## Forces, Stress

## and

## structural optimization



- Forces
- \* finite step methods
- Steepest Descent
- Damped Dynamics (friction,quickmin)
- \* Line Minimization methods:
- Conjugate Gradients
- Quasi Newton methods BFGS
- \* Stress, VCS relaxation and MD



## Hellmann-Feynman forces

In the Born-Oppenheimer approximation the total energy  $E_{el}(\mathbf{R}, n_{\mathbf{R}})$  is a function of the ionic coordinates  $\mathbf{R}$  and define a 3N-dimensional hyper-surface, called the Potential Energy Surface (PES)

The forces acting on the ions are given by (minus) the gradient of the PES. Using **Hellmann-Feynman** theorem

$$\mathbf{F}_{I} = -\frac{\partial E_{el}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} = -\left\langle \Psi(\mathbf{R}) \left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{el}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} \right| \Psi(\mathbf{R}) \right\rangle$$
$$\mathbf{F}_{I} = -\int n_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} d\mathbf{r} - \frac{\partial E_{II}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}}$$

where the electron-nucleus and the ion-ion electrostatic interactions

$$V_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\sum_{I} \frac{Z_{I} e^{2}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{I}|}, \quad E_{II}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{e^{2}}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_{I} Z_{J}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{R}_{J}|}$$

are the only terms explicitly dependent on the ionic positions

It is just what we would compute classically !

### Hellmann-Feynman forces

When using a plane-wave basis set no corrections (Pulay's forces) are needed to the previous formula !

$$\mathbf{F}_{I} = -\int n_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} d\mathbf{r} - \frac{\partial E_{II}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}}$$

....except taking care of the modified form of the external (pseudo)-potential.

$$\mathbf{F}_{I} = -\sum_{i} \langle \phi_{i} | \frac{\partial V_{\mathbf{R}}^{PS}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}} | \phi_{i} \rangle - \frac{\partial E_{II}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{I}}$$

The evaluation of the forces is then a **cheap** byproduct of the electronic structure calculation. The quality of the forces depends on the quality of the electronic structure calculation.

From the forces:

• Structural optimization from the equilibrium condition

$$\mathbf{F}_I = -\frac{\partial E_{el}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_I} = \mathbf{0}$$

• Molecular dynamics

• Higher-order derivatives (phonons, ...)

## **Structural Optimization**

Several algorithms for searching an equilibrium configuration, close to the initial ionic configuration ( a local minimum of the PES). For instance:





VERLET DYNAMICS  
Rnew = 2 R - Rold + dt\*dt\*F/M  
$$V = (Rnew - Rold) / 2 dt$$
VELOCITY VERLET DYNAMICS  
 $V = V_{aux} + dt/2 * F / M$ vel @ time t $V_{aux} = V + dt/2 * F / M$ vel @ time t + dt/2  
Rnew = R + Vaux dtpos @ time t+dt/2AMPED VERLET DYNAMICSAs above but stop the particle whenever < 0Or rather project the velocity in the direction of the force $V_new = F max (0,) /$ 



## **Conjugate Gradients** $E = \frac{1}{2} \times A \times - b \times + c$ F = -dE/dx = b - Ax = g(x) $X_n = X_{n-1} + \lambda h_n$ hi \* A \* hj = 0, hi \* gj = 0 for $i \neq j$



small memory needs, good for quadratic functions, may need preconditioning

#### **Quasi-Newton ionic relaxation** The Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno algorithm

Taylor expansion of the energy around a point (hopefully) close to a stationary point (  $X_{k+1}$ )





#### **Quasi-Newton ionic relaxation** The Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno algorithm

Equivalently, for the gradient vector we have the condition:

$$g(X_{k+1}) - g(X_k) = H_k s_k$$

The stationary condition is

The Newton-Raphson step is

$$||g(X_{k+1})|| = 0$$

$$s_k^{NR} = -H_k^{-1}g(X_k)$$



#### **Quasi-Newton ionic relaxation** The Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno algorithm



The inverse Hessian matrix is updated using the BFGS scheme:

$$H_{k+1}^{-1} = H_k^{-1} + \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_k^T H_k^{-1} \gamma_k}{s_k^T}\right) \frac{s_k s_k^T}{s_k^T \gamma_k} - \left(\frac{s_k \gamma_k^T H_k^{-1} + H_k^{-1} \gamma_k s_k^T}{s_k^T}\right)$$

where  $\gamma_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$ 



#### **Structural Optimization : Convergence**



# Stress, Enthalpy and Variable Cell Shape Optimization



A solid is just a very big molecule

equilibrium  $\implies$  vanishing forces

However: big unit cell, aperiodic, surface effects

A useful idealization is the infinite and periodic crystal

Advantages: periodicity (Bloch's theorem), small unit cell, point group symmetry



The configuration of an infinite periodic crstal is defined by the collection of the atomic coordinates inside the unit cell (carthesian or internal) and by the size and shape of the unit cell.

$$\tau_{\alpha}^{s} = \sum_{k} a_{\alpha}^{k} x_{k}^{s}$$

where

- $\tau_{\alpha}^{s} = \text{carthesian coordinates;}$
- $x_k^s = \text{internal (crystal) coordinates;}$
- $a_{\alpha}^{k} =$ fundamental Bravais lattice vectors, cell shape parameters

The (static) equilibrium geometry is obtained for

- vanishing forces
- vanishing stress



homogeneous deformation

$$\begin{array}{rcc} r & \longrightarrow & r' = (1+\epsilon)r \\ & & \\ & {\tt stress} \\ \sigma = -\frac{1\,\partial E}{\Omega\,\,\partial \epsilon} \end{array}$$

It's a first order derivative (Hellman-Feynman)

-> NO NEED to know how electrons re-adjust

-> NO NEED to know how internal coordinates change

can be computed from the GS wavefuctions assuming homogeneous deformation

Nielsen and Martin Phys.Rev.Lett. 50, 697 (1983), Nielsen and Martin Phys.Rev. B 32, 3780 & 3792 (1985).



```
prompt> cat Si.scf.in
 &CONTROL.
    prefix='silicon',
    pseudo_dir = '/home/degironc/QE/espresso/pseudo/',
    outdir='/home/degironc/tmp/'
    tstress = .true.
 &SYSTEM
    ibrav= 2, celldm(1)=10.20, nat= 2, ntyp= 1,
    ecutwfc =16,
 &ELECTRONS
    conv_thr = 1.0d-8
ATOMIC_SPECIES
 Si 28.086 Si.pz-vbc.UPF
ATOMIC POSITIONS
 Si 0.00 0.00 0.00
 Si 0.25 0.25 0.25
K POINTS
  2
  0.25 0.25 0.25 1.0
  0.25 0.25 0.75 3.0
```



<pre>prompt&gt; \$QE/bin/pw.x &lt; Si.scf.in</pre>	n > Si.scf.out		
prompt> less Si.scf.out			
I total anamar	15 00676466	Der	
i total energy	-15.82876466	Ry	
Harris-Foulkes estimate	= -15.82676466	Ry	
estimated scf accuracy	< 4.5E-09	Ry	
The total energy is the sur	n of the following	terms:	
one-electron contribution =	= 4.80030732	Ry	
hartree contribution =	= 1.09369711	Ry	
xc contribution =	-4.82101051	Ry	
ewald contribution =	-16.89975858	Ry	
convergence has been achiev	ved in 6 iteratio	ons	
5			
entering subroutine stress			
total stress (Ry/bol	nr**3)	(kbar)	P= −13.29
-0.00009036 0.0000000 0.00	0000000 -13.29	0.00	0.00
0.00000000 -0.00009036 0.00	00.00 000000	-13.29	0.00
0.00000000 0.0000000 -0.00	0.00	0.00	-13.29
		1-0-1-0-E-E	





Is there something wrong ?





results for a denser grid













fixed Cutoff vs fixed Number of Plane waves





fixed Cutoff vs fixed Number of Plane waves



Calculations at FIXED CUTOFF need some intepolation in order to extract structural parameters but converge more rapidly to the accurate structural properties than the smoothly varying calculations at FIXED NUMBER OF PW.

Complete convergence is needed for accurate calculation of stress

... or rather ...

so that the calculation of stress (that assumes a fixed number of PW) agrees with the smooth interpolated result obtained using a fixed cutoff



Sudden inclusion of more degrees of freedom is irrelevant only when they do not contribute (they are not used anyway)

Otherwise they modify the energy in a way not accounted in the stress formula.

High Fourier components (around ECUT) can be artificially penalized (in a smooth way) in order to speedup convergence

$$T(G) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}G^2 + \text{QCUTZ}\left[1 + \exp\left((\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}G^2 - \text{ECFIXED})/\text{Q2SIGMA}\right)\right]$$

The main points are

- the plane waves around and beyond ECUT are penalized so much that they do not matter
- the smooth step function can be differentiated => it gives a well defined contribution to the stress



```
&control
    prefix='silicon',
    pseudo_dir = '/home/degironc/QE/espresso/pseudo/',
    outdir='/home/degironc/tmp/'
    tstress = .true.
 &system
    ibrav= 2, celldm(1)=10.20, nat= 2, ntyp= 1,
    ecutwfc =18, ecfixed=16.0, qcutz=30.0, q2sigma=2.0
 &electrons
    conv_thr = 1.0d-8
ATOMIC_SPECIES
 Si 28.086 Si.pz-vbc.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS
 Si 0.00 0.00 0.00
 Si 0.25 0.25 0.25
K_POINTS
  2
  0.25 0.25 0.25 1.0
  0.25 0.25 0.75 3.0
```







At finite pressure P one must optimize/sample the Enthalpy

H = E + PV

- variable Cell-Shape Relaxation

- variable Cell-Shape Molecular Dynamics



#### Variable Cell-Shape Relaxation

#### BFGS relaxation

Crystal configuration is defined by 3\*NAT + 9 variables

$$\tau_{\alpha}^{s} = \sum_{k} a_{\alpha}^{k} x_{k}^{s}$$

 $x_k^s = 3*NAT$  internal (crystal) coordinates;

 $a_{\alpha}^{k} = \text{Bravais lattice vectors (9 variables)}$ 

The algorithm can be applied as usual paying attention to use the appropriate generalized forces

$$-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_k^s} = \sum_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}^s a_{\alpha}^k; \qquad -\frac{\partial H}{\partial a_{\alpha}^k} = \Omega \sum_k (a^{-1})_k^\beta \left(\sigma_{\beta\alpha} - P\delta_{\beta\alpha}\right)$$

and to start from an inverse Hessian that respects the symmetry of the crystal.



### A7 to sc transition in As

- Unit Cell: (a=b=c, cosAB=cosAC=cosBC)
- Guessing a=3.85 Å, x=0.275, cosAB=0.49517470
- · Energy Cut-Off=30 Ry.
- · 2 As per unit cell
- 2As at ±(x, x, x,);
- When x=0.25, cosAB=0.5
  => Simple Cubic





```
prompt> cat As0.in
&CONTROL
   calculation = "vc-relax", outdir = './tmp/', pseudo_dir = './',
  etot_conv_thr = 1.0E-4, forc_conv_thr = 1.0D-3, /
 &SYSTEM
   ibrav = 0, A = 3.85, nat = 2, ntyp = 1, nbnd = 9, nelec = 10,
  occupations = 'smearing', smearing = 'mp', degauss = 0.005,
  ecutwfc = 30.0, /
 &ELECTRONS conv_thr = 1.0d-7, /
 &IONS /
&CELL press = 0.0, /
CELL PARAMETERS cubic
  0.58012956 0.0000000 0.81452422
 -0.29006459 0.50240689 0.81452422
 -0.29006459 -0.50240689 0.81452422
ATOMIC_SPECIES
As 74.90000 As.pz-bhs.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS crystal
As 0.2750 0.2750 0.2750
As -0.2750 -0.2750
                             -0.2750
K_POINTS automatic
 444 111
```



prompt> \$QE/bin/pw.x <	As0.in > As0-bfgs.out			
after a while				
prompt> grep -e "entha	lpy new" -e Final -e "P=" As	0-bfgs.ou	ut	
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	3.79
enthalpy new	= -25.5030601539 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	-39.98
enthalpy new	= -25.4864190212 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	-17.50
enthalpy new	= -25.5045246222 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	-5.40
enthalpy new	= -25.5050255319 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	-1.35
enthalpy new	= -25.5050857421 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	1.29
enthalpy new	= -25.5051054846 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	1.16
enthalpy new	= -25.5051083940 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	0.80
enthalpy new	= -25.5051113263 Ry			
total stress	(Ry/bohr**3)	(kbar)	P=	0.41
Final enthalpy =	-25.5051134588 Ry			



#### Variable Cell-Shape MD

Introduce the cell Bravais lattice vectors as auxiliary dynamical variables

extended lagrangian formulation

 $L = T - U, \quad T = K_{internal} + K_{cell}, \quad U = H_{el}$ 

 $\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}$ 

while the Kinetic Energy associated to the internal degrees of freedom is well defined (at fixed cell geometry) the KE associated to the cell has no physical meaning. VSCMD does not provide a faithful dynamical picture but can provide accurate thermodynamical averages of NPH ensemble via ergodic theorem + equi-partition principle



#### Variable Cell-Shape MD

$$L = T - U, \qquad T = K_{int} + K_{cell}, \qquad U = H_{el} = E + PV$$

Anderson, J.Chem.Phys. 72, 2384 (1980).

$$L = V^{\frac{2}{3}} \sum_{i} \frac{M_{i}}{2} \dot{s}_{i} \dot{s}_{i} + \frac{W}{2} \dot{V}^{2} - (E + PV)$$

Parrinello-Rahman, J.Appl.Phys. 52, 7182 (1981). $L = \sum_{i} \frac{M_i}{2} \dot{s}_i (h^T h) \dot{s}_i + \frac{W}{2} \dot{h}^T \dot{h} - (E + PV)$ 

Wentzcovitch, Phys.Rev.B 44, 2358 (1991).

$$L = \sum_{i} \frac{M_{i}}{2} \dot{s}_{i} (h^{T} h) \dot{s}_{i} + \frac{W}{2} V^{2} \dot{h}^{T} (h^{T} h)^{-1} \dot{h} - (E + PV)$$



#### Damped Variable Cell-Shape MD

VCSMD can also be used as a structural optimization tool by introducing a damping mechanism that drains kinetic energy out of the system.

quickmin: kill any generalized velocity component whose direction is opposite to the corresponding generalized force component.

pros: easy to implement if you have an MD code; rather robust, does not assume to be close to the min

cons: you need to specify a time step (dt) and a cell mass (wmass) values which requires some experience; it's not superlinear close to convergence as BFGS is.



```
prompt> cat As40.in
 &CONTROL
   calculation = "vc-relax", outdir = './tmp/', pseudo_dir = './',
  etot_conv_thr = 1.0E-4, forc_conv_thr = 1.0D-3, dt = 70 /
 &SYSTEM
   ibrav = 0, A = 3.85, nat = 2, ntyp = 1, nbnd = 9, nelec = 10,
  occupations = 'smearing', smearing = 'mp', degauss = 0.005,
  ecutwfc = 30.0. /
 &ELECTRONS conv_thr = 1.0d-7, /
 &IONS /
 &CELL press = 400.0, cell_dynamics = 'damp-w', wmass = 0.0015,/
CELL PARAMETERS cubic
  0.58012956 0.0000000 0.81452422
 -0.29006459 0.50240689 0.81452422
 -0.29006459 -0.50240689 0.81452422
ATOMIC_SPECIES
As 74.90000 As.pz-bhs.UPF
ATOMIC_POSITIONS crystal
As 0.2750 0.2750 0.2750
As -0.2750 -0.2750 -0.2750
K POINTS automatic
 444 111
```



prompt> \$QE/bin/pw.x < As40.in > As40-dampW.out ... after a while prompt> grep -e "Etot" -e "P=" AsO-dampW.out | tail -18 Ekin = 0.00088648 Ry T = 647.9 K Etot = -24.89023062total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 398.53 Ekin = 0.00095682 Ry T = 621.2 K Etot = -24.89024525 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 401.23 Ekin = 0.00101302 Ry T = 596.8 K Etot = -24.89024441 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 398.88 Ekin = 0.00103502 Ry T = 574.3 K Etot = -24.89024804 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 398.38 Ekin = 0.00009930 Ry T = 552.4 K Etot = -24.89118875 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 399.11 Ekin = 0.00010526 Ry T = 532.1 K Etot = -24.89118816 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 402.51 Ekin = 0.00010196 Ry T = 513.2 K Etot = -24.89119211 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 399.99 Ekin = 0.00010141 Ry T = 495.6 K Etot = -24.89119469 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 398.81 Ekin = 0.00009946 Ry T = 479.2 K Etot = -24.89119474 total stress (Ry/bohr\*\*3) (kbar) P= 400.31



#### THE END

