

Introduction to Quantum Groups

Lecture 12 Lusztig's Braid group

gft.itp.ac.ru/mbersht/quantum_groups.html

$\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl})$

- Generators $E_i, H_i, F_i \xrightarrow{\text{ }} K_i = e^{\hbar H_i}, g = e^{\frac{\hbar}{\hbar}}$

- Relations $[H_i, H_j] = 0$, $K_i E_j = q^{a_{ij}} E_j K_i$, $K_i F_j = q^{-a_{ij}} F_j K_i$
 g -Serre E , g -Serre F

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{e^{\hbar} - e^{-\hbar}}$$

$$\Delta E_i = E_i \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta F_i = F_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes F_i, \quad \Delta K_i = K_i \otimes K_i$$

$$S(E_i) = -E_i K_i^{-1} \quad S(F_i) = -K_i F_i \quad S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}$$

Braid group

- Def Braid group $B = B_\Delta$ generated by $T_i \quad i \in I$
with relations $\underbrace{T_i T_j T_i}_{m_{ij}} = \underbrace{T_j T_i T_j}_{m_{ij}}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_{ij}=2 & a_{ij}=0 \\ m_{ij}=4 & a_{ij}a_{ji}=2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} m_{ij}=3 & a_{ij}a_{ji}=1 \\ m_{ij}=6 & a_{ij}a_{ji}=3 \end{array}$$

- RK \exists homomorphism $B \rightarrow W \quad T_i \mapsto S_i$

(shortest)
||

- Fact (Matsumoto) Let $w \in W \quad w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_e} = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \dots s_{j_e}$ two reduced expressions. Then $\bar{i} \rightarrow \bar{i}'$ only using Braid relations
Corollary $\forall w \in W \quad \exists!$ element $T_w = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_e} \in B$

$$\text{Ex } \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{sl}_3 \quad w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1 = s_2 s_1 s_2 \quad T_{w_0} = T_1 T_2 T_1 = T_2 T_1 T_2$$

Action of T_i on $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$

- $E_i^{(r)} = E_i^r / [r]_q!$ $F_i^{(r)} = F_i^r / [r]_q!$ $[r]_q = \frac{q^r - q^{-r}}{q - q^{-1}}$, $[r]_q! = \prod_{j=1}^r [j]_q$
- Def $T_i(E_j) = -F_i K_i$, $T_i(F_j) = -K_i^{-1} F_i$,
- $T_i(E_j) = \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{r-a_{ij}} q^{-r} E_i^{(-a_{ij}-r)} E_j E_i^{(r)}$
- $T_i(F_j) = \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{r-a_{ij}} q^r F_i^{(r)} F_j F_i^{(-a_{ij}-r)}$
- $T_i(K_j) = K_j K_i^{-a_{ij}}$
- Inverse elements are defined by similar formulas
 $T_i^{-1}(E_i) = -K_i^{-1} F_i$ $T_i^{-1}(F_i) = -E_i K_i$ $T_i^{-1}(K_j) = K_j K_i^{-a_{ij}}$
- $T_i^{-1}(E_j) = \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{r-a_{ij}} q^{-r} E_i^{(r)} E_j E_i^{(-a_{ij}-r)}$
- $T_i^{-1}(F_j) = \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{r-a_{ij}} q^r F_i^{(r)} F_j F_i^{(-a_{ij}-r)}$
- Replace $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$, $K_i \rightarrow K_i^{-1}$ \rightarrow another Braid group

Remarks

- Rk $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in Q} U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda$ Q -root lattice
 $\text{wt}(E_i) = \alpha_i, \text{wt}(F_i) = -\alpha_i, \text{wt}(K_i) = 0$
 T_i reflects weights i.e. $T_i: U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\lambda \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{s_i(\lambda)}$

T_i acts as reflection on Cartan $T_i(K_j) = K_j K_i^{a_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\text{c.f.}} s_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - a_{ij} \alpha_i$

- Rk For $q=1$ $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ $w \ntriangleright \lambda, w \ntriangleright \Delta$
Problem No natural action W on \mathfrak{g} , $W = N(H)/H$, W cannot be embedded into G

Solution (Tits) $\exists \tilde{W}$ central extension of W
 $e \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \tilde{W} \rightarrow W \rightarrow e$ $\tilde{W} \subset G, \exists B \rightarrow \tilde{W}$

Ex $G = SL_2 \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

Main theorems

- Th T_i is automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$
- Th $\{T_i, T_i^{-1}, i \in I\}$ satisfies braid group relations

q -commutators

• $\forall t$ denote $[X, Y]_t = XY - t YX$

• $X \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_\lambda, Y \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_\mu$. Denote $\text{ad}_{q, X} Y = XY - q^{(\lambda, \mu)} YX$

$$\text{ad}_{q, E_1}^2(E_2) = \text{ad}_{q, E_1}(E_1 E_2 - \bar{q}^1 E_2 E_1) = E_1^2 E_2 - (q + \bar{q}^1) E_1 E_2 E_1 + E_2 E_1^2$$

$\stackrel{[E_1, E_2]_{q^{-1}}}{\swarrow}$

$a_{12} a_{21} = 1$ $\curvearrowright q\text{-Serre}$

$$\text{ad}_{q^1, F_1}^2(F_2) = \text{ad}_{q^1, F_1}(F_1 F_2 - q F_2 F_1) = F_1^2 F_2 - (q + \bar{q}^1) F_1 F_2 F_1 + F_2 F_1^2$$

$$T_1(E_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{r-a_{ij}} \bar{q}^r E_i^{(r)} E_j E_i \Big|_{a_{ij} a_{ji} = 1} = -E_1 E_2 + \bar{q}^{-1} E_2 E_1 = [E_1, E_2]_{q^{-1}} \stackrel{\text{ad}_{q, -E_1} E_2}{\curvearrowleft}$$

$$T_1(F_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{r-a_{ij}} q^r F_i^{(r)} F_j F_i \Big|_{a_{ij} a_{ji} = 1} = -F_2 F_1 + q F_1 F_2 = [F_2, F_1]_q = \text{ad}_{q^1, -F_1} F_2$$

• In general $q\text{-Serre} = \text{ad}_{q, E_i}^{-a_{ij}-1} E_j \quad T_i(E_j) = \text{ad}_{q, -E_i}^{-a_{ij}} E_j$

Some checks

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

$$[T_i(E_i), T_i(F_j)] = [-F_i K_i, -K_i^{-1} E_i] = -[E_i, F_i] = \frac{K_i^{-1} - K_i}{q - q^{-1}} = T_i\left(\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)$$

$$[T_i(E_i), T_i(F_j)] \Big|_{a_{ij}=-1} = [-F_i K_i, -q [F_i, F_j]_{q^{-1}}] = q^2 [F_i, [F_i, F_j]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}} K_i = 0 = T_i(0)$$

Problem Check $[T_i(E_j), T_i(F_j)] = T_i([E_j, F_j])$ for $a_{ij} = -1$

Cumbersome check Let $a_{21}a_{12} = a_{23}a_{32} = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} [T_2(E_1), T_2(E_3)] &= [[E_2, E_1]_{q^{-1}}, [E_2, E_3]_{q^{-1}}] = [E_2, [E_1, [E_2, E_3]_{q^{-1}}]_q]_{q^{-2}} + q [[E_2, [E_2, E_3]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-1}}, E_1]_{q^2} = \\ &= [E_2, [[E_1, E_2]_q, E_3]_{q^{-1}} + q [E_2, [E_1, E_3]]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^{-2}} = [E_2, [[E_1, E_2]_q, E_3]_{q^{-1}}]_{q^2} = \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow [T_2(E_1), T_2(E_3)] = 0$

$$[[E_2, [E_1, E_2]_q]_{q^{-1}}, E_3]_{q^2} + q^1 [[E_1, E_2]_q, [E_2, E_3]_{q^{-1}}] = -[[E_2, E_1]_{q^{-1}}, [E_2, E_3]_{q^{-1}}]$$

$\Downarrow 0$

We used $[X, [Y, Z]]_u = [[X, Y]_t, Z]_{uv} + t[Y, [X, Z]]_{vt} \quad [[X, Y], Z]_v = [X, [Y, Z]]_{uv} + t[[X, Z], Y]_{vt} \quad \forall t$

Adjoint action

- Def Let A be Hopf a Hopf algebra $\text{ad}_x y = x_{(1)} y S(x_{(2)})$
- Ex $A = U(\mathfrak{g})$, $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$, $S(x) = -x$ $\text{ad}_x y = xy - yx$
- Ex $A = \mathbb{C}[G]$, $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$, $S(g) = g^{-1}$ $\text{ad}_g y = gyg^{-1}$
- Ex $A = U_q(\mathfrak{h})$, $\Delta F_i = F_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes F_i$, $S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}$, $S(F_i) = -K_i F_i$
 $\text{ad}_{F_i} y = F_i y - K_i^{-1} Y K_i F_i = F_i y - q^{-(\lambda_i, \text{wt } y)} Y F_i = \text{ad}_{q^{-1} F_i} y$
- Problem For $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{coop}}$ (ie Δ^{op} coproduct) find $S(E_i)$
 Show that $\text{ad}_{\Delta^{\text{op}} E_i} y = \text{ad}_{q^{-1} E_i} y$
- Conclusion q -Sette and T_i are defined in terms of ad and $\text{ad}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$

Convex order

- Let $w_0 \in W$ be the longest element. For sl_n , $w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- $w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_N}$ - reduced expression
 $\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \quad \beta_2 = s_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2}, \quad \beta_3 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \alpha_{i_3}, \quad \dots, \quad \beta_N = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{N-1}} \alpha_{i_N}$
- $\forall w \in W, \quad l(w) = \text{length of reduced expression} = |\{\alpha \in \Delta_+ | w(\alpha) \in \Delta_-\}|$
 $l(s_{i_1}) = 1 \quad l(s_{i_2} s_{i_1}) = 2 \quad l(s_{i_3} s_{i_2} s_{i_1}) = 3 \quad l(w_0^{-1}) = N = |\Delta_+|$
 $s_{i_1}(\beta_1) < 0 \quad s_{i_2} s_{i_1}(\beta_1, \beta_2) < 0 \quad s_{i_3} s_{i_2} s_{i_1}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) < 0 \quad w_0^{-1}(\beta_i) < 0 \quad \forall i$
- Hence β_1, \dots, β_N all positive roots in some order
- The order is convex i.e. if $\alpha' \alpha'' \in \Delta_+$
 $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha'', \quad \alpha = \beta_i, \quad \alpha' = \beta_{i'}, \quad \alpha'' = \beta_{i''}, \quad i' < i < i'' \Rightarrow i' < i < i''$

Cartan - Weyl elements

- Denote $E_{\beta_1} = E_{i_1}, E_{\beta_2} = T_{i_1}(E_{\beta_2}), \dots, E_{\beta_e} = T_{i_1}T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_{e-1}}(E_{i_e}), \dots$
 $F_{\beta_1} = F_{i_1}, F_{\beta_2} = T_{i_1}(F_{\beta_2}), \dots, F_{\beta_e} = T_{i_1}T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_{e-1}}(F_{i_e}), \dots$
- RK $w(E_{\beta_i}) = \beta_i, \quad \text{wt}(F_{\beta_i}) = -\beta_i.$ Elements $E_{\beta_1}, \dots, E_{\beta_e}, H_1, \dots, H_r, F_{\beta_1}, \dots, F_{\beta_e}$
analog of basis in $\mathfrak{sl}_n \subset \mathfrak{U}(S)$
- Example $\mathfrak{sl}_3.$ Two reduced expressions

$$w_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1, \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_1, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \beta_3 = s_1 s_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2$$

$$E_{\beta_1} = E_1, \quad E_{\beta_2} = [E_1, E_2]_{q^{-1}}, \quad E_{\beta_3} = T_1 T_2 E_1 = T_1 ([E_2, E_1]_{q^{-1}}) = [[E_1, E_2]_{q^{-1}}, -F_1 K_1]_{q^{-1}}$$

$$= q^1 F_1 K_1 E_1 E_2 - q^{-2} F_1 K_1 E_2 E_1 - E_1 E_2 F_1 K_1 + q^{-1} E_2 E_1 F_1 K_1$$

$$= q K_1 [F_1, E_1] E_2 + q^{-1} E_2 [E_1, F_1] K_1 = \frac{q^{(1-k_1^2)}}{q-q^{-1}} E_2 + E_2 \frac{q^{(k_1^2-1)}}{q-q^{-1}} = E_2$$

$$w_0 = s_2 s_1 s_2, \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_2, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \beta_3 = \alpha_1$$

$$E_{\beta_1} = E_2, \quad E_{\beta_2} = [-E_2, G_1]_{q^{-1}}, \quad E_{\beta_3} = E_1$$

basis depends
on reduced
expr.

Dependence on \bar{t}

- Problem ① If $a_{i_k i_{k+1}} = 0$, then reversing i_k and i_{k+1} we get reduced expt \bar{t}' Cartan-Weyl elements, are unchanged (but reordered $E_{\beta_x} = E_{\beta_{k+1}}, E_{\beta_{k+1}} = E_{\beta_x}$)
- ② If $i_k = i_{k+2}, a_{i_{k+1} i_k} = a_{i_{k+2} i_{k+1}} = -1$. Then $\beta_{k+1} = \beta_k + \beta_{k+2}, E_{\beta_{k+1}} = [E_{\beta_k}, E_{\beta_{k+2}}]_{q^{-1}}$. Replacing $i_k i_{k+1} i_k \rightarrow i_{k+1} i_k i_{k+1}$ $\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{t}'$, Cartan-Weyl elements $\{E_{\beta}\}'$ differs from $\{E_{\beta}\}$ only by $E'_{\beta_{k+1}}$ and $E_{\beta_{k+1}}$
- ③ If $\beta_x = 2i$ - simple root then $E_{\beta_x} = E_i$

- Hint ①② - apply $T_{i_k}^{-1} \cdot T_{i_{k+1}}^{-1}$ and reduce to $k=2$.
- ④ Use ①② and fact that if $2i = \beta_1 \Rightarrow E_{\beta_1} = E_i$

Lemma

- Problem Relate ℓ_{ij}^- generators in RTT realization and Cartan-Weyl basis.
- Lemma $\forall \kappa \quad E_\beta \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)$
- Proof Induction by $ht(\beta)$. For $ht(\beta)=1$,
 $\beta = \alpha_i$, $E_\beta = E_i$ by \textcircled{C}
- If $ht(\beta) > 1 \Rightarrow \beta = \alpha + \gamma, \alpha, \gamma \in \Delta_+, \alpha$ -simple
- $\exists i, i'$ such that $\alpha_i = \alpha, \alpha_{i'} = \alpha$.
Matsumoto Theorem $\rightarrow i$ to i' using Braid relations
Hence α goes through $\beta \rightarrow \textcircled{B} \quad E_\beta \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)$

PBW Theorem

- Th a) Elements $E_{\beta_1}^{a_1} E_{\beta_2}^{a_2} \dots E_{\beta_n}^{a_n}$ form a basis in $U_q(\mathbb{N}_+)$
 b) Elements $E_{\beta_1}^{a_1} E_{\beta_n}^{a_n} H_1^{b_1} H_r^{b_r} F_{\beta_1}^{c_1} F_{\beta_n}^{c_n}$ form a basis in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl})$
- Sufficient to prove a)
 Sufficient to prove linear independance
- Prove that monomials $E_{\beta_1}^{a_1} E_{\beta_n}^{a_n}$ by induction on k

$$T_{i_1}^{-1} (E_{\beta_1}^{a_1} E_{\beta_2}^{a_2} \dots E_{\beta_n}^{a_n}) = (-F_{i_1} K_{i_1})^{a_1} \otimes (E'_{\beta_1})^{a_1} \dots (E'_{\beta_{n-1}})^{a_{n-1}} \in U_q(\mathbb{H}) \otimes U_q(\mathbb{N}^+) = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

where $\bar{i} = (i_2, i_3, \dots, i_n, w_0(i_1))$

References

- Chari, Pressley A guide to quantum groups
Sec. 8.1
- Tingley Elementary construction of Lusztig's canonical basis