Hartree-Fock



Adiabatic approximation

Independent electrons in an effective potential

Hartree-Fock

Density Functional Theory

MBPT - GW





Hartree-Fock





Douglas Vladimir Aleksandrovich









Variational formulation of Quantum Mechanics

given
$$\mathcal{H} \Phi = E \Phi$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{define} & \mathcal{F}[\Phi] = \frac{\langle \Phi | \mathcal{H} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} & \mbox{on the <u>physically acceptable} \\ \mbox{wavefunctions of} & N & \mbox{electrons} \end{array} \end{array}$ </u>

1) $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ is an eigenstate of the problem with eigenvalue E

2) $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ makes stationary the functional \mathcal{F} with $E = \mathcal{F}[\Phi]$

1) and 2) are equivalent



Variational formulation of Quantum Mechanics (1) => 2) $\mathcal{H} \Phi_{\nu} = E_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}, \text{ with } \langle \Phi_{\mu} | \Phi_{\nu} \rangle = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ $\Phi_{\nu} \to \Phi_{\nu} + \delta \Phi_{\nu} = (1+\gamma)(\Phi_{\nu} + \Delta \Phi_{\nu}), \text{ with } \langle \Delta \Phi_{\nu} | \Phi_{\nu} \rangle = 0$ $\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\nu} + \delta \Phi_{\nu}] = \frac{\langle \Phi_{\nu} + \Delta \Phi_{\nu} | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_{\nu} + \Delta \Phi_{\nu} \rangle}{\langle \Phi_{\nu} + \Delta \Phi_{\nu} | \Phi_{\nu} + \Delta \Phi_{\nu} \rangle}$ $= \frac{E_{\nu} + \langle \Delta \Phi_{\nu} | \mathcal{H} | \Phi_{\nu} \rangle + c.c. + \mathcal{O}(|\Delta \Phi_{\nu}|^2)}{1 + \langle \Delta \Phi_{\nu} | \Phi_{\nu} \rangle + c.c. + \mathcal{O}(|\Delta \Phi_{\nu}|^2)}$ $= E_{\nu} + \mathcal{O}(|\Delta \Phi_{\nu}|^2)$

hence

$$\delta \mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\nu}] = 0, \text{ with } \mathcal{F}[\Phi_{\nu}] = E_{\nu}$$



Variational formulation of Quantum Mechanics

Similarly it can be shown that 2 = 1



in particular it can be shown that

Variational Principle of Quantum Mechanics

$$\mathcal{F}[\Phi] = rac{\langle \Phi | \mathcal{H} | \Phi
angle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi
angle}$$

is stationary on the eigenstates of the QM problem and has its only minimum when Φ is the Ground State

$$\Phi = \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{H} \Phi_{\nu} = E_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}, \quad E_0 < E_1 < E_2 < \dots$$

$$\mathcal{F}[\Phi] = \frac{\sum_{\nu} |c_{\nu}|^2 E_{\nu}}{\sum_{\nu} |c_{\nu}|^2} = E_0 + \frac{\sum_{\nu} |c_{\nu}|^2 (E_{\nu} - E_0)}{\sum_{\nu} |c_{\nu}|^2}$$

>0 unless $c_{\nu} = 0 \quad \forall \nu \neq 0$



Variational Method to Approximate the GS of Quantum Mechanical Systems

Seek the minimum of $\mathcal{F}[\Phi] = \frac{\langle \Phi | \mathcal{H} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle}$ on a set \mathcal{Q} of

physically acceptable trial wavefunctions.

The wavefunction corresponding to the minimum in \mathcal{Q} is the variational best solution and the value of $\mathcal{F}[\Phi_{min}]$ is the corresponding variational GS energy

$$\min_{\Phi \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{\langle \Phi | \mathcal{H} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \ge E_0$$

If $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{Q}$ the exact solution can be obtained. usually it is not the case and Φ_{min} is an approximation

 \mathcal{O}

Back to the Electronic Problem

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{p_i^2}{2m_e} + v(r_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} \frac{e^2}{|r_i - r_j|} + E_{ion}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h(r_i, p_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} w(r_i, r_j)$$

Electrons are all alike and the Hamiltonian is invariant w.r.t. permutations of the particles

The *mathematical* Hilbert space of N particles can be decomposed in *invariant subspaces* under the group of particle permutations.



Back to the Electronic Problem

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{p_i^2}{2m_e} + v(r_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} \frac{e^2}{|r_i - r_j|} + E_{ion}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h(r_i, p_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} w(r_i, r_j)$$

Electrons are all alike and the Hamiltonian is invariant w.r.t. permutations of the particles

The *mathematical* Hilbert space of N particles can be decomposed in a fermionic subspace, a bosonic one and a reminder.

 $\mathcal{H}_N = \mathcal{F}_N \oplus \mathcal{B}_N \oplus \mathcal{R}_N egin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}_N : ext{ antisymm. wfcs.} \ \mathcal{B}_N : ext{ symm. wfcs.} \end{array}$



Back to the Electronic Problem

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{p_i^2}{2m_e} + v(r_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} \frac{e^2}{|r_i - r_j|} + E_{ion}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h(r_i, p_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} w(r_i, r_j)$$

Electrons are **fermions** => totally antisymmetric wfcs. only wfcs in \mathcal{F}_N are physically acceptable

for any $\mathcal{P}(1, 2, ..., N) \longrightarrow (p_1, p_2, ..., p_N)$

 $\mathcal{P}\Phi(r_1, r_2, ..., r_N) \longrightarrow \Phi(r_{p_1}, r_{p_2}, ..., r_{p_N}) = (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})}\Phi(r_1, r_2, ..., r_N)$



The Antisymmetrizer



 $\begin{array}{ll} P \ \mbox{is a projector iff} & P = P^{\dagger}, \ P^2 = P \ \Rightarrow \ P\psi \!=\! \alpha\psi, \ \alpha = 0,1 \\ & P \ \mbox{projects on the subspace of} & \alpha \!=\! 1 \end{array}$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}\mathcal{A} \text{ projects on } \mathcal{F}_N \text{,} \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}\mathcal{S} \text{ projects on } \mathcal{B}_N$$
$$\mathcal{A}^2 = \sqrt{N!}\mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}$$

Stater determinants

N-particle product wavefunctions (Hartree products)

$$\Phi^{\{H\}}(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_1(r_1)\phi_2(r_2)...\phi_N(r_N)$$

can form a basis for the *mathematical* Hilbert space, but ...



Stater determinants

N-particle product wavefunctions (Hartree products)

$$\Phi^{\{H\}}(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_1(r_1)\phi_2(r_2)...\phi_N(r_N)$$

do not belong to the Fermionic subspace;

enters

John C. Slater

Received his PhD in physics from Harvard University in 1923. He then studied at Cambridge and Copenhagen, and returned to Harvard in 1925. From 1930 to 1966, Slater was a professor of physics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology





Stater determinants

N-particle product wavefunctions (Hartree products)

$$\Phi^{\{H\}}(\mathbf{r}) = \phi_1(r_1)\phi_2(r_2)...\phi_N(r_N)$$

do not belong to the Fermionic subspace; are not acceptable

$$\Phi^{\{S\}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{A}\Phi^{\{H\}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \phi_1(r_{p_1}) \phi_2(r_{p_2}) \dots \phi_N(r_{p_N})$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \phi_{p_1}(r_1) \phi_{p_2}(r_2) \dots \phi_{p_N}(r_N)$$
Slater determinants are acceptable Fermionic wfcs
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(r_1) & \phi_2(r_1) & \cdots & \phi_N(r_1) \\ \phi_1(r_2) & \phi_2(r_2) & \cdots & \phi_N(r_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_1(r_N) & \phi_2(r_N) & \cdots & \phi_N(r_N) \end{vmatrix}$$

Hartree-Fock Variational Approximation

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h(r_i, p_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \neq j}}^{N} w(r_i, r_j)$$

seek the variational minimum of the energy expection value among Fermionic wfcs given by a **single Slater determinant**

$$E^{HF} = \min_{\Phi^{\{S\}} = \mathcal{A}[\phi_1 \cdots \phi_N]} \frac{\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | \mathcal{H}_{el} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle}{\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle}$$

The variational parameters are the single particle orbitals



Slater Determinant Normalization

The Slater determinant is normalized if the single-particle orbitals are orthonormal (not a loss of generality)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle &= \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A^{\dagger} A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A^{2} | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{N!} \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \int [\phi_{1}^{*}(r_{1}) \phi_{2}^{*}(r_{2}) \cdots \phi_{N}^{*} | (r_{N})] \times \\ [\phi_{p_{1}}(r_{1}) \phi_{p_{2}}(r_{2}) \cdots \phi_{p_{N}}(r_{N})] dr_{1} dr_{2} \cdots dr_{N} \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \langle \phi_{1} | \phi_{p_{1}} \rangle \langle \phi_{2} | \phi_{p_{2}} \rangle \cdots \langle \phi_{N} | \phi_{p_{N}} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \delta_{1,p_{1}} \delta_{2,p_{2}} \cdots \delta_{N,p_{N}} = 1 \end{split}$$



Slater Determinant Expectation Values For single particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(1)} = \sum o^{(1)}(r_k)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(1)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A^{\dagger} O^{(1)} A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | O^{(1)} A^2 | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle$ $=\sqrt{N!}\langle \Phi^{\{H\}}|O^{(1)}A|\Phi^{\{H\}}\rangle$ $= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \int [\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) \cdots \phi_N^* | (r_N)] \left(\sum_{k=1}^N o^{(1)}(r_k) \right) \times$ $[\phi_{p_1}(r_1)\phi_{p_2}(r_2)\cdots\phi_{p_N}(r_N)] dr_1 dr_2\cdots dr_N$ N! $=\sum\sum(-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})}\langle\phi_1|\phi_{p_1}\rangle\langle\phi_2|\phi_{p_2}\rangle\cdots\langle\phi_k|o^{(1)}|\phi_{p_k}\rangle\cdots\langle\phi_N|\phi_{p_N}\rangle$ $\overline{k=1}$ $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$



Slater Determinant Expectation Values For single particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(1)} = \sum o^{(1)}(r_k)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(1)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A^{\dagger} O^{(1)} A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | O^{(1)} A^2 | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle$ $=\sqrt{N!}\langle \Phi^{\{H\}}|O^{(1)}A|\Phi^{\{H\}}\rangle$ $= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \int [\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) \cdots \phi_N^*|(r_N)] \left(\sum_{k=1}^N o^{(1)}(r_k)\right) \times$ $\left[\phi_{p_1}(r_1)\phi_{p_2}(r_2)\cdots\phi_{p_N}(r_N)\right] dr_1 dr_2\cdots dr_N$ N! $= \sum \sum (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \delta_{1,p_1} \delta_{2,p_2} \cdots \langle \phi_k | o^{(1)} | \phi_{p_k} \rangle \cdots \delta_{N,p_N}$ k=1 \mathcal{P} ${\mathcal P}:$ $p_1 \rightarrow 1, p_2 \rightarrow 2, \cdots, p_k \rightarrow ?, \cdots, p_N \rightarrow N$ $p_k \to k$

Slater Determinant Expectation Values For single particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(1)} = \sum o^{(1)}(r_k)$ k=1 $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(1)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A^{\dagger} O^{(1)} A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | O^{(1)} A^2 | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle$ $=\sqrt{N!}\langle \Phi^{\{H\}}|O^{(1)}A|\Phi^{\{H\}}\rangle$ $= \sum_{k=1}^{N} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \int [\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) \cdots \phi_N^*|(r_N)] \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} o^{(1)}(r_k)\right) \times$ $\left[\phi_{p_1}(r_1)\phi_{p_2}(r_2)\cdots\phi_{p_N}(r_N)\right] dr_1 dr_2\cdots dr_N$ N! $= \sum \sum (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \delta_{1,p_1} \delta_{2,p_2} \cdots \langle \phi_k | o^{(1)} | \phi_{p_k} \rangle \cdots \delta_{N,p_N}$ $k=1 \mathcal{P}$ N $=\sum \langle \phi_k | o^{(1)} | \phi_k \rangle$ k=1

Slater Determinant Expectation Values For single particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} o^{(1)}(r_k)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(1)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \langle \phi_k | o^{(1)} | \phi_k \rangle$

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | n(r) | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \langle \phi_{k} | \delta(r_{k} - r) | \phi_{k} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\phi_{k}(r)|^{2} \\ \langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | V_{ext} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int v_{ext}(r) |\phi_{k}(r)|^{2} dr = \int v_{ext}(r) n(r) dr \\ \langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | T_{e} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \langle \phi_{k} | \frac{p^{2}}{2m} | \phi_{k} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \langle \phi_{k} | - \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \nabla^{2} | \phi_{k} \rangle \end{split}$$

Slater Determinant Expectation Values For 2-particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum o^{(2)}(r_i, r_j)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(2)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A^{\dagger} O^{(2)} A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | O^{(2)} A^2 | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle$ $= \sqrt{N!} \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | O^{(2)} A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle$ $= \sum_{\mathcal{P}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \int [\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) \cdots \phi_N^* | (r_N)] \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N o^{(2)}(r_i, r_j) \right)$ $[\phi_{p_1}(r_1)\phi_{p_2}(r_2)\cdots\phi_{p_N}(r_N)] \stackrel{\sim}{dr_1 dr_2}\cdots dr_N$ $=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})}\delta_{1,p_{1}}\delta_{2,p_{2}}\cdots\langle\phi_{i}\phi_{j}|o^{(2)}|\phi_{p_{i}}\phi_{p_{j}}\rangle\cdots\delta_{N,p_{N}}$ $p_1 \rightarrow 1, p_2 \rightarrow 2, \cdots, p_i \rightarrow ?, \cdots, p_j \rightarrow ?, \cdots p_N \rightarrow N$ $p_i \to i \qquad p_j \to j \qquad (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} = +1$ $p_j \to i \quad (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})}$ $p_i \rightarrow j$

Slater Determinant Expectation Values For 2-particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum o^{(2)}(r_i, r_j)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(2)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | A^{\dagger} O^{(2)} A | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = \langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | O^{(2)} A^2 | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle$ $=\sqrt{N!}\langle \Phi^{\{H\}}|O^{(2)}A|\Phi^{\{H\}}\rangle$ $= \sum_{\mathcal{T}} (-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})} \int [\phi_1^*(r_1) \phi_2^*(r_2) \cdots \phi_N^*|(r_N)] \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N o^{(2)}(r_i, r_j)\right)$ $\left[\phi_{p_1}(r_1)\phi_{p_2}(r_2)\cdots\phi_{p_N}(r_N)\right] \stackrel{\sim}{dr_1} \stackrel{\sim}{dr_2} \cdots dr_N$ $=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(-)^{\varepsilon(\mathcal{P})}\delta_{1,p_1}\delta_{2,p_2}\cdots\langle\phi_i\phi_j|o^{(2)}|\phi_{p_i}\phi_{p_j}\rangle\cdots\delta_{N,p_N}$ $= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_i \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_j \phi_i \rangle \right)$

Slater Determinant Expectation Values For 2-particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} o^{(2)}(r_i, r_j)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(2)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \left(\langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_i \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_j \phi_i \rangle \right)$



Slater Determinant Expectation Values For 2-particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} o^{(2)}(r_i, r_j)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(2)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_i \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_j \phi_i \rangle \right)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | W_{ee} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\langle \phi_i \phi_j | w | \phi_i \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_i \phi_j | w | \phi_j \phi_i \rangle \right)$ $=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int |\phi_i(r)|^2 w(r,r') |\phi_j(r')|^2 dr dr'$ $-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int \phi_{i}^{*}(r)\phi_{j}(r)\,w(r,r')\,\phi_{j}^{*}(r')\phi_{i}(r')\,drdr'$

Slater Determinant Expectation Values For 2-particle operators : $\mathcal{O}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} o^{(2)}(r_i, r_j)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | O^{(2)} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum^{N} \left(\langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_i \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_i \phi_j | o^{(2)} | \phi_j \phi_i \rangle \right)$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | W_{ee} | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\langle \phi_i \phi_j | w | \phi_i \phi_j \rangle - \langle \phi_i \phi_j | w | \phi_j \phi_i \rangle \right)$ $=\frac{1}{2}\int n(r)w(r,r')n(r') - \frac{1}{2}\int |\gamma(r,r')|^2 w(r,r')$

$$n(r) = \sum_{k} |\phi_k(r)|^2, \qquad \gamma(r, r') = \sum_{k} \phi_k(r)\phi_k^*(r')$$

Density and 1-particle Density Matrix of $\Phi^{\{S\}}$



Hartree-Fock Energy Functional

$$E^{HF}[\Phi^{\{S\}}] = \sum_{i} \langle \phi_{i} | -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \nabla^{2} | \phi_{i} \rangle + \int v_{ext}(r)n(r) dr$$
Hartree
energy
EH > 0
Hartree
energy
EH > 0
Exchange
(Fock) energy
Ex < 0

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{N} \int |\phi_{i}(r)|^{2} \frac{e^{2}}{|r-r'|} |\phi_{j}(r')|^{2} dr dr'$$

$$= \sum_{i,j}^{N} \int \phi_{i}^{*}(r)\phi_{j}(r) \frac{e^{2}}{|r-r'|} \phi_{j}^{*}(r')\phi_{i}(r') dr dr'$$
for thogonality conditions

$$-\sum_{i,j}^{N} \Lambda_{i,j} \left(\langle \phi_{i} | \phi_{j} \rangle - \delta_{ij} \right)$$
for the gonality conditions

$$\delta E^{HF}[\Phi^{\{S\}}] = 0$$
 leads to the Hartree-Fock eqs

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + v_{ext}(r) + \sum_{j}^{N} \int |\phi_j(r')|^2 \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} dr' \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(r) \\ - \sum_{j}^{N} \int \phi_j(r) \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} \phi_j^*(r') \phi_i(r') dr' = \sum_{j} \Lambda_{i,j} \phi_j(r) \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} dr'$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + v_{ext}(r) + e^2 \int \frac{n(r')}{|r - r'|} dr' \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(r) \\ -\sum_j^N \int \phi_j(r) \frac{e^2}{|r - r'|} \phi_j^*(r') \phi_i(r') dr' = \sum_j \Lambda_{i,j} \phi_j(r)$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + v_{ext}(r) + e^2 \int \frac{n(r')}{|r-r'|} dr' \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(r) - e^2 \int \frac{\gamma(r,r')}{|r-r'|} \phi_i(r') dr' = \sum_j \Lambda_{i,j} \phi_j(r)$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + v_{ext}(r) + e^2 \int \frac{n(r')}{|r-r'|} dr' \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(r) - e^2 \int \frac{\gamma(r,r')}{|r-r'|} \phi_i(r') dr' = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(r)$$

independent electrons in a self-consistent potential

$$V_{scf}(r) = V_{ext}(r) + e^2 \int \frac{n(r')}{|r - r'|} dr' + \hat{V}_x$$

non-local exchange (Fock) operator





Douglas Hartree and Phyllis Nicolson attending the operation of the Differential Analyzer



Hartree-Fock Energy

$$E_{GS}^{HF} = \min_{\Phi^{\{S\}}} E^{HF}(\Phi^{\{S\}}) \geq E_{GS}$$

$$E_{GS} = E_{GS}^{HF} + E_{corr}, \qquad E_{corr} \le 0$$

Chemist definition of correlation: whatever is beyond HF

Probability to find one particle in r (and one in r') $\langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | \delta(r_1 - r) | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = |\phi_1(r)|^2$ $\langle \Phi^{\{H\}} | \delta(r_1 - r) \delta(r_2 - r') | \Phi^{\{H\}} \rangle = |\phi_1(r)|^2 |\phi_2(r')|^2$

Hartree-Fock Energy

$$E_{GS}^{HF} = \min_{\Phi^{\{S\}}} E^{HF}(\Phi^{\{S\}}) \ge E_{GS}$$

$$E_{GS} = E_{GS}^{HF} + E_{corr}, \qquad E_{corr} \le 0$$

Chemist definition of correlation: whatever is beyond HF

Probability to find one particle in r (and one in r') $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | \delta(r_1 - r) | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = n(r)/N$ $\langle \Phi^{\{S\}} | \delta(r_1 - r) \delta(r_2 - r') | \Phi^{\{S\}} \rangle = \frac{n(r)n(r') - |\gamma(r, r')|^2}{N(N - 1)}$
Local approximations for Vx

independent electrons in a self-consistent potential

$$V_{scf}(r) = V_{ext}(r) + e^2 \int \frac{n(r')}{|r - r'|} dr' + \hat{V}_x$$

non-local exchange (Fock) operator

$$\hat{V}_x \phi_i(r) = -e^2 \int \frac{\gamma(r, r')}{|r - r'|} \phi_i(r') dr'$$

local approximations:

Slater Potential

$$v_x^{SLA}(r) = \frac{1}{n(r)} \sum_i \phi_i^*(r) \hat{V}_x \phi_i(r) \qquad \text{statistical average}$$

Slater, Phys. Rev. 81, 385 (1951); 82, 538 (1951)

Optimized Effective Potential

 $v_x^{OEP}(r)$: The best local potential in a variational sense

Sharp and Horton, Phys. Rev. 90, 317 (1953) Talman and Shadwick, Phys. Rev. A 14, 36 (1976)



Let's talk about spin

$$\phi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{\uparrow}(r) \\ \phi^{\downarrow}(r) \end{pmatrix}$$

If the system is closed-shell single particle orbitals are doubly occupied with $\phi^{\uparrow}(r)=\phi^{\downarrow}(r)$

For open-shell systems one can consider

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Restricted HF} & \phi^{\uparrow}(r) = \phi^{\downarrow}(r) \\ \\ \text{Unrestricted HF} & \phi^{\uparrow}(r) \neq \phi^{\downarrow}(r) \end{array}$



Let's talk about spin

$$\phi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{\uparrow}(r) \\ \phi^{\downarrow}(r) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{often just} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{\uparrow}(r) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi^{\downarrow}(r) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$n(r) = \sum_{i} \langle \phi_i | \delta(\hat{r} - r) | \phi_i \rangle = \sum_{i} \sum_{\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow} |\phi_i^{\sigma}(r)|^2$$

$$E_x = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \int \sum_{\alpha} \phi_i^{\alpha*}(r) \phi_j^{\alpha}(r) \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} \sum_{\beta} \phi_j^{\beta*}(r') \phi_i^{\beta}(r')$$

$$E_x = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{i,j} \int \phi_i^{\sigma*}(r) \phi_j^{\sigma}(r) \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} \phi_j^{\sigma*}(r') \phi_i^{\sigma}(r')$$



Exchange interaction favours parallel spins

Symmetry

The symmetries enjoyed by the MB Hamiltonian are often used to constraint the variational freedom of the single particle orbitals.

For instance if the MB Hamiltonian is spin-independent (non-relativistic without an ext. magnetic filed) the single particle wfcs are taken to be spin eigenstates.

If the system has rotational symmetry (atoms) the single particle wfcs are taken as $\phi_{nlm\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = f_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\hat{r}) \chi_{\sigma}$

and so on ...



Symmetry Dilemma

If the MB Hamiltonian enjoys some symmetry its GS and the other eigenstates do transform according to a representation of the symmetry group.

If the GS is non degenerate (closed shell) *usually* this representation is the totally symmetric one, the HF effective Hamiltonian is also symmetric and the single particle states are symmetry-abiding.

Life is not always so simple.

Broken symmetry solutions may have a better (lover) energy than symmetry-respecting solutions.



Open-shell systems are more complicated.

Hund's Rules

#1 The term with maximum multiplicty lies lowest in energy

#2 For a given multiplicity, the term with the largest value of L lies lowest in in energy.

#3 For atoms with less than halffilled shells, the level with the lowest value of J lies lowest in energy.



Hund's rules assume combination to form S and L, or imply L-S (Russell-Saunders) coupling and presume that the electrons can be considered to be in a unique configuration. Neither is always true. For heavier elements, the "j-j coupling" scheme often gives better agreement with experiment.



Hund's Rules





FIG. 12. Multiplet structures for P and P^+ for Hartree-Fock (HF), $X\alpha$ ($\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$), and local spin-density (LSD) calculations, compared with experiment (after Wood, 1980). The lowest levels are set to a common zero. Wood, J. H., 1980, J. Phys. B 13, 1.



Meaning of Hartree-Fock Eigenvalues

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + v_{ext}(r) + \sum_j^N \int |\phi_j(r')|^2 \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} dr' \end{bmatrix} \phi_i(r) \\ -\sum_j^N |\langle \sigma_i | \sigma_j \rangle|^2 \int \phi_j(r) \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} \phi_j^*(r') \phi_i(r') dr' = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(r) \end{cases}$$

$$h_{i} = \langle \phi_{i} | -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \nabla^{2} + v_{ext} | \phi_{i} \rangle, \quad J_{ij} = \int |\phi_{i}(r)|^{2} \frac{e^{2}}{|r-r'|} |\phi_{j}(r')|^{2},$$
$$K_{ij} = \int \phi_{i}^{*}(r) \phi_{j}(r) \frac{e^{2}}{|r-r'|} \phi_{j}^{*}(r') \phi_{i}(r') |\langle \sigma_{i} | \sigma_{j} \rangle|^{2},$$

$$W_{ij} = J_{ij} - K_{ij}$$

$$\varepsilon_i = h_i + \sum_j W_{ij}$$



Meaning of Hartree-Fock Eigenvalues

$$\varepsilon_{i} = h_{i} + \sum_{j} W_{ij}$$
$$E^{HF} = \sum_{i} h_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} W_{ij} \neq \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i}$$

The HF eigenvalues are NOT single particle contribution to the total energy !

 $\sum \varepsilon_i$

 E^{HF}

$$E^{HF} = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} W_{ij} = \sum_{i} \frac{h_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}}{2}$$

what do they represent then ?



occupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Ionization Potentials* (energy required to remove an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let (N-1, i) be the stationary state of N-1 particles obtained removing electronic orbital i : (1', 2', ..., k')

The Ionization Potential is $IP_i = E(N-1, i) - E_0(N)$

Within HF we have $IP_i \approx E^{HF}(N-1,i) - E_0^{HF}(N)$ $E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk}$ Koopmans': neglect orbital relaxation $E^{HF}(N-1,i) = \sum_{k \neq i} h'_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \neq i} W'_{jk}$

occupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Ionization Potentials* (energy required to remove an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let (N-1, i) be the stationary state of N-1 particles obtained removing electronic orbital i : (1', 2', ..., k')

The Ionization Potential is $IP_i = E(N-1, i) - E_0(N)$

Within HF we have $IP_i \approx E^{HF}(N-1,i) - E_0^{HF}(N)$ $E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk}$ Koopmans': neglect orbital relaxation $\tilde{E}^K (N-1,i) = \sum_{k \neq i} h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \neq i} W_{jk}$

occupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Ionization Potentials* (energy required to remove an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let (N-1, i) be the stationary state of N-1 particles obtained removing electronic orbital i : (1', 2', ..., k')

The Ionization Potential is $IP_i = E(N-1,i) - E_0(N)$

Within HF we have $IP_i \approx E^{HF}(N-1,i) - E_0^{HF}(N)$ $E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk}$ Koopmans': neglect orbital relaxation $\tilde{E}^{K}(N-1,i) = E_0^{HF}(N) - h_i - \sum_j W_{ij}$ ($W_{ii} = 0$)

occupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Ionization Potentials* (energy required to remove an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for *N* particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let (N-1, i) be the stationary state of *N-1* particles obtained removing electronic orbital i : (1', 2', ..., k')

The Ionization Potential is $IP_i = E(N-1,i) - E_0(N)$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Within HF we have} & IP_i \approx E^{HF}(N-1,i) - E_0^{HF}(N) \\ E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk} \\ \tilde{E}^{K}(N-1,i) = E_0^{HF}(N) - \varepsilon_i \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Koopmans': neglect} \\ \text{orbital relaxation} \end{array} \\ \end{array}$

occupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Ionization Potentials* (energy required to remove an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for *N* particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let (N-1, i) be the stationary state of *N*-1 particles obtained removing electronic orbital i : (1', 2', ..., k')

The Ionization Potential is $IP_i = E(N-1,i) - E_0(N)$

Within HF we have $IP_i \approx E^{HF}(N-1,i) - E_0^{HF}(N)$

Koopmans' result $IP_i \approx -\varepsilon_i$



occupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Ionization Potentials* (energy required to remove an electron)

$$IP_{i} = E(N-1,i) - E_{0}(N) \approx E^{HF}(N-1,i) - E_{0}^{HF}(N)$$

$$\leq \tilde{E}^{K}(N-1,i) - E_{0}^{HF}(N) = -\varepsilon_{i}$$

$$(N-1,i) + E^{K}(N-1,i)$$

$$E^{HF}(N-1,i)$$

$$IP_{i} = -\varepsilon_{i}$$

$$IP_{i} \approx -\varepsilon_{i}$$

$$|E_{corr}(N-1)| \leq |E_{corr}(N)|$$

unoccupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Electron Affinities* (energy gained by adding an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., N)Let $(N+1, \alpha)$ be the stationary state of N+1 particles obtained adding electronic orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{The Electron Affinity is} & EA_{\alpha} = E_0(N) - E(N+1,\alpha) \\ \mbox{Within HF we have} & EA_{\alpha} \approx E_0^{HF}(N) - E^{HF}(N+1,\alpha) \\ E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk} \\ E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk} \\ E_{0}^{HF}(N+1,\alpha) = \sum_k h_k' + h_{\alpha}' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} W_{jk}' + \sum_j W_{j\alpha}' & (W_{\alpha\alpha}' = 0) \\ \end{array}$

unoccupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Electron Affinities* (energy gained by adding an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., N)Let $(N+1, \alpha)$ be the stationary state of N+1 particles obtained adding electronic orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

The Electron Affinity is $EA_{\alpha} = E_0(N) - E(N+1,\alpha)$ Within HF we have $EA_{\alpha} \approx E_0^{HF}(N) - E^{HF}(N+1,\alpha)$ $E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk}$ Koopmans': neglect orbital relaxation $\tilde{E}^{K}(N+1,\alpha) = \sum_k h_k + h_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} W_{jk} + \sum_j W_{j\alpha} \quad (W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0)$

unoccupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Electron Affinities* (energy gained by adding an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., N)Let $(N+1, \alpha)$ be the stationary state of N+1 particles obtained adding electronic orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

The Electron Affinity is $EA_{\alpha} = E_0(N) - E(N+1,\alpha)$ Within HF we have $EA_{\alpha} \approx E_0^{HF}(N) - E^{HF}(N+1,\alpha)$ $E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk}$ Koopmans': neglect orbital relaxation $\tilde{E}^{K}(N+1,\alpha) = E_0^{HF}(N) + h_{\alpha} + \sum_{j} W_{j\alpha}$

unoccupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Electron Affinities* (energy gained by adding an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., N)Let $(N+1, \alpha)$ be the stationary state of N+1 particles obtained adding electronic orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

The Electron Affinity is $EA_{\alpha} = E_0(N) - E(N+1,\alpha)$ Within HF we have $EA_{\alpha} \approx E_0^{HF}(N) - E^{HF}(N+1,\alpha)$ $E_0^{HF}(N) = \sum_k h_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} W_{jk}$ Koopmans': neglect orbital relaxation $\tilde{E}^{K}(N+1,\alpha) = E_0^{HF}(N) + \varepsilon_{\alpha}$

unoccupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Electron Affinities* (energy gained by adding an electron)

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., N)Let $(N+1, \alpha)$ be the stationary state of N+1 particles obtained adding electronic orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

The Electron Affinity is $EA_{\alpha} = E_0(N) - E(N+1, \alpha)$ Within HF we have $EA_{\alpha} \approx E_0^{HF}(N) - E^{HF}(N+1, \alpha)$

Koopmans' result

 $EA_{\alpha} \approx -\varepsilon_{\alpha}$



unoccupied-orbital eigenvalues are approximations to *Electron Affinities* (energy gained by adding an electron)

$$EA_{\alpha} = E_0(N) - E(N+1,\alpha) \approx E_0^{HF}(N) - E^{HF}(N+1,\alpha)$$

$$\geq E_0^{HF}(N) - \tilde{E}^K(N+1,\alpha) = -\varepsilon_{\alpha}$$



Eigenvalue differences are approximations to (single particle) *Excitation Energies*

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for *N* particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let $N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}$ be the stationary state of *N* particles where orbital *i* is promoted to orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

$$\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} = E(N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}) - E_0(N)$$

neglecting orbital relaxation

$$\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} \approx \sum_{k \neq i} h_k + h_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \neq i} W_{j,k} + \sum_{j \neq i} W_{j\alpha}$$
$$-\sum_k h_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} W_{j,k}$$



Eigenvalue differences are approximations to (single particle) Excitation Energies

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for *N* particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let $N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}$ be the stationary state of *N* particles where orbital *i* is promoted to orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

$$\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} = E(N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}) - E_0(N)$$

neglecting orbital relaxation

$$\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} \approx -h_i + h_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \neq i} W_{j,k} + \sum_{j \neq i} W_{j\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} W_{j,k}$$



Eigenvalue differences are approximations to (single particle) *Excitation Energies*

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let $N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}$ be the stationary state of N particles where orbital i is promoted to orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

$$\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} = E(N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}) - E_0(N)$$

neglecting orbital relaxation

 $\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} \approx -h_i + h_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \neq i} W_{j,k} + \sum_{j \neq i} W_{j\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \neq i} W_{j,k} - \sum_j W_{j,i}$



Eigenvalue differences are approximations to (single particle) *Excitation Energies*

Let $N^{(0)}$ be the GS for N particles : (1, 2, ..., i, ..., N)Let $N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}$ be the stationary state of N particles where orbital i is promoted to orbital α : $(1', 2', ..., N', \alpha')$

$$\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} = E(N^*_{(i \to \alpha)}) - E_0(N)$$

neglecting orbital relaxation

$$\Delta E^{i \to \alpha} \approx \varepsilon_{\alpha} - \varepsilon_i - W_{i\alpha}$$

e-h interaction excitonic effects



neglecting it is very bad for localized excitations

The system has rotational symmetry and is spin independent in Restricted HF the single particle wfcs are taken as

$$\phi_{nlm\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = f_{nl}(r) Y_{lm}(\hat{r}) \chi_{\sigma}$$

Hydrogen: $1s^1$ $E^{HF} = \varepsilon_{1s} = -1 Ry$ \checkmark

Helium: $1s^2 \quad E^{HF} = -5.723 \ Ry, \quad E_0 = -5.807 \ Ry,$ $E_{corr} = -0.084 \ Ry = -1.14 \ eV$ $I \ K : \quad \varepsilon_{1s} = -1.836 \ Ry, \quad IP_1 = 1.807 \ Ry \quad \checkmark$ $II \ K :$ no bound states above 1s => no negative ion \checkmark $III \ K :$ no bound excitations \checkmark

Lithium: $1s^22s^1$ $E^{HF} = -14.865 \ Ry, \quad E_0 = IP_1 + IP_2 + IP_3 = -14.954 \ Ry,$ $E_{corr} = -0.09 Ry = -1.2 eV$ $IK: \ \varepsilon_{2s} = -0.393 \ Ry, \ IP_1 = 0.396 \ Ry \quad \checkmark$ Beryllium: $1s^22s^2$ $E^{HF} = -29.146 Ry, \quad E_0^{Th} = -29.335 Ry, \quad E_0^{Exp} = -29.339 Ry,$ $I K: \quad \varepsilon_{2s} = -0.618 \ Ry, \quad IP_1 = 0.618 \ Ry \checkmark E_{corr} = -2.6 \ eV$ $\varepsilon_{1s} = -9.465 \ Ry, \quad IP_{1s}^{Exp} = 8.9 \ Ry \quad \checkmark$ II K: no bound states above 2s => no negative ion

... and so on filling the lowest eigenvalues according to the Aufbau principle $1s \rightarrow 2s \rightarrow 2p \rightarrow 3s \rightarrow 3p$

until *Potassium (K, Z*=19) is reached after *Argon* with electronic configuration $1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^6$



Potassium (Z=19)

1	$s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^1$	$1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^63d^1$
(1s)	-267.066	-267.250
(2s)	-28.980	-29.161
(2p)	-23.038	-23.221
(3s)	-3.498	-3.671
(3p)	-1.909	-2.086
(3d)	unbound	-0.116
(4s)	-0.295	unbound
E_{tot}	-599.165	-599.076



... and so on filling the lowest eigenvalues according to the Aufbau principle $1s \rightarrow 2s \rightarrow 2p \rightarrow 3s \rightarrow 3p$

until *Potassium* (Z=19) is reached after *Argon* with electronic configuration $1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^6$

orbitals 4s and 3d have similar energy and HF correctly gives the 4s solution as more stable.

Thus K: $[Ar]4s^1$, then Ca: $[Ar]4s^2$, then Sc: $[Ar]4s^23d^1$ As it proceeds 3d becomes more localized than 4s



Titanium (Z=22) is a case of *Anomalous Filling*

	$[Ar]4s^23d^2$	$[Ar]4s^13d^3$	$[Ar]3d^4$
(3d)	-0.812	-0.483	-0.289
(4s)	-0.444	-0.387	unbound
E_{tot}	-1696.74	-1696.62	-1696.36

It is an artifact of Restricted HF. For completely **Unrestrited HF** filling is always normal ! V Bach, EH Lieb, M Loss, & JP Solovej, PRL 72, 2981 (1994)



 \mathbf{L}_{tot}

... and so on..

n=1	1 5 ²		= fill	ling order	2e⁻
n=2	2s ²	2p ⁶			8e ⁻
n=3	3s ²	3p ⁶	3d ¹⁰		18e-
n=4	4s ²	4p ⁶	4d ¹⁰	4f ¹⁴	32e⁻
n=5	5s ²	5p ⁶	5d ¹⁰	5f ¹⁴	
n=6	6s ²	6p ⁶	6d ¹⁰		
n=7	7s ²	7p ⁶			









binasuan wineglass philippino dance



Energy Conversion Factors

T.

$1\downarrow is \rightarrow$	kcal/mole	kJ/mole	mRy/unit	meV/unit
kcal/mole	1	4.184	3.187	43.364
kJ/mole	0.239	1	0.762	10.364
mRy/unit	0.3138	1.313	1	13.6058
meV/unit	0.02306	0.09649	0.073498	1

H 13.595		Ionization Energies of the Elements The total energy required to remove the first two electrons is the sum of the first and second ionization potentials. Source: National Bureau of Standards Circular 467.													He 24.58 78.98									
Li 5.39 81.01	Be 9.32 27.53															B 8. 33	30 3.45	C 11.2 35.6	6	N 14.54 44.14	0 13.6 48.7	51 76	F 17.42 52.40	Ne 21.56 62.63
Na 5.14 52.43	Mg 7.64 22.67		$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													Ar 15.76 43.38								
K 4.34 36.15	Ca 6.11 17.98	Sc 6.56 19.45	Ti 6.83 20.46	V 6.74 21.3	Cr 6.3 39 23	76 .25	Mn 7.43 23.07	Fe 7.9 24	Fe Co 7.90 7.8 24.08 24.		Ni 7.6 25.	Ni 7.63 25.78		2 93	Zn 9.39 27.3	G. 6. 26	Ga Ge 6.00 7.8 26.51 23		1	As 9.81 30.0	Se 9.75 31.2		Br 11.84 33.4	Kr 14.00 38.56
Rb 4.18 31.7	Sr 5.69 16.72	Y 6.5 18.9	Zr 6.95 20.98	Nb 6.77 21.2	M 7.1 22 23	0 18 .25	Tc 7.28 22.54	Ru 7.3 24	F 86 7 .12 2	Rh 7.46 25.53		Pd 8.33 27.75		7 05	Cd 8.99 25.89		In Sr 5.78 7.3 24.64 21		Sn Sb 7.34 8.64 21.97 25.1		Te 4 9.01 1 27.6		l 10.45 29.54	Xe 12.13 33.3
Cs 3.89 29.0	Ba 5.21 15.21	La 5.61 17.04	Hf 7. 22.	Ta 7.88 24.1	W 7.9 25	98 .7	Re 7.87 24.5	Os 8.7 26	н 9	r	Pt 8.9 27.	6 52	Au 9.22 29.7	2	Hg 10.43 29.18	TI 6. 3 26	11 5.53	РЬ 7.41 22.44	4	Bi 7.29 23.97	Po 8.43	3	At	Rn 10.74
Fr	Ra 5.28 15.42	Ac 6.9 19.0	C 6	e 91	Pr 5.76	Nc 6.3	H F	'n	Sm 5.6	Eu 5.0	1 67	Gd 6.1	.6	ТЬ 6.7	4	Dy 5.82	Н	,	Er	Tr	n	ҮЬ 6.2	Lu 5.0	
			T	h	Pa	U 4.	٢	٩p	Pu	Ar	n	Сп	n	Bk		Cf	Es		Fm	M	d	No	Lr	
First Ionization Energies

FIG. 8. First ionization energy of atoms in the local-density (LD), local spin-density (LSD), and Hartree-Fock (HF) approximations compared with experiment. The numbers show the atomic numbers of the atoms considered. For reasons of clarity, the zero of energy is shifted by 5, 10, and 15 eV for the second row, the third row, and the transition-element row, respectively. The LD results for the first and second rows are increased by an additional 2 eV.

Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 61, No. 3, July 1989

Jones & Gunnarsson











Consider a periodic system of electrons and ions (neutral) and let's smear the ionic charge distribution the external potential tends to a constant (-infinity)

$$\mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_e} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i\neq j}}^{N} \frac{e^2}{|r_i - r_j|} - \sum_{i}^{N} \int \rho_{ion}(r') \frac{e^2}{|r' - r_i|} dr' + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_{ion}(r) \frac{e^2}{|r - r'|} \rho_{ion}(r') dr dr'$$

In the Jellium model (homogeneous electron gas) the ionic density is uniform and the system is neutral $\rho_{ion}(r) = n = \frac{N}{V}$

The system is translational invariant w/o ext magnetic field It is *postulated* that so is the HF scf hamiltonian (to be verif). HF wfcs are therefore just plane waves.

$$\psi_{k,\sigma}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{+ikr} |\sigma\rangle$$



The system is translational invariant w/o ext magnetic field It is *postulated* that so is the HF scf hamiltonian (to be verif). HF wfcs are therefore just plane waves.

$$\psi_{k,\sigma}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{+ikr} |\sigma\rangle$$

The density is uniform

$$\rho_{el}(r) = \rho_{ion}(r) = n$$

The total electrostatic energy of jellium is zero

$$E_{tot.e.s.} = E_H + E_{el-ion} + E_{ion-ion}$$

$$\begin{split} E_{tot.e.s.} &= \\ \frac{e^2}{2} \int \frac{\rho_{el}(r)\rho_{el}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr dr' - e^2 \int \frac{\rho_{el}(r)\rho_{ion}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr dr' + \frac{e^2}{2} \int \frac{\rho_{ion}(r)\rho_{ion}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr dr' \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2} \int \frac{\rho_{tot}(r)\rho_{tot}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr dr' = 0 \end{split}$$



In general ...

$$E_{tot.e.s.} = \frac{e^2}{2} \int \frac{\rho_{tot}(r)\rho_{tot}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr dr'$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(r) = e \,\rho_{tot}(r), \qquad \nabla^2 \frac{1}{|r - r'|} = -4\pi \,\,\delta(r - r')$$

$$E_{tot.e.s.} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int |\mathbf{E}(r)|^2 dr$$

$$\rho_{tot}(r) = 0 \implies \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(r) = 0 \implies \mathbf{E}(r) = const$$

<u>in metals the field is completely screaned</u> <u>no macroscopic electric field in insulating samples</u>

$$E_{tot.e.s.} = E_H + E_{el-ion} + E_{ion-ion} = 0$$

For the same reason

$$V_{tot.e.s.}(r) = V_{ion}(r) + V_H(r)$$

= $-e^2 \int \frac{\rho_{ion}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr' + e^2 \int \frac{\rho_{el}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr' = e^2 \int \frac{\rho_{tot}(r')}{|r-r'|} dr' = 0$

The average value of the electrostatic potential is actually *arbitrary* and is *conventionally* set to zero.

There is no simple way to compare the eigenvalues of two different periodic calculations.



Exchange potential:

$$V_{x}\psi_{k\sigma}(r) = -\sum_{\sigma'}\sum_{k'}\psi_{k'\sigma'}(r)\int\psi_{k'\sigma'}^{*}(r')\frac{e^{2}}{|r-r'|}\psi_{k\sigma}(r)d^{3}r'$$

$$= -\sum_{|k'|< k_{F}}\frac{1}{V^{\frac{3}{2}}}e^{+ik'r}\int e^{-i(k'-k)r'}\frac{e^{2}}{|r-r'|}d^{3}r' |\sigma\rangle$$

$$= \left[-\sum_{|k'|< k_{F}}\frac{1}{V}\int e^{-i(k'-k)(r'-r)}\frac{e^{2}}{|r-r'|}d^{3}r'\right]\frac{e^{+ikr}}{\sqrt{V}}|\sigma\rangle$$



Exchange potential:

$$V_x \psi_{k\sigma}(r) = \left[-\sum_{|k'| < k_F} \frac{1}{V} \int e^{-i(k'-k)(r'-r)} \frac{e^2}{|r-r'|} d^3r' \right] \psi_{k\sigma}(r)$$
$$= \left[-\sum_{|k'| < k_F} V(k-k') \right] \psi_{k\sigma}(r)$$
a k-dependent constant
$$\text{where} \quad V(q) = \frac{1}{V} \int \frac{e^2}{|r|} e^{-iqr} d^3r = \frac{1}{V} \frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2}$$

Exchange potential:

$$V_x \psi_{k\sigma}(r) = \left[-\sum_{|k'| < k_F} V(k - k') \right] \psi_{k\sigma}(r)$$

This integral is done, for instance, in Kittel "QTofS"

$$\frac{1}{V_{|k'|< k_F}} \sum_{k_F < k_F} \frac{4\pi e^2}{|k-k'|^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{|k'|< k_F} \frac{d^3k'}{|k-k'|^2} = \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F F(k/k_F)$$

Where F is the Lindhard function $F(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1 - x^2}{4x} \ln \left| \frac{1 + x}{1 - x} \right|$ $F(0) = 1, \quad F(1) = 1/2,$ $F'(1) = -\infty$



$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F F(k/k_F) \end{bmatrix} \psi_{k\sigma}(r) = \varepsilon_{k\sigma} \psi_{k\sigma}(r)$$
$$\varepsilon_{k\sigma} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F F(k/k_F)$$

$$k_F = \left(3\pi^2 n\right)^{1/3}$$





for $n \longrightarrow \infty$ kinetic energy dominates

at any finite density $n n(\varepsilon_F) = 0$



Slater approximation for Vx

In the HEG the exch. potential is a simple function of n (and k) $V_x(k,\sigma) = -\frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F F(k/k_F) = -4e^2 \left(\frac{3n_\sigma}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3} F(k/k_F)$

Slater approx is to apply it locally with some effective F

$$V_x(r,\sigma) = -4e^2 \left(\frac{3 n_\sigma(r)}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3} \tilde{F}$$

1) average over the occupied states $\tilde{F} = \langle F \rangle = \frac{3}{4\pi} \int_{|x|<1}^{F(x)} d^3x = 3 \int_0^1 x^2 F(x) dx = 3/4$ $V_x(r,\sigma) = -3e^2 \left(\frac{3 n_\sigma(r)}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3}$ $V_x(r,\sigma) = -3e^2 \left(\frac{3 n_\sigma(r)}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3}$

 $V_x(r,\sigma) = -2e^2 \left(\frac{3 n_\sigma(r)}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3}$

 $F = F(k_F/k_F) = 1/2$

Slater approximation for Vx

In the HEG the exch. potential is a simple function of n (and k) $V_x(k,\sigma) = -\frac{2e^2}{\pi}k_F F(k/k_F) = -4e^2 \left(\frac{3n_\sigma}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3} F(k/k_F)$

Slater approx is to apply it locally with some effective F

$$V_x(r,\sigma) = -4e^2 \left(\frac{3 n_\sigma(r)}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3} \tilde{F}$$
 with $\frac{1}{2} < \tilde{F} < \frac{3}{4}$

or
$$V_x(r,\sigma) = -3e^2 \alpha \left(\frac{3 n_\sigma(r)}{4\pi}\right)^{1/3}$$
 with $\frac{2}{3} < \alpha < 1$

In the X_{α} method α is used as a parameter to generate orbitals to be used in the HF energy evaluation



$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F F(k/k_F) \end{bmatrix} \psi_{k\sigma}(r) = \varepsilon_{k\sigma} \psi_{k\sigma}(r)$$

$$\varepsilon_{k\sigma} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F F(k/k_F)$$

Total energy is

Per seguir virtute e conus

$$\frac{E_{tot}}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \left[\sum_{\sigma \mid k \mid < k_F} \langle \psi_{k\sigma} \mid -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \mid \psi_{k\sigma} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \mid k \mid < k_F} \langle \psi_{k\sigma} \mid V_x \mid \psi_{k\sigma} \rangle \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \left[2 \sum_{\mid k \mid < k_F} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \frac{1}{2} 2 \sum_{\mid k \mid < k_F} \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F F(k/k_F) \right]$$
$$= \frac{V}{N} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left[2 \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{\mid k \mid < k_F} k^2 d^3 k - \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F^4 \int_{\mid k \mid < k_F} d^3 k \frac{1}{k_F^3} F(k/k_F) \right]$$

Total energy is

$$\begin{split} \frac{E_{tot}}{N} &= \frac{V}{N} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left[2\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int_{|k| < k_F} k^2 d^3k - \frac{2e^2}{\pi} k_F^4 \int_{|k| < k_F} d^3k \frac{1}{k_F^3} F(k/k_F) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{k_F^5}{5} - \frac{8e^2}{(2\pi)^3} k_F^4 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{x^2} F(x) dx}{1/4} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{k_F^2}{5} - \frac{3e^2}{4\pi} k_F \right] = \left(\frac{2.21}{r_s^2} - \frac{0.916}{r_s} \right) Ry \\ \text{where} \qquad k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3} \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{3} r_s^3 = \frac{V}{N} = \frac{1}{n}, \quad r_s = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi n} \right)^{1/3}, \quad k_F = \left(\frac{4}{9\pi} \right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{r_s} \end{split}$$



The *homogenous spin compensated* solution is self-consistent but is not the only possible solution

There can be *homogeneous paramagnetic* solutions

$$n = n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}, \quad \zeta = \frac{n_{\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow}}{n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}}, \qquad n_{\uparrow} = \frac{1 + \zeta}{2}n, \quad n_{\downarrow} = \frac{1 - \zeta}{2}n$$
$$k_{F\uparrow} = (6\pi^2 n_{\uparrow})^{1/3}, \quad k_{F\downarrow} = (6\pi^2 n_{\downarrow})^{1/3}$$





The *homogenous spin compensated* solution is self-consistent but is not the only possible solution

There can be *homogeneous paramagnetic* solutions

$$n = n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}, \quad \zeta = \frac{n_{\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow}}{n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}}, \qquad n_{\uparrow} = \frac{1 + \zeta}{2}n, \quad n_{\downarrow} = \frac{1 - \zeta}{2}n$$
$$k_{F\uparrow} = (6\pi^2 n_{\uparrow})^{1/3}, \quad k_{F\downarrow} = (6\pi^2 n_{\downarrow})^{1/3}$$

$$\frac{E_{kin}}{N}(n,\zeta) = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (3\pi^2 n)^{2/3} \left[\frac{(1+\zeta)^{5/3} + (1-\zeta)^{5/3}}{2} \right]$$
$$\frac{E_x}{N}(n,\zeta) = -\frac{2e^2}{\pi} (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3} \left[\frac{(1+\zeta)^{4/3} + (1-\zeta)^{4/3}}{2} \right]$$



Non Magn solution

$$\frac{E_{tot}}{N}(n,\zeta=0) = \left(\frac{2.21}{r_s^2} - \frac{0.916}{r_s}\right) Ry$$

Magnetic solution

$$\frac{E_{tot}}{N}(n,\zeta=1) = \left(\frac{2.21}{r_s^2}2^{2/3} - \frac{0.916}{r_s}2^{1/3}\right) Ry$$

 $r_s > 5.45 \ a_0$

$$E_{tot}(\zeta=1) < E_{tot}(\zeta=0)$$

if correlation is included one needs $r_s > 26 \div 75 \ a_0$ in typical alkali metals $r_s \approx 3.2 \div 5.6 \ a_0$ $1.9 \div 3.7 \ a_0$ $Li \ Cs$ $Be \ Ba$ simple metals are not magnetic

Stoner Criterium for Ferromagnetism

However ...

$$\begin{array}{rll} Fe: \quad r_{s} \ = \ 2.12 \ a_{0} \\ \\ \mbox{Still} \ Fe \ \ \mbox{is magnetic and so are} \ \ Cr, Ni, Co \\ \\ \mbox{How is it so ?} \\ \\ \mbox{$\Delta N = N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow}$} \ \ \Delta E_{kin} \approx \frac{\Delta \varepsilon \Delta N}{2} \approx \frac{\Delta N^{2}}{2n(\varepsilon_{F})} \\ \\ \mbox{$\Delta E_{x} \approx -I_{x} \frac{\Delta N^{2}}{2}$} \\ \\ \mbox{$\Delta E_{kin} + \Delta E_{x} < 0$} \end{array}$$

 $n(\varepsilon_F)I_x > 1$

and the second superiore of S

Broken Symmetry solutions in the HEG

The *homogenous spin compensated* solution is self-consistent but is not the only possible solution

There can be *homogeneous paramagnetic* solutions

At even lower density (larger r_s), where kinetic energy is not relevant anymore, there are *non homogeneous* solutions that become competitive

 $r_s > 106 a_0$

Wigner crystal:







Broken Symmetry solutions in the HEG Wigner crystal wfc:

$$\Phi^{wc}(r_1, \dots, r_N) \approx \mathcal{A}[\phi(r_1 - R_1) \cdots \phi(r_N - R_N)]$$

0

where

$$\phi(r) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{3/4} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha r^2}{2}\right)$$

and $\{R_I\}$ are the lattice sites of the electronic crystal



Ewald Sums

Electrostatic energy of a lattice of point charges in a neutralizing uniform background.



MP Tosi in Solid State Physics 16, p.1





A turning point in Freeman Dyson's life occurred during a meeting in the Spring of 1953 when Enrico Fermi criticized the complexity of Dyson's model by quoting Jhonny von Neumann:" With four parameters I can fit an elephant, ..."



J Mayer, K Khairy, & J Howard, Am J Phys 78, 648 (2010)



A turning point in Freeman Dyson's life occurred during a meeting in the Spring of 1953 when Enrico Fermi criticized the complexity of Dyson's model by quoting Jhonny von Neumann:" With four parameters I can fit an elephant, and with five I can make him wiggle his trunk"



J Mayer, K Khairy, & J Howard, Am J Phys 78, 648 (2010)

THE END

