

Quantum Mechanics

The underlying physical laws necessary for the mathematical theory of a large part of physics and the whole of chemistry are thus completely known, and the difficulty is only that the exact application of these laws leads to equations much too complicated to be soluble. It therefore becomes desirable that approximate practical methods of applying quantum mechanics should be developed, which can lead to an explanation of the main features of complex atomic systems without too much computation.



P.A.M. Dirac, Quantum Mechanics of Many-Electron Systems Proc. Royal Soc. 129, 714 (1929). Adiabatic approximation

Independent electrons in an effective potential

Hartree-Fock

Density Functional Theory

MBPT - GW





Adiabatic Approximation

$$[T_I + T_e + W_{eI} + W_{ee} + W_{II}]\Psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = E_{\alpha}\Psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$$

 $\Psi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{R}) = \Phi(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{R})\chi(\mathbf{R}), \qquad M_I >> m_e$

The slow nuclei move in the potential energy surface (PES) generated by the fast electrons

$$\begin{cases} [T_e + W_{eI} + W_{ee} + W_{II}] \Phi_0(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{R}) = E_{GS}(\mathbf{R}) \ \Phi_0(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{R}) \\ M_I \ddot{R_I} = F_I(\mathbf{R}) = -\nabla_{R_I} E_{GS}(\mathbf{R}) \end{cases}$$

The GS PES is the most important one. Classical Mechanics is often adequate to describe ions.



 $[T_e + W_{eI} + W_{ee} + W_{II}]\Phi_0(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{R}) = E_{GS}(\mathbf{R})\Phi_0(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{R})$

The solution of the electronic problem is still daunting

 $\Phi_0(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{R})$ is an (antisymmetric) function of $3N_{el}$ real variables + spin

even storing a wfc for Oxygen dimer (16 el) with merely 10 entries per coordinate would require $8 \times 10^{48} \ bytes$

 $(Marconi \approx 17 \times 10^3 \ Tbytes) \qquad 8 \times 10^{36} \ Tbytes$



$$V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = \frac{e^2}{2} \frac{Z_I Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|} - \frac{Z_I e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_I|} + \frac{e^2}{2} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}$$



$$V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = \frac{e^2}{2} \frac{Z_I Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|} - \frac{Z_I e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_I|} + \frac{e^2}{2} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}$$

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff}(\vec{r}, \mathbf{R}) \right] \phi_i(\vec{r}) = \varepsilon_i \ \phi_i(\vec{r})$$

Why is it a good (or at least decent) idea ?



The electrostatic potential felt by a *test* -e charge is therefore

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'$$

Given a system of ions and electrons its GS electronic density n(r) is well defined (although not easy to compute)





The electrostatic potential felt by a *real* -e charge is instead

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}') + \delta n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'$$

because electrons *readjust* so as to avoid the extra charge.





The electrostatic potential felt by a *real* -e charge is instead

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + v_{scr}(\mathbf{r})$$

because electrons *readjust* so as to avoid the extra charge and *screen* the perturbation.





The electrostatic potential felt by a *real* -e charge is instead

$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + v_{scr}(\mathbf{r})$$

A negative muon ($m_{\mu} \approx 200 m_e$) would move in this potential.

$$[T_{\mu} + V_{eff}(\mathbf{r})] \,\xi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_{\mu} \,\xi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$$

indeed ...





 $[T_{I} + T_{\mu} + T_{e} + W_{eI} + W_{ee} + W_{II} + W_{e\mu} + W_{I\mu}]\Psi = E\Psi$ $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, r_{\mu}, \mathbf{R}) = \Phi(\mathbf{r} | r_{\mu}, \mathbf{R}) \,\xi(r_{\mu} | \mathbf{R}) \,\chi(\mathbf{R})$ $T_I \Phi \xi \chi \approx \Phi \xi T_I \chi, \qquad T_\mu \Phi \xi \approx \Phi T_\mu \xi$ AA: C 0



$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\mu}}\nabla^2_{\mu} + V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R})\right]\xi(r_{\mu}) = \varepsilon_{\mu}\,\xi(r_{\mu})$$

 $E(\mathbf{R}) + V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) = \frac{[T_e + W_{eI} + W_{ee} + W_{II} + W_{I\mu} + W_{e\mu}]\Phi(\mathbf{r}|r_{\mu},\mathbf{R})}{\Phi(\mathbf{r}|r_{\mu},\mathbf{R})}$

 $E(\mathbf{R}) + V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) = \langle \Phi | T_{e} + W_{eI} + W_{ee} + W_{II} + W_{I\mu} + W_{e\mu} | \Phi \rangle$

If
$$\mathcal{H}_{\lambda} = T_e + W_{eI} + W_{ee} + W_{II} + \lambda \left(W_{I\mu} + W_{e\mu} \right)$$

$$\lambda = 0 : E(\mathbf{R}) \qquad \lambda = 1 : E(\mathbf{R}) + V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R})$$

 $E(\mathbf{R}) + V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) = E(\mathbf{R}) + \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \left\langle \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} | W_{I\mu} + W_{e\mu} | \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} \right\rangle$

$$V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) = \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \left\langle \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} |W_{I\mu} + W_{e\mu}| \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} \right\rangle$$



$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\mu}}\nabla^2_{\mu} + V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R})\right]\xi(r_{\mu}) = \varepsilon_{\mu}\,\xi(r_{\mu})$$

$$V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) = \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \left\langle \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} | W_{I\mu} + W_{e\mu} | \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{split} V_{eff}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) &= W_{I\mu} + \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \left\langle \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} |W_{e\mu}| \Phi_{\lambda}^{el} \right\rangle \\ &= V_{eI}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) + e^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \int \frac{n_{\lambda}(r')}{|r' - r_{\mu}|} dr' \\ &= V_{eI}(r_{\mu}|\mathbf{R}) + e^{2} \int \frac{n(r')}{|r' - r_{\mu}|} dr' + e^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \int \frac{\delta n_{\lambda}(r')}{|r' - r_{\mu}|} dr' \end{split}$$

where the last term describes static screening



$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + v_{scr}(\mathbf{r})$$

A negative muon ($m_{\mu} \approx 200 m_e$) moves in this potential.

$$[T_{\mu} + V_{eff}(\mathbf{r})] \,\xi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_{\mu} \,\xi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$$





$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + v_{scr}(\mathbf{r})$$

We can imagine that electrons themselves will behave the same

$$[T_e + V_{eff}(\mathbf{r})] \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

including *dynamical* screening and Pauli principle (exchange) effects.





$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + v_{scr}(\mathbf{r})$$

The external potential is $\mathcal{O}(Z_{tot})$ The Hartree potential is $\mathcal{O}(N_{el})$ The screening potential is $\mathcal{O}(1)$





$$V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V_{eI}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) + e^2 \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + v_{scr}(\mathbf{r})$$

 $[T_e + V_{eff}(\mathbf{r})] \phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r})$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = 2\sum_{i} |\phi_{i}(\mathbf{r})|^{2}$$

self-consistent single-particle set of equations

ex: HF, DFT, GW, ...







about your cat Mr. Schroedinger I have good news and bad news



THE END

