

gauge invariance of heat and charge transport coefficients in electronic insulators

Stefano Baroni Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati Trieste — Italy

MARVEL distinguished lecture given at the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne and broadcasted from home, November 17, 2020



serious answers to silly questions

determine it do not?



how is it that the heat conductivity is well defined, when the energy current that determines it is not?

how is it that the electric conductivity of non-ionic fluids vanishes, when the current fluctuations that

body flow from high- to low-density regions so as to maximise entropy



S



conserved extensive quantities (energy, charge, mass, ...) in a macroscopic

the linear-response theory of transport

energy transport

charge transport

 $\alpha = -\frac{\phi}{T}$ $J_{Q} = \sigma E$



 $\mathbf{J} = \lambda \nabla \alpha$

 $\alpha = \frac{1}{T}$ $J_{\mathcal{E}} = -\kappa \nabla T$

the linear-response theory of transport





 $\mathbf{J} = \lambda \nabla \alpha$ $\langle \mathbf{J}(t)\mathbf{J}(0)\rangle$ $\lambda = \frac{\Omega}{k_B T} \int_0^\infty \langle J(t) J(0) \rangle dt$ $\langle \mathbf{J}(t')\mathbf{J}(0)
angle dt'$ $\langle J^2
angle au$ 0 $\lambda = \frac{\Omega}{2k_BT} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \operatorname{var} \left[\int_0^t J(t') dt' \right]$ /1 ct $\mathbf{J}(t')dt'$ $\frac{1}{2}$







classical and quantum adiabatic heat transport



PRL 104, 208501 (2010)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERSweek ending
21 MAY 2010

Thermal Conductivity of Periclase (MgO) from First Principles

Stephen Stackhouse* Department of Geological Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109-1005, USA

Lars Stixrude[†] Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

Bijaya B. Karki[‡] Department of Computer Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA and Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

sensitive to the form of the potential. The widely used Green-Kubo relation [14] does not serve our purposes, because in first-principles calculations it is impossible to uniquely decompose the total energy into individual contributions from each atom.





how come?



how is it that a formally exact theory of the electronic ground state cannot predict *all* measurable adiabatic properties?



 $\mathbf{J}_{e} = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J})$



 $E = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V})$

= cnst

 $\epsilon_I(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{2}M_IV_I^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{I \in I}v(|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|)$

$$\epsilon_I(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{2}M_I V_I^2 + \frac{1}{2}M_I V_$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{e} = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I})$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \Gamma_{IJ} [\mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I}] \mathbf{V}_{I}$$



 $E = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{V})$

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \neq I} v(|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|)(1 + \Gamma_{IJ})$

 $(\mathbf{r}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ})(\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J})$

 $\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J |) + (\mathbf{V}_I \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ})(\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_I)]$

$$\mathbf{J}_{e} = \sum_{I} \epsilon_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \Gamma_{IJ} [\mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I}] \mathbf{R}$$



 $(\mathbf{r}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ})(\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J})$

$(\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J) + (\mathbf{V}_I \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ})(\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_I)$



 $\mathbf{J}_e = \sum_{I} \epsilon_I \mathbf{V}_I + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} (\mathbf{V}_I \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J)$ $+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{I,J}\left[\mathbf{V}_{I}v(|\mathbf{R}_{I}-\mathbf{R}_{J}|)+(\mathbf{V}_{I}\cdot\mathbf{F}_{IJ})(\mathbf{R}_{I}-\mathbf{R}_{I})\right]$

 $\dot{\mathbf{P}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \frac{\mathrm{I}}{4} \sum_{I \neq J} \Gamma_{IJ} v(|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|)(\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_I)$



 $\kappa \sim \frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{var}[\mathbf{D}(t)]$

 $\operatorname{var}[\mathbf{D}'(t)] = \operatorname{var}[\mathbf{D}(t)] + \operatorname{var}[\mathbf{$ $\mathcal{O}(t)$



 $\mathbf{J}' = \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{P}$

$$\mathbf{D}(t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{J}(t') dt'$$

D'(t) = D(t) + P(t) - P(0)

$$\operatorname{Par}[\Delta P(t)] + 2\operatorname{cov}[D(t) \cdot \Delta P(t)]$$

 $\mathcal{O}(1) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})$













$\mathbf{D}(t) = \mathbf{D}'(t) + \mathbf{P}(t) - \mathbf{P}(0)$

gauge invariance of transport coefficients



extensivity

 $\mathcal{E}[\Omega]$

thermodynamic invariance

 $\mathcal{E}'[\Omega]$

 $e'(\mathbf{r})$

 $\dot{e}(\mathbf{r}, t)$

gauge invariance $\mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{r}, t)$

conservation



 $\mathsf{E}[\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2] = \mathsf{E}[\Omega_1] + \mathsf{E}[\Omega_2]$

$$= \int_{\Omega} e(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} \qquad \mathbf{J}(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$$
$$= \mathcal{E}[\Omega] + \mathcal{O}[\partial\Omega] \qquad \mathbf{P}(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}$$
$$= e(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r})$$
$$= \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \dot{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \qquad \mathbf{J}'(t) = \mathbf{J}(t) + \dot{\mathbf{P}}(t)$$
$$= -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$$



gauge invariance of transport coefficients

the corresponding conserved fluxes differ by a total time derivative, and the transport coefficients coincide



Microscopic theory and quantum simulation of atomic heat transport

Aris Marcolongo¹, Paolo Umari² and Stefano Baroni^{1*}



any two conserved densities that differ by the divergence of a (bounded) vector field are physically equivalent

ARTICIES





gauge invariance of heat transport



PRL 104, 208501 (2010)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Thermal Conductivity of Periclase (MgO) from First Principles

Stephen Stackhouse* Department of Geological Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109-1005, USA

Lars Stixrude Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

Bijaya B. Karki[‡] Department of Computer Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA and Department of Geology and Geophysics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA

sensitive to the form of the potential. The widely used Green-Kubo relation [14] does not serve our purposes, because in first-principles calculations it is impossible to uniquely decompose the total energy into individual contributions from each atom.



choose *any* local representation of the energy that integrates to the correct value and whose correlations decay at large distance — the conductivity computed from the resulting current will be *independent* of the chosen representation.





 $\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{E}} = \sum e_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum (\mathbf{V}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{IJ}) (\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J})$

week ending 21 MAY 2010



thermal conductivity of liquid water from DFT



breaking news:





$$\kappa_{SCAN} \approx 0.8 \left[\frac{W}{mK}\right]$$

ionic transport





 $\sigma = \frac{\Omega}{3k_BT} \left< |\mathbf{J}|^2 \right> \times \tau_J$

E J

 $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$

 $\mathbf{J} = \sum_{i} q_i \mathbf{v}_i$



NIRTUTE

 $\sigma = \frac{\Omega}{3k_BT} \left< |\mathbf{J}|^2 \right> \times \tau_J$



Ε

 $\mathsf{J}=\sigma\mathsf{E}$

J =???



VIRTUTE

÷

e





 $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$



 $\sigma = \frac{\Omega}{3k_BT} \left< |\mathbf{J}|^2 \right> \times \tau_J$

the conundrum



pure, undissociated H₂O



$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{i} \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i}$$
$$\neq 0$$
$$\sigma = \frac{\Omega}{3k_{B}T} \langle |\mathbf{J}|^{2} \rangle \times \tau_{J}$$
$$= 0$$



the conundrum

PRL 107, 185901 (2011)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Dynamical Screening and Ionic Conductivity in Water from *Ab Initio* Simulations

Martin French,¹ Sebastien Hamel,² and Ronald Redmer¹





week ending 28 OCTOBER 2011

"Interestingly, the use of predefined constant charges can yield the same conductivity as is found with the fully time-dependent charge tensors, but only if they have values of $Z_{H}=1$ and $Z_{O}=-2$."

atomic

"oxidation states"

how come?



gauge invariance of charge transport



 $\operatorname{var}[\mu_{AB}] = \operatorname{var}[\mu_{AA'}] +$ $\mathcal{O}(t)$





$$\sigma \propto \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) \operatorname{var} \left[\mu_{AB}(t) \right]$$
$$\mu_{AB}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} J(t') dt'$$

$$var[\mu_{A'B}] + 2 cov[\mu_{AA'} \cdot \mu_{A'B}]$$

$$\mathcal{O}(1) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$n_{\infty} \frac{1}{2t} \left< |\mu_{AA'}|^2 \right>$$

gauge invariance of charge transport



D.J. Thouless, *Quantization of particle transport*, Phys. Rev. B 27, 2083 (1983)



 $\sigma \propto \lim_{t o \infty} rac{1}{2t} \left< |\mu_{AA'}|^2 \right>$

 $\hat{H}(B) \neq \hat{H}(A)$ $\hat{H}(\mathbf{A}') = \hat{H}(\mathbf{A})$

 $Q(AA') = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_{A}^{A'} d\mu(X)$ $\in \mathbb{Z}$

topological invariants



$$n_2 = 0$$

 $n_1 = 0$





topological invariants



n₂=1

n₁=1



topological invariants



$$n_2 = 1$$

n₁=1

Q(AA') = Q(AA)



$$A') = Q[n_1 = 1, n_2 = 1]$$

a numerical experiment on molten KCI



a topologically non-trivial minimum-energy path connecting two identical configurations of a ionic fluid





a numerical experiment on molten KCI







qx = =-0.000(6); qy = 0.000(2); qz = 1.00(18)

a numerical experiment on molten KCI









the charges transported by K and Cl around z cancel exactly

- All loops can be shrunk to a point without closing the gap (*strong adiabaticity*);
- Any two like atoms can be swapped without closing the gap



atomic oxidation states

 $Q_{\alpha}[\mathcal{C}] = \frac{1}{\ell} \mu_{\alpha}[\mathcal{C}]$

$Q_{\alpha}[\mathcal{C}_{1} \circ \mathcal{C}_{2}] = Q_{\alpha}[\mathcal{C}_{1}] + Q_{\alpha}[\mathcal{C}_{2}]$



currents from atomic oxidation numbers



ARTICLES https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0562-0

Topological quantization and gauge invariance of charge transport in liquid insulators

Federico Grasselli¹ and Stefano Baroni^[],^{2*}





 ${\Omega \atop {}_{\mathrm{B}}} \int^{\infty}$



 $\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{J} = \dot{\mathbf{P}}$





 $\left\langle \left| \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{J}(t') dt' \right|^{2} \right\rangle$

non-stoichiometric melts

 $K_{X}(KCI)_{1-X}$



K₃₃Cl₃₁ x≈0.06











Path

breach of strong adiabaticity





* $\begin{array}{c}\mu \neq \mu\\\mu \neq 0\end{array}$

weakly adiabatic transport



weakly adiabatic transport



PHYSICAL REVIEW X

Oxidation States, Thouless' Pumps, and Nontrivial Ionic Transport in Nonstoichiometric Electrolytes

Paolo Pegolo, Federico Grasselli, and Stefano Baroni Phys. Rev. X **10**, 041031 – Published 12 November 2020



$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\mu} = e \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{J}(t') dt'$$
$$J_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{i\beta} Z_{i\alpha\beta}^{*}(t) v_{i\beta}(t)$$
$$J_{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{i} q_{S(i)} v_{i\alpha}(t) - 2v_{\alpha}^{lp}(t)$$
cross term

strongly adiabatic transport



$$\mu = \mu^*$$

 $\mu = 0$





weakly adiabatic transport



$$\mu \neq \mu^*$$

 $\mu \neq 0$



conclusions

- conserved currents are intrinsically ill-defined at the atomic scale; \bigcirc
- \bigcirc
- \bigcirc
- \bigcirc
- \bigcirc the atomic oxidation states;
- \bigcirc numbers of the ionic species, via the Green-Kubo formula;





conservation and extensiveness make transport coefficients independent of the specific microscopic representation of the conserved densities and currents;

this gauge invariance of transport coefficients makes it possible to compute thermal transport coefficients from DFT using equilibrium AIMD and the Green-Kubo formalism;

in the (quasi-) harmonic approximation, the theory smoothly interpolates between the BTE in crystals and the Allen-Feldman model of heat conduction in glasses;

topological quantisation of charge transport allows one to give a rigorous definition of

gauge invariance and topological quantisation of charge transport make the electric conductivity of stoichiometry ionic conductors depend on the formal oxidation

in non-stoichiometric ionic conductors, the breach of the topological conditions that allow a univocal definition of the atomic oxidation numbers determine a regime where

supported by:











http://www.quantum-espresso.org

NTUM ESPRESSO http://foundation.quantum-espresso.org

http://www.max-centre.eu











Aris Marcolongo



Leyla Isaeva



Federico Grasselli



Loris Ercole



Giuseppe Barbalinardo



Paolo Pegolo



Riccardo Bertossa



Davide Donadio



Davide Tisi

Microscopic theory and quantum simulation of atomic heat transport

Aris Marcolongo¹, Paolo Umari² and Stefano Baroni^{1*}

nature physics

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0562-0

Topological quantization and gauge invariance of charge transport in liquid insulators

Federico Grasselli¹ and Stefano Baroni^{1,2*}



ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11572-4 **OPEN** Modeling heat transport in crystals and glasses from a unified lattice-dynamical approach

Leyla Isaeva¹, Giuseppe Barbalinardo², Davide Donadio² & Stefano Baroni (1)^{1,3}

PHYSICAL REVIEW X

Oxidation States, Thouless' Pumps, and Nontrivial Ionic Transport in Nonstoichiometric Electrolytes

Paolo Pegolo, Federico Grasselli, and Stefano Baroni Phys. Rev. X 10, 041031 – Published 12 November 2020











