infty \|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2}$

(3) a coercive:

$$a(u, u) = \int p(u')^2 + \int V u^2 \stackrel{?}{\geq} c \left(\|u'\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right)$$

Recall: $p(x) \geq \alpha > 0$, but no sign on V .

If V is too negative, not granted!

\rightsquigarrow for the moment add additional assumption:

$$V(x) \geq c > 0$$

Then a coercive!

$$(4) F \in (H_0^1)^*: |F(v)| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2}$$
$$\leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H_0^1}$$

Lax-Milgram: $\exists ! v \in H_0^1 :$

$$a(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1$$

\rightsquigarrow we have solved wP!

⚠ When applying Riesz, we write $F(h) = \int f v$ as

$$F(v) = \langle g, v \rangle_{H_0^1} = \int g v + g' v'$$

in general $g \neq f$

$$\text{Who is } g? \rightarrow \int -g''v + gv = \int fv + tv$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} -g'' + g = f \\ g(0) = g(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} g \text{ is ws of} \\ \text{HS Dini det bc.} \end{matrix}$$

Recap: so far $\forall f \in L^2$, $\exists! u$ ws of

$$\int p u' v' + \int \nabla u \cdot v = \int fv \quad \forall v \in H^1_0$$

assuming $\nabla(\lambda) > c > 0$

We can define

$$T: L^2 \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}} H_0^1 \xrightarrow{i} L^2$$

$$f \rightarrow \tilde{T}f = u \text{ sol of wp} \hookrightarrow Tf$$

Prop T linear, continuous, compact, $T = T^*$

Proof lin ✓

continuity: $\| \tilde{T}f \|_{H_0^1}^2 = \langle \tilde{T}f, T\tilde{f} \rangle_{H_0^1}$

$$= \int (\tilde{T}f)' (\tilde{T}f)' + \int (\tilde{T}f) (\tilde{T}f)$$

$p(\lambda) \geq \lambda > 0$
 $\nabla(\lambda) \geq c > 0$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int p(x) (\tilde{T}f)' (\tilde{T}f)' + \frac{1}{c} \int \nabla(\lambda) (\tilde{T}f) (\tilde{T}f)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\min(\lambda, c)} \int p(\tilde{T}f)' (\tilde{T}f)' + \int \nabla (\tilde{T}f) (\tilde{T}f)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{If } \mathbf{f} \text{ sol of wP: } \int p(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f})^\top \mathbf{v} + \int V(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}) \mathbf{v} = \int \mathbf{f} \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H_0^1 \\
 &= \frac{1}{\min(\lambda, c)} \int (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}) \cdot \mathbf{f} \quad \text{Now put } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f} \\
 &\leq C \leq \langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f} \rangle_{L^2} \leq C \| \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f} \|_{L^2} \| \mathbf{f} \|_{L^2} \\
 &\leq C \| \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f} \|_{H_0^1} \| \mathbf{f} \|_{L^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f} \rangle \geq 0 & \forall \mathbf{f} \in L^2 \\ \| \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f} \|_{H_0^1} \leq C \| \mathbf{f} \|_{L^2} \end{cases}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{T}: L^2 \rightarrow H_0^1$ continuous

$\rightsquigarrow T = i \circ T$ continuous $i: H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^2$

compact: $T: L^2 \rightarrow H_0^1 \xrightarrow{i} L^2$ is compact
 \uparrow
compact

$$\underline{T = T^*} \xrightarrow{\text{in } L^2} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f} \text{ solves: } \int p(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f})^\top \mathbf{v} + \int V(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}) \mathbf{v} = \int \mathbf{f} \mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}$$

$$\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{g}:$$

$$\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{g} \rangle = \int p(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f})^\top (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{g})^\top + \int V(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{g})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f} \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle \quad \text{symmetric in } \mathbf{f} \text{ & } \mathbf{g} \\
 & \text{by rel def of } \langle , \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to drop the assumption $V(x) \geq c > 0$

Just take $V \in C^0([0,1])$ and solve

$$(D) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(\rho u')' + Vu = f \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Building on previous case: put $M := 2 \|V\|_\infty$ and consider

$$(D_M) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(\rho u')' + (V + M)u = f \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Now: } V(x) + M =: V_M(x) \geq c > 0$$

Denote by T_M the sol map of (D_M)
 $T_M: L^2([0,1]) \rightarrow L^2([0,1])$ compact & self-adj.

so $T_M f$ is the sol of (D_M) with given f

Go back to (D) : write it as

$$(\tilde{D}_M) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(\rho u')' + Vu + Mu = f + Mu \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

key remark:

u sol of (D) with datum f \Leftrightarrow

u sol of (\tilde{D}_M) with datum $f + Mu$

$$u = Tf = T_M(f + M_u)$$

$$\Rightarrow T_M f = u - M T_M u = (\mathbb{I} - M T_M) u$$

\rightsquigarrow if $(\mathbb{I} - M T_M)$ invertible

$$u = (\mathbb{I} - M T_M)^{-1} \circ T_M f$$

T_M compact \rightsquigarrow apply Fredholm theory:

$$\text{Im}(\mathbb{I} - M T_M) = L^2 \Leftrightarrow \ker(\mathbb{I} - M T_M) = \{0\}$$

Moreover $\text{Im}(\mathbb{I} - M T_M) = \ker(\mathbb{I} - M T_M)^+ \quad (T_M = T_M^*)$

So let's compute $\ker(\mathbb{I} - M T_M)$

$$u \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - M T_M) \Leftrightarrow u = M T_M u \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{M} u = T_M u$$

$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{M} u$ solves (D_M) with given datum u

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{1}{M} (Pw')' + (\sqrt{\lambda} + M) \frac{1}{M} u = u \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -(Pw')' + \sqrt{\lambda} u = 0 \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

homogeneous problem: it might or might not have a solution

By Fredholm Theory:

1st result

$$\forall f \in L^2, \exists! \text{ sol of (D)} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ sol } \neq 0 \text{ of } (*)$$

2nd result

$$(D) \text{ has solution} \Leftrightarrow \langle f, v \rangle \in \mathbb{F} \text{ v sol of (*)}$$

Indeed : from $(I - M T_M) u = T_M f$

$$(D) \text{ has solution} \Leftrightarrow T_M f \in \ker(I - M T_M)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow T_M f \perp \ker(I - M T_M)$$

i.e. $\forall v \in \ker(I - M T_M)$, we have $v = M T_M v$

$$0 = \langle T_M f, v \rangle = \langle f, T_M v \rangle = \sum_M \langle f, v \rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f \perp \ker(I - M T_M)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f \perp v \text{ sol of (*)}$$

EXAMPLE 1 $p(x) \equiv 1$, $\sqrt{x} \equiv -x^2$ constant

$$(D) \quad \begin{cases} -u'' - x^2 u = f \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Check the homogeneous problem

(*)

$$u'' + \omega^2 u = 0$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0$$

harmonic oscillator

$$u(x) = A \cos(\omega x) + B \sin(\omega x) : \text{impose BC}$$

Conclusion: $\Rightarrow f \in L^2 \exists ! \text{ sol of (D)} \Leftrightarrow \omega \neq n\pi, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\circ)$ If $\omega = n\pi, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\exists \text{ sol of (D)} \Leftrightarrow \langle f, \sin(n\pi x) \rangle = 0$$

EXAMPLE 2

Consider $V(x) \geq 0$

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho u')' + V u = f \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

again consider hom. problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(\rho u')' + V u = 0 \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Let u a ws of (*), then

$$\int \rho(u')^2 + \int V u^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \int \rho(u')^2 = 0$$

u fulfills BC

$$\Rightarrow u = \text{const} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u = 0$$

So if $V(x) \geq 0$ then $T = (I - M T_M)^{-1} T_M \in \mathcal{L}(L^2)$
 $T = T^*$ and T compact

Conclusion

$f \in L^2, \exists ! u$ weak sol of (D)

•) Return to classical solutions

Actually all weak sol are classical solutions, if $f, v \in C^0$, $\varphi \in C^1$. Indeed consider

$$\begin{cases} -(\varphi u')' + \nabla u = f \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$u \text{ ws} \iff \int \varphi u' v' + \int \nabla u \cdot v = \int fv + \nu \in H^0$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \int \varphi u' v' = - \int (\nabla u - f) v \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty$$

\rightsquigarrow the function $g := \nabla u - f \in L^2$ is the weak derivative of $\varphi u'$: $\int w v' = - \int g v \quad \forall v$

$$\rightsquigarrow \varphi u' \in H^1 \hookrightarrow C^0 \quad \rightsquigarrow u' = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varphi}}_{C^1} \cdot \underbrace{\varphi u'}_{H^1} \in H^1$$

$$\rightsquigarrow u \in H^2$$

Moreover if $f, v \in C^0$,

$$\rightsquigarrow (\varphi u')' = g = \nabla u - f \in C^0 \quad (\text{if } \nabla, f \in C^0)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \varphi u' \in C^1 \quad \rightsquigarrow u' = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varphi}}_{C^1} \cdot \underbrace{\varphi u'}_{C^1} \quad \rightsquigarrow u \in C^2 \Rightarrow u \in C^2$$

Conclusion: $\forall f \in L^2, \exists! u \in H^2$ solving wP

If $v, f \in C^0 \quad \rightsquigarrow u \in C^2$ is classical solution
 $\varphi \in C^1$

Neumann problem

As before, $V \in C^\infty$, $p \in C^1$
 $p(x) \geq c > 0$

$$(N) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(pu')' + Vu = f \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{array} \right. , \quad f \in L^2$$

Weak formulation: if u is a classical sol ($u \in C^2$)
 multiply by $v \in C^\infty$ (not necessarily with comp supp)
 and \int

$$\begin{aligned} - \int (pu')' v + \int Vu v &= \int fv \\ \Rightarrow \int pu' v - \underbrace{(pu'v)|_0^1}_{p(1)u'(1)v(1) - p(0)u'(0)v(0)} + \int Vu f &= \int fv + \int Vu f \end{aligned}$$

Now have information on $u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \Rightarrow$ no constraint on $v(0)$ & $v(1)$

It makes sense to work in H^1 :

Def $v \in H^1$ is a ws for (N) iff.

$$\underbrace{\int pu'v + \int Vu v}_{a(u,v)} = \underbrace{\int fv}_{F(v)} \quad \forall v \in H^1$$

Apply Lax-Milgram: again, if $\nabla(x) \geq c > 0$ we
 have $a : H^1 \times H^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and coercive
 As in the previous case $F : H^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous

$\Rightarrow \forall f \in L^2, \exists! u \in H^1$ sol of wp, provided $\nabla(x) \geq c$

Back to classical solution:

$$\int \varphi u' v' = - \int (\nabla u - f) v \quad \forall v \in H^1$$

$\rightsquigarrow \varphi u'$ has weak derivative $g := \nabla u - f \in L^2$

$$\rightsquigarrow \varphi u' \in H^1 \quad \rightsquigarrow u' = \underbrace{\perp}_{\in C^1} \cdot \underbrace{\varphi u'}_{\in H^1} \in H^1$$

$\rightsquigarrow u' \in C^0$ (so it makes sense $u'(0)$ and $u'(1)$)

$$\rightsquigarrow u \in H^2$$

$$(WP) \Rightarrow \int \left(-(\varphi u')' + \nabla u - f \right) v - \underbrace{\varphi u' v \Big|_1}_{\varphi(1)u'(1)v(1) - \varphi(0)u'(0)v(0)} = \forall v \in H^1$$

$$\text{take } v \in C_c^\infty \Rightarrow \int \left(-(\varphi u')' + \nabla u - f \right) v = \forall v \in C_c^\infty$$

$$\rightsquigarrow -(\varphi u')' + \nabla u - f = 0 \text{ a.e. } (\dagger)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \varphi(1)u'(1)v(1) = \varphi(0)u'(0)v(0) \quad \forall v \in H^1$$

As $v(0)$ & $v(1)$ arbitrary $\Rightarrow u'(1) = 0 = u'(0)$

If $f \in C^0$:

$$\text{Back to } (\dagger) : \rightsquigarrow -(\varphi u')' = \underbrace{f - \nabla u}_{\in C^0}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow -\varphi u' \in C^1$$

$$\rightsquigarrow u' = \frac{1}{\varphi} \varphi u' \in C^1 \quad \rightsquigarrow u \in C^2$$

Conclusion: $\forall f \in L^2, \exists! u \in H^2$ solving WP

If $f \in C^0 \Rightarrow u \in C^2$ is classical solution

What about general $\nabla(x)$ (not $\nabla(x) \geq c > 0$)?

Proceed as in the previous case:

$$(N_M) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(Pw^1)' + (\nabla + M) w = f \\ w(0) = w'(1) = 0 \end{array} \right. , \text{ with } \underbrace{\nabla + M \geq c > 0}_{\text{coercive}}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \forall f \in L^2, \exists! u_M$ sol of $(N_M) : v_M = T_M(f)$

u solves (N) $\iff u = T_M(f + Mu)$

$$\iff (\mathbb{I} - M T_M)u = T_M f$$

(as in the previous case)

$\iff \langle f, v \rangle = \forall v$ sol of
homogeneous problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(Pw^1)' + \nabla v = 0 \\ v(0) = 0 = v'(1) \end{array} \right.$$

Exercise: fills the details!

What about homogeneous problem?

Easy case: $\nabla = 0$

$$(N_2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -(Pw^1)' = f \\ w(0) = 0 = w'(1) \end{array} \right. \text{ has sol } \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -Pv' = 0 \\ v(0) = v'(1) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

So, if v is ws of hom prob: $\int p(v')^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$

$\rightsquigarrow v' = \text{a.e.} \rightsquigarrow v = \text{const}$

We need: $\int f \cdot c = \forall c \text{ constant} \rightsquigarrow \int f = 0$

Spectral analysis

Study the problem

$$(D_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} -(pu')' + Vu = \lambda u \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Assume $V(x) \geq 0$ (otherwise replace $V \rightsquigarrow V + M$)
 $\lambda \rightsquigarrow \lambda + M$

u sol of (D_λ) $\Leftrightarrow T(\lambda u) = u$

$$\Leftrightarrow Tu = \frac{1}{\lambda} u$$

$\Leftrightarrow u$ eigenfunction of T
 with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{\lambda}$

From spectral thm for compact self-adjoint ops

$\exists \{e_k\}_k$ on basis of $L^2([0,1])$ so let

$$Te_k = \mu_k e_k, \quad \mu_k \text{ are real}$$

Moreover:

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle = \int Tu \cdot u = \int p(Tu)^2 + \int V(Tu)^2 \geq 0$$

Tu weak sol with given cond. u

$$\int p(Tu)^2 v + \int V(Tu) v = \int u v \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{H}$$

put $v = Tu$

$\Rightarrow \langle Tu, u \rangle \geq 0$ if not \Rightarrow eigenvalues are ≥ 0

Moreover $\ker T = \{0\}$: indeed by Fredholm

(D) has 1! sol \Leftrightarrow the homg. problem $\begin{cases} -(pu')' + Vu = 0 \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$ has only the trivial sol.

But if $V(x) \geq 0$ this is true: $\Rightarrow Tf = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \dots > 0$$

$\rightarrow \{\mathbf{e}_n\}_n$ on basis of eigenvectors with

$$T \vec{e}_n = \mu_n \vec{e}_n$$

So we have proved

Thm take $p \in C^1$, $p > q > 0$, $V \in C^0$, $V \geq 0$.
 Then \exists seq $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of real numbers and
 Hilbert base $(\mathbf{e}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $L^2([0, 1])$ st

$\vec{e}_n \in C^2$ $\forall n$ and

$$\begin{cases} - (p \mathbf{e}_n')' + V \mathbf{e}_n = \lambda_n \mathbf{e}_n \\ \mathbf{e}_n(0) = \mathbf{e}_n(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$

proof Just put $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu_n} \rightarrow +\infty$ and note

that $\vec{e}_n \in H_0^1 \hookrightarrow C^0$

and $-(P e_n)' = \lambda e_n - \nabla e_n \in C^0$

$\Rightarrow e_n' \in C^2$

(5)

EXAMPLE: $\begin{cases} -u'' = \lambda u \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$

we know there are non trivial solutions only for

$\lambda_n = n^2\pi^2$, and $u_n(x) = \sin(n\pi x)$ is only solution
 $\Rightarrow \{u_n(x)\} = \{\sqrt{n}\sin(n\pi x)\}$ orthonormal basis

Problems on the line

So far we used in a crucial way that the problem is on $[0,1]$ \Rightarrow this gives T is compact, as $H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^2$ is compact.

If we extend $[0,1]$ to \mathbb{R} the compactness is lost.

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} uv + \int_{\mathbb{R}} uv$$

$H^1(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}); \exists u' \text{ weak derivative in } L^2\}$

FACT a) $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) = \{u \in L^2; \hat{u}(\xi) (1+|\xi|), \in L^2\}$

In fact: $u \in L^2 \Leftrightarrow \hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} u(x) dx \in L^2$

$u \in L^2 \Leftrightarrow \hat{u}(\xi) \in L^2$

b) $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow u(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } |x| \rightarrow +\infty$

In fact: $u(x) = \int e^{-ix\xi} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow 0 \quad x \rightarrow +\infty$

$\hat{u} \in L^2: \int |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 \leq \left(\int \frac{1}{1+\xi^2}\right)^{1/2} \int (1+\xi^2) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 < +\infty$

Riemann
Lebesgue

$\therefore H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact

Indeed take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, put

$$\varphi_n(x) = \varphi(x-n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad , \quad \|\varphi_n\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'_n(x)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n(x)|^2 = \|\varphi\|_{H^1}^2$$

If $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ was compact, we would have

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi_\infty \text{ in } L^2$$

But $\varphi_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ pointwise, whereas $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^2} = c$

So consider the problem on the line

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = f \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

weak formulation: u classical sol, multiply by $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ getting

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u' v' + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u v = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f v \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

It makes sense $\forall u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$(\underline{\text{WP}}) \quad u \in H^1 \text{ sol of } \underbrace{\int u' v' + \int u v}_{\langle u, v \rangle_{H^1}} = \underbrace{\int f v}_{F(v)} \quad \forall v \in H^1 \quad F(v): H^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous}$$

(1) \exists weak solution: by Riesz theorem

$$\forall f \in L^2, \exists ! u \in H^1: \langle u, v \rangle_{H^1} = F(v) \quad \forall v \in H^1$$

(2) $T: f \mapsto Tf = u$ solution of (WP)

T linear, bd, not compact, $T = T^*$

(3) What about $\sigma(T)$? Recall that Fourier transform

is isometry of L^2 : $\|\hat{u}\|_2 = \|u\|_2$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-u'') = \xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi)$$

Take $-u'' + u = f$ and Fourier transform it

$$\xi^2 \hat{u} + \hat{u} = \hat{f} \quad \Rightarrow \hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{1 + \xi^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow u(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{\xi^2 + 1} d\xi$$

$$\text{Then } T = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \hat{T} \mathcal{F}, \quad \hat{T} \hat{f} = \frac{1}{\xi^2 + 1} \hat{f}(\xi) \text{ on } L^2$$

(multiplication operator)

$$\sigma(M) = \overline{\text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{\xi^2 + 1} \right)} = [0, 1] = \sigma_c(T)$$

□