Car and Parrinello meet Green and Kubo

simulating atomic heat transport from equilibrium ab-initio molecular dynamics

Stefano Baroni

Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, Trieste

talk given at the Department of Materials, University of Oxford, February 24, 2017 talk given at the Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, February 23, 2017 talk given at the Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, February 20, 2017

what heat transport is all about

S T_2 T_1 l 1 dQ $_{\kappa} \left(\mathsf{T}_2 - \mathsf{T}_1 \right)$ κ $\overline{\mathcal{S}} \, \overline{dt}$ ℓ $\kappa
abla \mathbf{T}$ $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ $\frac{\kappa}{\Delta \mathsf{T}}$ $\partial \mathsf{T}$ ∂t $= \frac{1}{\rho c_p}$

why should we care?

energy saving



heat dissipation







heat shielding



energy conversion



planetary sciences









why heat transport

- energy saving and heat dissipation
- heat shielding
- energy conversion
- earth and planetary sciences
- ... sheer curiosity ...

hydrodynamic fluctuations

Extensive, conserved, quantities are characterised by two properties:

$$A[\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2] = A[\Omega_1] + A[\Omega_2] \Rightarrow A[\Omega] = \int_{\Omega} a(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial a(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_a(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
$$\frac{\partial \tilde{a}(\mathbf{k}, t)}{\partial t} = -i\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{k}, t)$$

the longer the wavelength, the slower the mode

Green-Kubo theory

$$\dot{e}(\mathbf{r},t) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_e(\mathbf{r},t) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{j}_e(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{j}_q(\mathbf{r},t) - (p + \langle e \rangle) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$$

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{3Vk_BT^2} \int_0^\infty \langle \mathbf{J}_q(t) \cdot \mathbf{J}_q(0) \rangle dt$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{q}(t) = \int \mathbf{j}_{e}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$$
$$= \int \mathbf{r} \, \dot{e}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$$

the classical MD ansatz

$$e(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{I} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{I}(\mathbf{t})) \epsilon_{I}(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{t}), \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{t}))$$

$$\epsilon_{I}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{2}M_{I}\mathbf{V}_{I}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\neq I}v(|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|)$$

 $\mathbf{J}_{e} = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J})$

ab initio simulations

PRL 104, 208501 (2010)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 21 MAY 2010

Thermal Conductivity of Periclase (MgO) from First Principles

Stephen Stackhouse*

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109-1005, USA

Lars Stixrude[†]

Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

Bijaya B. Karki[‡]

Department of Computer Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA and Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

sensitive to the form of the potential. The widely used Green-Kubo relation [14] does not serve our purposes, because in first-principles calculations it is impossible to uniquely decompose the total energy into individual contributions from each atom.

What I cannot reate, I to not understand. Why const × sort .PC TO LEARN. Bethe Aments Probs $A \sim \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}[x(\cdot)]} \mathcal{D}[x(\cdot)] \int dx$ I can safely say that nobody understands quantum mechanics = UYK, a)= 4(+ Z) U(1.7) +=21 K.a (U.a) 2

compute



$$E = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V})$$
$$= \text{cnst}$$

$$\epsilon_I(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{2} M_I \mathbf{V}_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \neq I} v(|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|)$$

 $\mathbf{J}_{e} = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J})$

$$\sum_{I} \epsilon_{I}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V}) = \text{cnst}$$
$$\epsilon_{I}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{2}M_{I}\mathbf{V}_{I}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{J \neq I}v(|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|)(1 + \Gamma_{IJ})$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{e} &= \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \Gamma_{IJ} \left[\mathbf{V}_{I} v (|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|) + (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{I}) \right] \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{e} &= \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \Gamma_{IJ} \left[\mathbf{V}_{I} v (|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|) + (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{I}) \right] \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{e} &= \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \Gamma_{IJ} \left[\mathbf{V}_{I} v (|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|) + (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{I}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

 $\dot{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{I \neq J} \Gamma_{IJ} v(|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|) (\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_I)$

$$\mathbf{J}' = \mathbf{J} + \dot{\mathbf{P}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{J}'(t) \cdot \mathbf{J}'(0) \rangle dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{J}(t) \cdot \mathbf{J}(0) \rangle dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} (\langle \mathbf{P} \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{0} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{P} (-\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{0} \rangle) \rangle dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{P} \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{0} \rangle dt$$

$$+ \langle \mathbf{P} (-\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{0} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{P} (-\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{0} \rangle) \rangle$$

$$+ \langle \mathbf{P} (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{0} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{P} (-\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{0} \rangle) \rangle$$



gauge invariance

Any two energy densities that differ by the divergence of a (bounded) vector field are physically equivalent: $\mathcal{E}^{[\Omega]} = \mathcal{E}^{[\Omega]} + \mathcal{O}[\partial\Omega]$

The corresponding energy fluxes differ by a total time derivative, and the heat transport coefficients coincide. J'(t) = J(t) + P(t)

density-functional theory

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}_{DFT} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} M_{I} \mathsf{V}_{I}^{2} + \frac{\mathsf{e}^{2}}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{\mathsf{Z}_{I} \mathsf{Z}_{J}}{\mathsf{R}_{IJ}} \\ &+ \sum_{v} \epsilon_{v} - \frac{1}{2} \mathsf{E}_{H} + \int \left(\epsilon_{XC}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu_{XC}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \end{split}$$

the DFT energy density



the DFT energy current $\mathbf{J}_{DFT} = \int \mathbf{r} \dot{e}_{DFT}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$ $= \mathbf{J}_{KS} + \mathbf{J}_{H} + \mathbf{J}_{0}' + \mathbf{J}_{0} + \mathbf{J}_{XC}$ $\mathbf{J}_{KS} = \sum \left(\langle \varphi_v | \mathbf{r} \hat{H}_{KS} | \dot{\varphi}_v \rangle + \varepsilon_v \langle \dot{\varphi}_v | \mathbf{r} | \varphi_v \rangle \right)$ $\mathbf{J}_{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \dot{v}_{H}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla v_{H}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$ $\mathbf{J}_{0}^{\prime} = \sum \left\langle \varphi_{v} \left| \left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{I} \right) \left(\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \nabla_{I} \hat{v}_{0} \right) \right| \varphi_{v} \right\rangle$ $\mathbf{J}_0 = \sum_{I} \left[\mathbf{V}_I e_I^0 + \sum_{L \neq I} (\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_L) \left(\mathbf{V}_L \cdot \nabla_L w_I \right) \right]$ $\mathbf{J}_{XC} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{(LDA)} \\ -\int \rho(\mathbf{r})\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r})\partial\epsilon_{GGA}(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} & \text{(GGA)} \end{cases}$

the DFT energy current $\mathbf{J}_{DFT} = \int \mathbf{r} \dot{e}_{DFT}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$ $= \mathbf{J}_{KS} + \mathbf{J}_{H} + \mathbf{J}_{0}' + \mathbf{J}_{0} + \mathbf{J}_{XC}$ $\mathbf{J}_{KS} = \sum \left(\langle \varphi_{v} | \mathbf{r} \hat{H}_{KS} | \dot{\varphi}_{v} \rangle + \varepsilon_{v} \langle \dot{\varphi}_{v} | \mathbf{r} | \varphi_{v} \rangle \right)$ $\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{v}_H(\mathbf{r}) \nabla v_H(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$ • $|\dot{arphi}_v angle$ and $\hat{H}_{KS}|\dot{arphi}_v angle$ orthogonal to the occupied-state manifold • $\hat{P}_c \mathbf{r} | \varphi_v \rangle$ computed from standard DFPT \mathcal{O}_I

 $\int \rho(\mathbf{r})\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r})\partial\epsilon_{GGA}(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r}$ (LDA (GGA)

a benchmark



108 "LDA Ar" atoms @bp density, T= 250 K

100 ps CP trajectory100 ps classical FF trajectory1 ns classical FF trajectory

same behavior at T=400 K

liquid (heavy) water



liquid (heavy) water



liquid (heavy) water



64 molecules, T=385 K expt density @ac

$$\frac{1}{3Vk_BT^2}\int_0^t \langle \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{t}')\cdot\mathbf{J}(0)\rangle d\mathbf{t}'$$

 $\kappa_{
m DFT} = 0.74 \pm 0.12 \ {
m W/(mK)}$ $\kappa_{
m expt} = 0.61 \quad ({
m light@AC})$

 $\kappa_{\text{DFT}} = 0.60$ (heavy@AC)

$$\frac{1}{6Vk_BT^2} \left\langle \left| \int_0^{\mathsf{t}} \mathsf{J}(\mathsf{t}')d\mathsf{t}' \right|^2 \right\rangle$$

Einstein vs. Green-Kubo



Einstein vs. Green-Kubo

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{GK}(T) &= \int_{0}^{T} \langle \mathbf{v}(t) \mathbf{v}(0) \rangle dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{v}(t) \mathbf{v}(0) \rangle \Theta_{T}(t) dt \\ &\int_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{T}(t) = 1 - \frac{t}{T} \end{split}$$

$$\kappa_{\rm E}({\rm T}) = \frac{1}{2{\rm T}} \left\langle \left| \int_0^{\rm T} {\rm v}({\rm t}) {\rm d}{\rm t} \right|^2 \right\rangle$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \langle {\rm v}({\rm t}) {\rm v}(0) \rangle \Lambda_{\rm T}({\rm t}) {\rm d}{\rm t}$$

Einstein vs. Green-Kubo

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{GK}(\mathsf{T}) &= \int_{0}^{\mathsf{T}} \langle \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{t}) \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{0}) \rangle \mathsf{d} \mathsf{t} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{t}) \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{0}) \rangle \Theta_{\mathsf{T}}(\mathsf{t}) \mathsf{d} \mathsf{t} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathsf{C}}_{\mathsf{v}}(\omega) \tilde{\Theta}_{\mathsf{T}}(\omega) \frac{\mathsf{d}\omega}{2\pi} \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \kappa_{\rm E}({\rm T}) &= \frac{1}{2{\rm T}} \left\langle \left| \int_0^{{\rm T}} {\rm v}({\rm t}) d{\rm t} \right|^2 \right\rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty \langle {\rm v}({\rm t}) {\rm v}(0) \rangle \Lambda_{\rm T}({\rm t}) d{\rm t} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \tilde{C}_{\rm v}(\omega) \tilde{\Lambda}_{\rm T}(\omega) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \end{split}$$



summary

heat currents are intrinsically ill-defined at the atomic scale;

- energy conservation and extensivity make heat-transport coefficients independent of such an indeterminacy;
- this gauge invariance of thermal transport makes it possible to compute thermal transport coefficients from DFT using equilibrium AIMD and the Green-Kubo formalism;
- Einstein's relation is less sensitive to finite-frequency features of the spectrum than straight Green-Kubo;
 - The statistical theory of time series can be leveraged to significantly improve the accuracy of the transport coefficients estimated from MD (in progress).



the computer is a tool for clear thinking Freeman J. Dyson

thanks to:

Loris Ercole, SISSA







Aris Marcolongo, SISSA, now @EPFL

Paolo Umari, University of Padua

thanks to:







these slides shortly at http://talks.baroni.me

That's all Folks/