

Kernel-based Active Subspaces with application to CFD problems

Francesco Romor, Marco Tezzele, Andrea Lario, Gianluigi Rozza Mathematics Area, mathLab, SISSA, Trieste, Italy



The Active Subspace Property

Consider a Lipschitz continuous, differentiable and square-integrable function, its gradient vector and a sampling density

 $f: \chi \subset \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R} \quad \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \rho: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}_+$

Take the correlation matrix of the gradient, evaluate its approximation with Monte Carlo and partition its eigendecomposition,

$$\mathbf{C} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f) (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f)^T \rho \, d\mathbf{x} \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f) (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f)^T = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{W}^T$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Lambda}_1 & \\ & \mathbf{\Lambda}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_1 & \mathbf{W}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{W}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times l}$$

Kernel-based Active Subspaces

We introduce an immersion ϕ from the original domain $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}$ to a supposedly infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{H}

$$\mathbf{z} = \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{D}} \sigma_f \cos(W\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{H}$$

resulting from an approximation of a RBF kernel with Random Fourier Features [3]: **b** is a bias term and W is sampled from the spectral measure associated to the kernel.

The kernel-based extension (KAS) of Active Subspaces [2] is obtained applying the usual procedure to the new simulation map $f: \phi(\chi) \subset \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$.



-0.2

-0.1

0.0

where l is the dimension of the Active Subspace. Then the input data can be decomposed as

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{W}_1^T\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{W}_2^T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{W}_1\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{W}_2\mathbf{z}.$

In this case the correlation matrix is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \int_{\phi(\mathcal{X})} (\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} \tilde{f})(\mathbf{z}) (\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} \tilde{f}(\mathbf{z}))^T d\mu(\mathbf{z}) \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} \tilde{f}) (\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} \tilde{f})^T = \tilde{\mathbf{W}} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}^T$$

Response surfaces with Gaussian process regression

The term response surface refers to the general procedure of finding the values of a model function f for new inputs without directly computing it but exploiting regression or interpolation from a training set $\{\mathbf{x}_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i)\}.$

Λ







Comparison between the sufficiency summary plots obtained from the application of AS and KAS methods for the surface of revolution model function with domain $[-3,3]^2$ and generatrix the sine function. The left

plot refers to AS, the right plot to KAS.

A CFD application of KAS using Discontinuous Galerkin method

Lift (C_L) and drag (C_D) coefficients of a NACA 0012 airfoil are considered as model functions. We consider physical and geometrical parameters and build a response surface: the first component of the initial velocity, the kinematic viscosity, the vertical and horizontal displacements of the airfoil and its angle of attack, the vertical displacements of the upper and lower side of the initial $\mathfrak{E}^{0.08}$ conduct. Reynolds number ranges from 400 to 2000.

$\int \partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}$	$\mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$
$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$	$\mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$
$\int \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{u}_0, p(\mathbf{x},0) = 0$	$\mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$
$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla p(\mathbf{x},t) = 0$	$\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_I,$
$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = 0, \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla p(\mathbf{x},t) = 0$	$\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_W$
$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, p(\mathbf{x}, t) = 1$	$\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_O,$





Comparison between the sufficiency summary plots obtained from the application of AS and KAS methods for the drag coefficient C_D . The left plot refers to AS, the right plot to KAS. With the blue solid line we depict the mean of the GP regression, with the shadow area the confidence intervals, and with the blue dots the testing points.

References

- [1] P. G. Constantine. Active subspaces: Emerging ideas for dimension reduction in parameter studies, volume 2. SIAM, 2015.
- M. Palaci-Olgun. Gaussian Process Modeling and Supervised Dimensionality Reduction Alorithms via Stiefel Manifold Learning. Master's thesis, University of Toronto, Institute of Areospace Studies, 2018.
- A. Rahimi and B. Recht. Random features for large-scale kernel machines. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 1177–1184, 2008. 3
- [4] F. Romor, M. Tezzele, A. Lario, and G. Rozza. Kernel-based Active Subspaces with application to CFD problems using Discontinuous Galerkin method. Submitted 2020.
- M. Tezzele, F. Salmoiraghi, A. Mola, and G. Rozza. Dimension reduction in heterogeneous parametric spaces with application to naval engineering shape design 5 problems. Advanced Modeling and Simulation in Engineering Sciences, 5(1):25, 2018.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by European Union Funding for Research and Innovation — Horizon 2020 Program — in the framework of European Research Council Executive Agency: H2020 ERC CoG 2015 AROMA-CFD project 681447 "Advanced Reduced Order Methods with Applications in Computational Fluid Dynamics" P.I. Gianluigi Rozza. We acknowledge also FARE-X-AROMA-CFD MIUR project to support excellence in research for ERC grantee in Italy.

